RNTPC Paper No. A/NE-FTA/199A For Consideration by the Rural and New Town Planning Committee on 5.2.2021

<u>APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION</u> UNDER SECTION 16 OF THE TOWN PLANNING ORDINANCE

APPLICATION NO. A/NE-FTA/199

Applicant: Dragon Ace Investment Limited represented by Mr. Kwok Chi Man

Site : Lots 40 RP (Part), 404 S.A (Part), 408 S.A RP (Part), 408 S.B RP (Part), 409,

410 (Part), 413 (Part), 414 (Part), 416 (Part), 417 RP (Part), 435, 436 and 437 RP in D.D. 89 and Adjoining Government Land, Fu Tei Au, Sheung Shui, New

Territories

Site Area : 3,329 m² (about) (including about 462 m² of Government land)

<u>Land Status</u> : (a) Block Government Lease (demised for agricultural use) (about 86% of the

Site)

(b) Government land (about 14% of the Site)

Plan : Approved Fu Tei Au and Sha Ling Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No.

S/NE-FTA/16

Zoning : "Agriculture" ("AGR")

Application: Temporary Cargo Handling and Forwarding Facility (Logistics Centre) for a

Period of 3 Years

1. The Proposal

- 1.1 The applicant seeks planning permission to use the application site (the Site) for temporary cargo handling and forwarding facility (logistics centre) for a period of three years. The Site falls within an area zoned "AGR" on the approved Fu Tei Au and Sha Ling OZP No. S/NE-FTA/16 (**Plans A-1 and A-2**). According to the Notes of the OZP, temporary uses or development of any land or buildings not exceeding a period of three years in "AGR" zone requiring planning permission from the Town Planning Board (the Board) notwithstanding that the use is not provided for under the Notes of the OZP.
- 1.2 According to the applicant, there is a single-storey temporary structure of about 5 m in height with a total floor area of about 600 m², located at the southwestern corner of the Site for cargo handling and packaging of goods. Three loading / unloading spaces (11 m x 3.5 m each) are provided at the eastern part of the Site near the entrance. The open area within the Site is used for buffer area and vehicle manoeuvring space. The Site is accessible via a local track branching off from Man Kam To Road. According to the applicant, there is a maximum of 12 to 14 vehicular trips per day entering the Site. The operation hours of the Site are between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. from Mondays to

Saturdays and there will be no operation on Sundays and public holidays. A site layout plan submitted by the applicant is at **Drawing A-1**.

- 1.3 The Site is/ forms part of the subject of nine previously approved planning applications for various temporary open storage/ container vehicle park/ logistic uses. The last planning application (No. A/NE-FTA/181) submitted by a different applicant for the same applied use as the current application was approved on 15.6.2018 for a period of three years up to 15.6.2021 and its planning permission is still valid. The applicant has complied with all the approval conditions under the previous application. The current application is largely the same as the previous application No. A/NE-FTA/181 in terms of the site area and layout except that there is a minor change in the floor area (i.e. from 557 m² to 600 m²) of the temporary structure at the south-western corner (**Drawing A-1**).
- 1.4 In support of the application, the applicant has submitted the following documents:

(a)	Application form and attachments received on 28.8.2020	(Appendix I)
(b)	Supplementary information received on 2.9.2020	(Appendix Ia)
(c)	Further Information (FI) received on 29.9.2020 ^	(Appendix Ib)
(d)	Letter received on 9.10.2020 requesting for deferment of	(Appendix Ic)
	consideration of the application	
(e)	FI received on 23.12.2020	(Appendix Id)
(f)	FI received on 20.1.2021	(Appendix Ie)

^ accepted and exempted from publication

1.5 At the request of the applicant (**Appendix Ic**), the Committee decided on 23.10.2020 to defer making a decision on the application for two months pending the preparation of FI to address the departmental comments. The applicant submitted FI on 23.12.2020 and 20.1.2021 (**Appendices Id and Ie**) and the application is re-scheduled for consideration by the Committee on 5.2.2021.

