Appendix 3

Traffic Impact Assessment

> Traffic Impact Assessment Final Report 4th February, 2025

Prepared by: CKM Asia Limited

Prepared for: Goldshine Investment Limited

CONTENTS

CHAPTER

1. **INTRODUCTION** 1 Background Scope of Study Contents of the Report 2. THE EXISTING SITUATION 2 The Subject Site The Existing Development The Road Network **Pedestrian Facilities** Public Transport Services **Existing Traffic Flows** Performance of the Surveyed Junctions **Existing Pedestrian Flows** Performance of the Surveyed Footpaths THE PROPOSED CONVERSION 3. 8 The Proposed Conversion **Internal Transport Facilities** Internal Transport Layout **Traffic Generation** Pedestrian Generation 4. TRAFFIC IMPACT 14 **Design Year** Historic Traffic Growth **Population Projection Traffic Forecast** Year 2030 Traffic Flow Year 2030 Junction Capacity Analyses **Pedestrian Forecast** Year 2030 Pedestrian Flow Year 2030 Footpath Operational Performance

5. Summary

19

PAGE

CONTENTS

<u>CHAPTER</u>

PAGE

Figures

Appendix A – Extract of 2023 ATC Appendix B – Junction Capacity Analyses Appendix C – Swept Path Analyses

TABLES

- 2.1 Existing Internal Transport Provision
- 2.2 Existing Headroom of Loading / Unloading Bays and Layby
- 2.3 Public Transport Services Operating near the Subject Site
- 2.4 List of Surveyed Junctions
- 2.5 Existing Peak Hour Junction Performance
- 2.6 List of Surveyed Footpaths
- 2.7 Visitor Record of the Retail Space of the Existing Development at 1/F and UG/F
- 2.8 Existing Footpath Operational Performance
- 3.1 Comparison on Development Parameters
- 3.2 Comparisons of the Provision of Internal Transport Facilities
- 3.3 Weekday Trip Generation Rates Adopted
- 3.4 Results of Trip Generation Sruveys at Establisted Retail Developments
- 3.5 Results of Trip Generation Sruveys at Establisted Hotel Developments
- 3.6 Weekday / Weekend Trip Generation Factors
- 3.7 Weekend Trip Generation Rates Adopted

TABLES

- 3.8 Net Change in Weekday Traffic Generation
- 3.9 Net Change in Weekend Traffic Generation
- 3.10 Results of Pedestrian Generation Surveys and Derived Pedestrian Generation Rates
- 3.11 Pedestrian Generation Of Proposed Conversion
- 4.1 AADT of ATC Stations Located near the Subject Site
- 4.2 Project Population for Southern District
- 4.3 Details of Other Known Major Planned / Committed Developments Identified
- 4.4 Year 2030 Peak Hour Junction Performance
- 4.5 Year 2030 Peak Hour Footpath Performance

FIGURES

- 1.1 Location of the Subject Site
- 2.1 Approved UG/F Layout of the Existing Development
- 2.2 Approved LG/F Layout of the Existing Development
- 2.3 Approved B1/F Layout of the Existing Development
- 2.4 Approved B2/F Layout of the Existing Development
- 2.5 Approved B3/F Layout of the Existing Development
- 2.6 Public Transport Services operating near the Subject Site
- 2.7 Area of Influence and Location of the Surveyed Junctions
- 2.8 Junction of Repulse Bay Road / Beach Road (J01)
- 2.9 Junction of Beach Road / South Bay Path (J02) and Junction of South Bay Road / South Bay Path (J03)
- 2.10 Junction of South Bay Road / Beach Road (J04)
- 2.11 Junction of Repulse Bay Road / South Bay Road (J05)
- 2.12 Junction of South Bay Road / South Bay Close (J06)
- 2.13 Existing Weekday Peak Hour Traffic Flows
- 2.14 Existing Weekend Peak Hour Traffic Flows
- 2.15 Location of the Surveyed Footpaths

FIGURES

- 3.1 Proposed Internal Transport Layout at UG/F with the Proposed Conversion
- 3.2 Proposed Internal Transport Layout at B3/F with the Proposed Conversion
- 3.3 Visibility Assessement at Approved / Existing Vehicular Accesses along Beach Road
- 4.1 Locations of Other Planned / Committed Developments in the vicinity
- 4.2 Year 2030 Weekday Peak Hour Traffic Flow without the Proposed Conversion
- 4.3 Year 2030 Weekend Peak Hour Traffic Flow without the Proposed Conversion
- 4.4 Year 2030 Weekday Peak Hour Traffic Flow with the Proposed Conversion
- 4.5 Year 2030 Weekend Peak Hour Traffic Flow with the Proposed Conversion

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Background

- 1.1 The Subject Site is located at 28 Beach Road, Repulse Bay, Hong Kong. It is now occupied by a retail building, which is known as The Pulse (hereinafter "the Existing Development"). **Figure 1.1** shows the location of the Subject Site.
- 1.2 The Owner, i.e. Goldshine Investment Limited, intends to convert the upper 2 floors (1/F and UG/F) of the Existing Development into a hotel with 96 rooms. In addition, the exsiting changing room at B1/F will also be coverted into an ancillary gym and spa for the hotel. With this conversion, the retail GFA will be reduced from existing 13,728m² to 5,841m² (hereinafter "the Proposed Conversion").
- 1.3 CKM Asia Limited, a traffic and transportation planning consultancy firm, has been commissioned by the Owner to prepare this Traffic Impact Assessment ("TIA") in support of the planning application for the Proposed Conversion. This TIA report has been updated in responses to the comments provided by Transport Department during the pre-submission stage.

Scope of Study

- 1.4 The main objectives of this study are as follows:
 - To assess the existing traffic and pedestrian issues in the vicinity of the Subject Site;
 - To justify the provision of internal transport facilities;
 - To quantify the amount of traffic and pedestrian generated by the Proposed Conversion;
 - To examine the traffic and pedestrian impact on the local road network;
 - To identify any deficiencies in the road and pedestrian network in accommodating the expected traffic and pedestrian generation associated with the Proposed Conversion; and
 - To recommend traffic and pedestrian improvement measures, if necessary.

Contents of the Report

1.5 After this introduction, the remaining chapters contain the following:

Chapter Two	-	Describes the existing condition and surveys,
Chapter Three	-	Outlines the Proposed Conversion,
Chapter Four	-	Presents the traffic and pedestrian impact analyses, and
Chapter Five	-	Summarises the overall conclusion.

2.0 THE EXISTING SITUATION

The Subject Site

2.1 The Subject Site is elongated with a length of some 260m, but has a narrow depth averaging at only 15m. It is bounded by Beach Road to the east, and the Repulse Bay Beach to the west.

The Existing Development

2.2 The Existing Development is a 6-stoery retail-only building with some 13,728 m² GFA. For easy understanding, the existing building disposition is illustrated below:

Roof @+18.05		E&M						
1/F @ + 14.05				Retail				
UG/F @ +9.45	Beach Road		Retail Walk-				L/UL	Repulse Bay
LG/F @ +5.65		Run-Out	Retail		way	Retail	Ramp	Beach
B1/F @ + 1.85		Ramp	Retail		D.R.	Changing Rm	Down	
B2/F @ -0.70		Up	Up E&M, Building Services etc.					
B3/F @ -3.75		Car Park						
[D.R. – Drainage Reserve] [L/UL – Loading / Unloading] [Changing Rm – Changing Room]								

Illustration of the Existing Development

2.3 Internal transport facilities are provided on UG/F, and B3/F at present. Table 2.1 presents details of the existing internal transport provision.

Facility		Number of Spaces / Bays	Location
Private Car	Conventional:	26 nos. @ 5.0m (L) x 2.5m (W) x Min. 2.4m (H)	B3/F
Parking Spaces	Mechanical:	70 nos. on 35 sets of double deck car parking	
		racks @ .0m (L) x 2.5m (W)	
	Accessible:	1 no. @ 5.0m (L) x 3.5m (W) x Min. 2.4m (H)	
	Total:	97 nos.	
Van-Type Goods	4 nos. @ 5.0m	(L) x 2.5m (W)	UG/F
Vehicle Loading /			(Indoor)
Unloading Bays			
LGV Layby	1 no. @ 7.0m	(L) x 3.5m (W)	UG/F
			(Semi-
			Open)

 TABLE 2.1
 EXISTING INTERNAL TRANSPORT PROVISION

2.4 The headroom required for loading / unloading bays and layby are not stated in the Lease or the approved GBP. Hence, the headroom available at the loading / unloading area are measured on-site and summarised in Table 2.2.

TABLE 2.2EXISTING HEADROOM OF LOADING / UNLOADING BAYS
AND LAYBY

Facility	Location	Minimum Clear Headroom					
Van-type Loading / Unloading Bays	UG/F (Indoor)	2.9m ^(Note 1)					
LGV Layby	UG/F (Semi-Open)	3.8m ^(Note 1)					

Note 1: Lowest headroom measured along the driveway, and at the loading / unloading bay or layby.