2. Justifications from the Applicant

The justifications put forth by the applicant in support of the application are detailed in the Application Form, supplementary information and FI at **Appendices I**, **Ia and Ib** respectively. They can be summarised as follows:

- (a) the Site falls within Category 2 area under the Town Planning Board Guidelines for 'Application for Open Storage and Port Back-up Uses' (TPB PG-No. 13F), and the temporary use under application would not affect the long-term planning of the area. The applicant has consulted the locals and they have no objection to the development;
- (b) the Site is subject of nine previous planning applications which were all approved by the Committee since 1998. In addition, the nature and scale of the temporary use under application is similar to the previous application No. A/NE-FTA/181 approved by the Committee in 2018 and all the approval conditions of the previous application have been complied with. Although the planning permission is valid until 15.6.2021, due to modification of business and revision to the development parameters, a fresh planning application is required;

- (c) the development could make full utilization of the land resource to meet the demand of logistics and cargo handling industry. It can provide support to the logistics industry in both Hong Kong and Mainland; and
- (d) the development is not incompatible with the surrounding environment and it would not have adverse environmental and drainage impacts. Apart from the proposed use, no vehicle repairing, paint spraying or cleansing activities is allowed on the Site. The applicant is willing to implement the environmental mitigation measures and observe the guidelines imposed by relevant Government departments.

3. Compliance with the "Owner's Consent/Notification" Requirements

The applicant is not a "current land owner" but has complied with the requirements as set out in the Town Planning Board Guidelines on Satisfying the "Owner's Consent/ Notification" Requirements under Sections 12A and 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance (TPB PG-No. 31A) by posting site notice and giving notification to the Sheung Shui District Rural Committee by registered mail. For the adjoining Government land, TPB PG-No.31A is not applicable to the application. Detailed information would be deposited at the meeting for Members' inspection.

4. Background

According to the Chief Town Planner/Central Enforcement and Prosecution, Planning Department (CTP/CEP, PlanD), the Site is not involved in any active enforcement action.

5. Town Planning Board Guidelines

Town Planning Board Guidelines No.13F for 'Application for Open Storage and Port Back-up Uses' (TPB PG-No. 13F) promulgated by the Board on 27.3.2020 are relevant to the application. The Site falls within Category 2 area under TPB PG-No. 13F under the Guidelines. Relevant extract of the Guidelines is attached at **Appendix II**.

6. Previous Applications

- 6.1 The Site is the subject of nine previous planning applications (No. A/NE-FTA/22, 32, 41, 65, 72, 100, 110, 149 and 181) for various temporary open storage uses/ container vehicle park/ logistic uses which were all approved with conditions by the Committee or the Board on review between 1998 and 2018. Applications No. A/NE-FTA/22, 32, 41, 65, 72 and 100 for various temporary open storage/ container vehicle park/ logistics uses were approved mainly on considerations that the developments were not incompatible with the surrounding land uses; the developments were unlikely to cause significant adverse impacts on the traffic, drainage and landscape of the area; and concerned Government departments had no objection to the applications. The planning permissions for applications No. A/NE-FTA/32, 65, 72 and 100 were revoked due to non-compliance with the approval conditions.
- 6.2 The planning applications (No. A/NE-FTA/110, 149 and 181) were submitted by the same applicant for the same temporary cargo handling and forwarding facility (logistics

centre) for a period of three years. These applications were approved by the Committee between 2011 and 2018 mainly on the grounds that the developments were not incompatible with the surrounding land uses; the developments were in line with the then TPB PG-No. 13E; and previous applications for the similar use had been approved by the Committee/the Board. All the approval conditions of these planning applications have been complied with. The planning permission of the last application No. A/NE-FTA/181 is still valid until 15.6.2021.

- 6.3 The current application is largely the same as the previous application No. A/NE-FTA/181 in terms of the site area and layout except that it is submitted by a different applicant and there is minor revision to the temporary structure located at the southwestern corner of the Site with a slight increase of total floor area from 557 m² to 600 m² (about +7.7%) (**Drawing A-1**).
- 6.4 Details of the previous applications are summarised at **Appendix III** and their locations are shown on **Plan A-1**.

7. <u>Similar Applications</u>

- 7.1 There are four similar applications (No. A/NE-FTA/150, 151, 156 and 173) involving three sites which fall within the "AGR" zone on the Fu Tei Au and Sha Ling OZP in the vicinity of the Site. They involved various temporary uses including goods reshuffling points/ yards or logistics yard, loading/ unloading platforms, open storage of containers and tyre repair workshop uses, which were rejected by the Committee or the Board on review between 2015 and 2017 mainly on the grounds that the uses under applications were not in line with the planning intention of the "AGR" zone; they were not in line with the then TPB PG-No. 13E in that there were no previous planning approval granted at the Sites and no exceptional circumstance to justify sympathetic consideration of the application; the proposed development were not compatible with the surrounding land uses which were predominantly rural in character; there were adverse departmental comments on the application; and the applicants failed to demonstrate that the development would have no adverse environmental and landscape and/or drainage and/or traffic impacts on the surrounding areas; and setting of undesirable precedent.
- 7.2 Details of the similar applications are at **Appendix IV** and their locations are shown on **Plan A-1**.
- 8. The Site and Its Surrounding Areas (Plans A-1 and A-2, aerial photo at Plan A-3 and site photos on Plan A-4a and A-4b)
 - 8.1 The Site is:
 - (a) formed, paved and partly occupied by one single-storey covered structure and some converted containers in its southwestern part;
 - (b) currently used as the applied use; and
 - (c) connected to Man Kam To Road via a village track to its east.

- 8.2 The surrounding areas have the following characteristics:
 - (a) to its north are scattered with a logistic yard, open storage of containers, vehicle repair workshop, and a warehouse and logistic centre and parking of vehicles to the further north;
 - (b) to its east and northeast are open storage of containers and offices;
 - (c) to its west are a track and pipelines across which are fallow agricultural land; and
 - (d) to its south, southeast and southwest are open storage of containers, logistic yard and storage uses.

9. Planning Intention

The planning intention of the "AGR" zone is primarily to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes.

10. Comments from Relevant Government Departments

10.1 The following Government departments have been consulted and their views on the application are summarised as follows:

Land Administration

- 10.1.1 Comments of the District Lands Officer/North, Lands Department (DLO/N, LandsD):
 - (a) the Site comprises private lots, namely Lots 40 RP (Part), 404 S.A (Part), 408 S.A RP (Part), 408 S.B RP (Part), 409, 410 (Part), 413 (Part), 414 (Part), 416 (Part), 417 RP (Part), 435, 436, 437 RP in D.D. 89 and adjoining Government land (GL) (**Plan A-2**). The lots are Old Schedule lots held under the Block Government Lease (demised for agricultural use) without any guarantee of right of access. The applicant should make his own arrangement for acquiring access to the Site and there is no guarantee that any adjoining GL will be allowed for vehicular access to the Site for the proposed use;
 - (b) Lot 416 (Part) in D.D. 89 (**Plan A-2**) is partially covered by Short Term Waiver (STW) No. 1249 for the purpose of ancillary use to a container yard while a minor portion of GL within the Site is covered by Short Term Tenancy (STT) No. 1469 for the purpose of storage;
 - (c) it is noted that the total built-over area of the existing structures on Site is larger than the proposed parameters mentioned in the submission. They are erected without approval of his office and not acceptable under the lease concerned. As such, his Office issued warning letters against the unauthorized structures erected on eight application lots (i.e. Lots 40 RP,

- 404 S.A, 408 S.A RP, 408 S.B RP, 414, 435, 436 and 437 RP in D.D. 89) (**Plan A-2**) and registered them in Land Registry in 2016 pending further lease enforcement action to be taken;
- (d) as some of the adjoining GL (**Plan A-2**) is being illegally occupied without approval from his office, his office reserves the right to take land control action against the unauthorized occupation of GL; and
- (e) should the application be approved, the owners of the lots concerned shall apply to his office for STW and STT covering all the actual occupation area and structures concerned. The application for STW and STT will be considered by the Government in its landlord's capacity and there is no guarantee that they will be approved. If the STW and STT are approved, their commencement date would be backdated to the first date of occupation and they will be subject to such terms and conditions to be imposed including payment of waiver fee/ rent and administrative fees as considered appropriate by his office.