- 2.5 In addition, the Existing Development has 3 vehicular access points, including:
 - (i) Run-out from the car park at the northern end of the building,
 - (ii) Run-in/out of the UG/F indoor loading / unloading area and run-in of the car park at the southern end of the building, and
 - (iii) Run-in/out of the UG/F semi-open LGV loading / unloading bay at the southernmost end.

2.6 **Figures 2.1 - 2.5** show the existing internal transport layout, and locations of the 3 vehicular access points.

Existing Goods Delivery Operation

- 2.7 In view the Existing Devleopment provides limited number and type of goods vehicle loading / unloading bays, a survey was conducted to understand the existing goods delivery operation. Questionnaire survey was conducted with over 30 shop tenants for a 2-week period, i.e. from Monday, 25th December 2023 to Sunday, 7th January 2024, which covers the busy Christmas and New Year period and also normal days. Amongst the surveyed shop tenants, 28 responded, i.e. a response rate of 93%.
- 2.8 The maximum daily delivery was on Friday, 5th January 2024 with a total of 22 deliveries, of which 50% or 11 nos. used goods van, and the remaining 50% or 11 nos. used LGV. The peak 3-hour periods was from 1300 to 1600 hours with 7 deliveries, i.e. an average of 2.3 deliveries per hour. No M/HGV was used during the 2-week survey period.
- 2.9 77% of the deliveries were completed within 15 minutes, and the remaining 23% completed between 15 30 minutes. No delivery took longer than 30 minutes.
- 2.10 In terms of seasonal variation, only 6 shops indicated that there are 1 to 2 additional deliveries per week during the busy summer season, and these deliveries occur on weekday during the non-peak time period. Hence, the peak delivery operation on Friday, 5 January 2024 is opined to be representative, and is not affected seasonally.
- 2.11 Nevertheless, the survey concluded that the Existing Development with 13,728m² retail GFA has a maximum demand for 2 van-type loading / unloading bays, and 1 LGV loading / unloading bays during the peak hour. This demand could be fulfilled with the existing provision with 4 van-type loading / unloading bays and 1 LGV loading / unloading bay.

The Road Network

- 2.12 Beach Road is a single carriageway 1-way local road connecting Repulse Bay Road to the north and South Bay Road to the south. On-street parking spaces, laybys for passenger pick-off / drop-off, and red minibus and taxi stands are provided along Beach Road. Vehicles exceeding the height of 4.1m are prohibited to enter Beach Road due to restricted headroom. Goods vehicles are prohibited to enter Beach Road between 12noon and 7pm on Saturday, and all day on Sundays and General Holidays.
- 2.13 South Bay Path is a single carriageway 2-way local road connecting Beach Road and South Bay Road. Goods vehicles are prohibited to enter South Bay Path between 12noon and 7pm on Saturday, and all day on Sundays and General Holidays.
- 2.14 South Bay Road is a single carriageway 2-way local road connecting Repulse Bay Road to the north and ends at the South Bay Beach.

Repulse Bay Road is a single carriageway 2-way Primary Distributor connecting 2.15 Wong Nai Chung Gap Road to the north and continues as Stanley Gap Road to the south. It provides regional access to the Subject Site.

Pedestrian Facilities

- In general, footpaths are provided along both sides of Beach Road fronting the 2.16 Subject Site. Further north of the Subject Site, footpath is only provided along one side of Beach Road, i.e. the western side along Repulse Bay Beach.
- 2.17 Pedestrian can reach the public transport service provided at Repulse Bay Road via a stairway which connects Beach Road and Repulse Bay Road.

Public Transport Services

The Subject Site is located close to public transport services, including franchised 2.18 bus and green mini-bus (the "GMB") routes operate along Repulse Bay Road. Figure 2.6 shows the stop locations of these public transport services in the vicinity, and Table 2.3 presents the details.