Traffic

- 10.1.2 Comments of the Commissioner for Transport (C for T):
 - (a) it is noted that the proposed vehicular access to the Site is via a local village track connecting Man Kam To Road. Based on the FI submitted (**Appendices Ib and Id**), he considers that the application can be tolerated from the traffic engineering viewpoint; and
 - (b) the vehicular access between the Site and Man Kam To Road is not managed by his department (**Plan A-1**). The applicant should seek comment from the responsible party.
- 10.1.3 Comments of the Chief Highway Engineer/New Territories East, Highways Department (CHE/NTE, HyD):

he has no comment on the application from highways viewpoint. The vehicular access leading from Man Kam To Road to the Site is not maintained by HyD.

Agriculture

- 10.1.4 Comments of the Director of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation (DAFC):
 - (a) he does not support the application from agricultural point of view as the Site possesses potential for agricultural rehabilitation; and
 - (b) the Site falls within "AGR" zone and is currently paved open storage. Agricultural activities are active in the vicinity and agricultural infrastructures such as road access and water source are available. The Site can be used for agricultural activities such as greenhouses, plant nurseries, etc.

Environment

- 10.1.5 Comments of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP):
 - (a) as the application is temporary in nature, the applicant is advised to follow EPD's latest "Code of Practice on Handling Environmental Aspects of Temporary Uses and Open Storage Sites (CoP)";
 - (b) regarding the potential hazard to life arising from a Potentially Hazardous Installation (PHI), i.e. Sheung Shui Water Treatment Works (**Plan A-1**), he has no adverse comment on the application from chlorine risk perspective; and
 - (c) there is no existing public sewer in the vicinity of the Site. The applicant shall have to provide his own effective sewage treatment and disposal measures to cater for any sewage arising from the operation of the application. If septic tank and soakaway is proposed, its design and construction should follow the requirements of ProPECC 5/93. The Percolation Test and Minimum Clearance requirements stated in ProPECC PN5/93 should be fully complied with and duly certified by consulting engineer/ Authorised Person (AP). There was no substantiated environmental complaints against the Site in the past three years.

Landscape

- 10.1.6 Comments of the Chief Town Planner/Urban Design and Landscape, Planning Department (CTP/UD&L, PlanD):
 - (a) she has no objection to the application from the landscape planning perspective;
 - (b) the Site is located in an area of rural inland plain landscape character comprising agricultural land, scattered tree groups and hard paved areas for various storage uses. Based on aerial photo of July 2019 (**Plan A-3**), the Site is hard paved and the cargo handling and forwarding facility (logistic centre) are in operation. As the Site boundary, development scheme and layout under the current application is largely the same as the last approved application (No. A/NE-FTA/181), significant adverse landscape impact within the Site arising from the continuous use is not anticipated; and
 - (c) in view that further significant adverse landscape impact arising from the continuous use of logistic centre is not anticipated, should the application be approved, it is considered not necessary to impose a landscape condition.

Drainage

- 10.1.7 Comments of the Chief Engineer/Mainland North, Drainage Services Department (CE/MN, DSD):
 - (a) he has no in-principle objection to the application from the public drainage viewpoint;
 - (b) should the application be approved, an approval condition on the submission and implementation of a drainage proposal is recommended for the Site to ensure that it will not cause adverse drainage impact to the adjacent area; and
 - (c) the Site is in an area where no public sewerage connection is available.

Fire Safety

- 10.1.8 Comments of the Director of Fire Services (D of FS):
 - (a) he has no in-principle objection to the application subject to fire services installations (FSIs) being provided to the satisfaction of his department;
 - (b) in consideration of the design/nature of the proposal, FSIs are anticipated to be required. Therefore, the applicant is advised to submit relevant layout plans incorporated with the proposed FSIs to his department for approval. In addition, the applicant should also be advised on the following points:
 - (i) the layout plans should be drawn to scale and depicted with dimensions and nature of occupancy; and
 - (ii) the location of where the proposed FSI to be installed should be clearly marked on the layout plans; and
 - (c) the applicant is reminded that if the proposed structure(s) is required to comply with the Buildings Ordinance (Cap.123), detailed fire service requirements will be formulated upon receipt of formal submission of general building plans.

Building Matters

- 10.1.9 Comments of the Chief Building Surveyor/New Territories West, Buildings Department (CBS/NTW, BD):
 - (a) there is no record of approval by the Building Authority (BA) for the buildings/ structures existing on Site and BD is not in a position to offer comments on their suitability for the use related to the application; and
 - (b) his advisory comments are at **Appendix VI**.

District Officer's Comments

- 10.1.10 Comments of the District Officer (North), Home Affairs Department (DO(N), HAD):
 - (a) he has consulted the locals regarding the application. The 1st Vice-Chairman of Ta Kwu Ling District Rural Committee and the Indigenous Inhabitant Representative (IIR) of San Uk Ling object to the application on the grounds that the proposed development would worsen the traffic situation in Man Kam To Road; and
 - (b) the incumbent North District Council member, 打鼓嶺沙嶺村居民福利會 and the Resident Representatives (RRs) of San Uk Ling and Lo Wu have no comment on the application.
- 10.2 The following Government departments have no comment on the application:
 - (a) Director of Electrical and Mechanical Services (DEMS);
 - (b) Chief Engineer/Construction, Water Supplies Department (CE/C, WSD); and
 - (c) Project Manager (North), North Development Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department (PM(N), CEDD).

11. Public Comment Received During Statutory Publication Period (Appendix V)

On 4.9.2020, the application was published for public inspection. During the statutory public inspection periods, three public comments were received. A NDC Member indicates no comment on the application. The remaining two comments from WWF-HK and an individual object to the application mainly on the grounds that the application is not in line with the planning intention of the "AGR" zone; significant agricultural activities are in its vicinity; and the Site is a suspected "destroy first, build later" case.

12. Planning Considerations and Assessments

- 12.1 The application is for a temporary cargo handling and forwarding facility (logistics centre) for a period of three years at the Site zoned "AGR" on the OZP. The development is not in line with the planning intention of "AGR" zone which is primarily to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes and to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. DAFC does not support the application as the Site has potential for agricultural rehabilitation. Nevertheless, as the application is only on a temporary basis for a period of three years, the approval of the application would not frustrate the long-term planning intention of the "AGR" zone.
- 12.2 The Site falls entirely within Category 2 area under the TPB PG-No. 13F on 'Application for Open Storage and Port Back-up Uses' promulgated by the Board on 27.3.2020. The following considerations in the Guidelines are relevant:

Category 2 areas: technical assessments, where appropriate, should be submitted to demonstrate that the proposed use would not have adverse drainage, traffic, visual, landscaping and environmental impacts on the surrounding areas.

Subject to no adverse departmental comments and local objections, or the concerns of the departments and local residents can be addressed through the implementation of approval conditions, planning permission could be granted on a temporary basis up to a maximum period of 3 years.

- 12.3 The Site is located in an area of rural inland plain landscape character comprising agricultural land, scattered tree groups and hard paved areas for various storage uses. (**Plans A-2 and A-3**). CTP/UD&L, PlanD has no objection to the application from the landscape planning point of view as the Site boundary, development scheme and layout under the current application is largely the same as the last approved application (No. A/NE-FTA/181). Significant adverse landscape impact arising from continuing the temporary use under application is not anticipated.
- 12.4 Upon review of the further information submitted by the applicant (**Appendices Ib and Id**), C for T considers that the temporary use under application can be tolerated from the traffic engineering viewpoint. DEP advises that there was no substantiated environmental complaint in the past three years. The applicant is advised to follow the environmental mitigation measures as set out in the revised 'Code of Practice on Handling Environmental Aspects of Temporary Uses and Open Storage Sites'.
- 12.5 The use under application generally complies with the TPB Guideline PG-No. 13F in that it would not have adverse drainage, traffic, visual, landscape and environmental impacts on the surrounding areas and there are no adverse departmental comments on technical aspects, or the concern of relevant departments and local residents could be addressed through the implementation of relevant approval conditions as stated in paragraph 13.2 below. Other concerned Government departments, including CHE/NTE of HyD, CE/MN of DSD, D of FS, CE/C of WSD, and DEMS, have no comment on or no objection to the application.
- 12.6 The Site is the subject of nine previously approved applications for various temporary open storage uses/ container vehicle park/ logistics uses between 1998 and 2018 (**Appendix III**). The last planning application (No. A/NE-FTA/181) submitted for the same applied use as the current application was approved by the Committee on 15.6.2018 for a period of three years up to 15.6.2021. All the approval conditions of the previous application have been complied with. There has been no major change in the planning circumstances for the area since the approval of the previous application.
- 12.7 Regarding the local objections conveyed by DO(N) of HAD and the adverse public comments against the application as detailed in paragraphs 10.1.10 and 11 above respectively, Government departments' comments and planning assessment above are relevant.