Route	Origin - Destination	Frequency (minutes)
CTB 6	Central (Exchange Square) ↔ Stanley Prison	10 - 30
CTB 6A	Central (Exchange Square) → Stanley Fort Gate	20 (1)
CTB 6X	Central (Exchange Square) ↔ Stanley Prison	10 - 25
CTB 63	North Point Ferry ↔ Stanley Prison	30 (1)
CTB 65	North Point Ferry ↔ Stanley Market	12 - 20 ⁽²⁾
CTB 66	Central (Exchange Square) ↔ Ma Hang Estate	20 - 30 ⁽³⁾
CTB 73	Cyberport / Wa Fu (North) ↔ Stanley Prison	12 - 30
CTB 260	Central (Exchange Square) ↔ Stanley Prison	15 - 20
CTB 973	Tsim Sha Tsui (Mody Road) ↔ Stanley	30 - 60
GMB 40	Causeway Bay ↔ Stanley Village	10 - 20
GMB 40X	Causeway Bay ↔ Stanley (Stanley Prison)	4 - 9
GMB 52	Aberdeen (Shek Pai Wan) ↔ Stanley Prison	5 - 12
GMB N40	Causeway Bay ↔ Stanley Village	20 (4)
RMB	Mong Kok → Repulse Bay Beach	AM Service Only ⁽⁵⁾
	Repulse Bay Beach → Mong Kok	PM Service Only ⁽⁵⁾
Note: CTB – C	Citybus GMB – Green Minibus	RMB – Red Minibus

PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICES OPERATING TABLE 2.3 NEAR THE SUBJECT SITE

Note: CTB – Citvbus GMB – Green Minibus

No service on Sundays and Public Holidays. (2) Service on Sundays and Public Holidays only.

(3) AM and PM peak hours service. No service on Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays.

(4) Overnight Services.

(5) Limited services on Saturdays, Sundays, and Public Holidays during swimming season from April to September.

Existing Traffic Flows

To quantify the existing traffic flows, manual classified counts were conducted 2.19 during the AM and PM peak periods on a weekday, i.e. Friday, 10th January 2025, and on a weekend, i.e. Saturday, 11th January 2025, at the selected junctions within the Area of Influence ("AOI"). The surveyed junctiosn are found in Table 2.4.

LIST OF SURVEYED IUNCTIONS

Ref.	Surveyed Junctions
J01	Junction of Repulse Bay Road / Beach Road
J02	Junction of Beach Road / South Bay Path
J03	Junction of South Bay Road / Beach Road
J04	Junction of South Bay Road / South Bay Path
J05	Junction of Repulse Bay Road / South Bay Road
J06	Junction of South Bay Road / South Bay Close

- 2.20 The AOI and locations of the above listed junctions are shown in **Figure 2.7**, and the existing junction layouts are shown in **Figures 2.8 2.12**.
- 2.21 The traffic counts were classified by vehicle type to enable traffic flows in passenger car units ("pcu") to be calculated. The AM peak hour are found to be 0800 to 0900 hours on a weekday, and 0900 to 1000 on a weekend; whereas the PM peak hour is found to be 1700 to 1800 for both weekday and weekend respectively.

Seasonal Adjustment

TABLE 2.4

- 2.22 Reference is made to Core Station 1011, i.e. Repulse Bay Road, found in the 2023 Annual Traffic Census ("ATC") published by Transport Department. The relevant data sheet is found in **Appendix A**.
- 2.23 ATC Core Station 1011 shows that traffic flow of Repulse Bay is highest in June, which is some 101% of the annual average for both weekday and weekend (Saturday), and the traffic flow in January is some 99% of the annual average on weekday, and 100% of the annual average on Saturday.
- 2.24 Since traffic condition in Repulse Bay is the busiest during the summer period, and in view the traffic surveys were carried out in January, a seasonal adjustment factor of 1.05 [Calculation: $101\% \div 99\% = 1.02$ and $100\% \div 99\% = 1.01$, hecnce say 1.05 for both to be conservative] is applied to the observed traffic flow to take into account the seasonal variation.
- 2.25 **Figures 2.13 and 2.14** present the adjusted existing AM and PM peak hour traffic flows established in pcu/hour for a weekday and a weekend respectively.

Performance of the Surveyed Junctions

2.26 Performance of surveyed junctions were calculated based on the existing traffic flows and the analysis was undertaken using the methods outlined in Volume 2 of the Transport Planning and Design Manual ("TPDM"), which is published by the Transport Department. Table 2.5 presents the results and detailed calculations are found in **Appendix B**.