13. Planning Department's Views

- 13.1 Based on the assessment made in paragraph 12 and having taken into account the local objections conveyed by DO(N) of HAD and the public comments mentioned in paragraphs 10.1.10 and 11 above, the Planning Department considers that the temporary use under application could be tolerated for a period of 3 years.
- 13.2 Should the Committee decide to approve the application, it is suggested that the permission shall be valid on a temporary basis for a period of 3 years, until <u>5.2.2024</u>.

The following conditions of approval and advisory clauses are suggested for Members' reference:

Approval Conditions

- (a) no operation between 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. on Mondays to Saturdays, as proposed by the applicant, is allowed on the Site during the planning approval period;
- (b) no operation on Sundays and public holidays, as proposed by the applicant, should be allowed on the Site during the planning approval period;
- (c) the submission of a drainage proposal within 6 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Town Planning Board by 5.8.2021;
- (d) in relation to (c) above, the provision of drainage facilities within 9 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Drainage Services or of the Town Planning Board by 5.11.2021;
- (e) the submission of proposals for water supplies for fire-fighting and fire service installations within 6 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Fire Services or of the Town Planning Board by 5.8.2021;
- (f) in relation to (e) above, the provision of water supplies for fire-fighting and fire service installations within 9 months from the date of planning approval to the satisfaction of the Director of Fire Services or of the Town Planning Board by 5.11.2021;
- (g) if any of the above planning conditions (a) or (b) is not complied with during the approval period, the approval hereby given shall cease to have effect and shall be revoked immediately without further notice;
- (h) if any of the above planning conditions (c), (d), (e) or (f) is not complied with by the specified date, the approval hereby given shall cease to have effect and shall on the same date be revoked without further notice; and
- (i) upon the expiry of the planning permission, the reinstatement of the Site to an amenity area to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning or of the Town Planning.

Advisory Clauses

The recommended advisory clauses are attached at **Appendix VI**.

- 13.3 Alternatively, should the Committee decide to reject the application, the following reason for rejection is suggested for Members' reference:
 - the development is not in line with the planning intention of the "AGR" zone which is primarily to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes and to retain fallow arable land

with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. There is no strong justification in the submission for a departure from such planning intention, even on a temporary basis.

14. Decision Sought

- 14.1 The Committee is invited to consider the application and decide whether to grant or refuse to grant permission.
- 14.2 Should the Committee decide to approve the application, Members are invited to consider the approval condition(s) and advisory clause(s), if any, to be attached to the permission, and the period of which the permission should be valid on a temporary basis.
- 14.3 Alternatively, should the Committee decide to reject the application, Members are invited to advise what reason(s) for rejection should be given to the applicant.

Attachments

Appendix I	Application Form with Attachments received on 28.8.2020
Appendix Ia	Supplementary Information received on 2.9.2020
Appendix Ib	Further Information received on 29.9.2020
Appendix Ic	Letter received on 9.10.2020 requesting for deferment of consideration of
	the application
Appendix Id	Further Information received on 23.12.2020
Appendix Ie	Further Information received on 20.1.2021
Appendix II	Relevant extract of Town Planning Board Guidelines for Application for
	Open Storage and Port Back-up Uses (TPB PG-No. 13F)
Appendix III	Previous Applications
Appendix IV	Similar Applications for Logistic Centre in the vicinity of the application
	site within the "Agriculture" zone in the Fu Tei Au and Sha Ling Area

Appendix V Public Comments

Appendix VI Recommended Advisory Clauses

Drawing A-1 Proposed Layout Plan

Plan A-1 Location Plan
Plan A-2 Site Plan
Plan A-3 Aerial Photo
Plans A-4a and A-4b Site Photos

PLANNING DEPARTMENT FEBRUARY 2021