TAB	TABLE 2.5 EXISTING PEAK HOUR JUNCTION PERFORMANCE							
Ref.	Junction	Туре	Parameter	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour			
	W	/eekday						
J01	J/O Repulse Bay Road / Beach Road	Priority	RFC	0.102	0.056			
J02	J/O Beach Road / South Bay Path	Priority	RFC	0.043	0.073			
J03	J/O South Bay Road / Beach Road	Priority	RFC	0.118	0.132			
J04	J/O South Bay Road / South Bay Path	Priority	RFC	0.246	0.300			
J05	J/O Repulse Bay Road / South Bay Road	Roundabout	RFC	0.613	0.573			
J06	J/O South Bay Road / South Bay Close	Roundabout	RFC	0.189	0.249			
	Weekend							
J01	J/O Repulse Bay Road / Beach Road	Priority	RFC	0.076	0.115			
J02	J/O Beach Road / South Bay Path	Priority	RFC	0.035	0.063			
J03	J/O South Bay Road / Beach Road	Priority	RFC	0.095	0.164			
J04	J/O South Bay Road / South Bay Path	Priority	RFC	0.284	0.384			
J05	J/O Repulse Bay Road / South Bay Road	Roundabout	RFC	0.434	0.518			
J06	J/O South Bay Road / South Bay Close	Roundabout	RFC	0.239	0.279			
Note:	REC – Ratio of Flow to Capacity							

2.27 The results in Table 2.6 indicate that the junctions analyzed operate with capacity during the weekday and weekend peak hours.

Existing Pedestrian Flow

2.28 To quantify the existing pedestrian flows, pedestrian counts were conducted during the AM and PM peak periods on Friday, 10th January 2025, and Saturday, 11th January 2025, at the selected footpaths within the Area of Influence ("AOI"). The surveyed footpaths are found in Table 2.6, and their locations are illustrated in **Figure 2.15**.

Ref.	Surveyed Footpaths
FP01	Stairway between Repulse Bay Road and Beach Road
FP02	Southern Footpath of Beach Road (outside Seaview Building)
FP03	Southern Footpath of Beach Road (outside Car Park / Repulse Bay Beach Building)
FP04	Northern Footpath of Beach Road (outside Beach Centre)
FP05	Southern Footpath of Beach Road (opposite South Bay Path)
FP06	Southern Footpath of Beach Road (opposite 49/53/55 Beach Road))
FP07	Northern Footpath of Beach Road (south of South Bay Road)
FP08	Footpath along Repulse Bay Beach (near Repulse Bay Beach Building)
FP09	Footpath along Repulse Bay Beach (outside the the Subject Site)

Seasonal Adjustment

2.29 Visitor record of the retail space of the Existing Development at 1/F and UG/F, during the winter period between December 2023 and February 2024 and for the summer period between May 2024 and August 2024, provided by the Applicant, are presented in Table 2.7.

TABLE 2.7 VISITOR RECORD OF THE RETAIL SPACE OF THE EXISTING DEVELOPMENT AT 1/F AND UG/F

Category	Winter Period				Summer Period			Minimum	Maximum	Seasonal	
	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	of	of	Factor
	2023	2023	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024	2024	Winter	Summer	[b]/[a]
	Average Daily Visitor				s (1200	to 190	0 hours	5)	[a]	[b]	
Weekday	133	123	119	134	103	124	153	147	119	153	1.3
Weekend	386	283	256	255	399	287	284	266	255	399	1.6

Note: Weekend includes Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays.

- 2.30 Table 2.7 shows the number of visitors on a weekday during the summer period could be 1.3 times more than during winter period; whereas during weekend, the number of visitors could be 1.6 times higher.
- 2.31 In view the pedestrian surveys were conducted in January during winter period, to be conservative, a seasonal adjustment factor of 2 (Note: higher than 1.6 as reported in Table 2.7) is applied to the observed pedestrian flow to establish the existing pedestrian flows for both weekday and weekend.

Performance of the Surveyed Footpaths

2.32 Level-of-Service ("LOS") analysis was conducted, and the LOS grading follows TPDM Volume 6, Section 10.4. Table 2.8 summarize the pedestrian flows, and analysis results.

Footpath	Measured	Effective	AM Pea	k Hour	PM Peak	Hour
Section	Width	Width	2-way	Flow Rates	2-way	Flow Rates
	(m)	(m)	Pedestrian Flow	Pedestrian Flow [LOS] P		LOS]
			(ped/hour)	(ped/m/min)	(ped/hour)	(ped/m/min)
			\A/aalv			
FD01	2.5	2.5			410	10[4]
FP01	3.5m	2.5m	288	2.7 [A]	412	1.9 [A]
FP02	2.5m	1.5m	252	3.0 [A]	266	2.8 [A]
FP03	3.0m	2.0m	176	1.4 [A]	172	1.5 [A]
FP04	1.8m	0.8m	124	0.8 [A]	36	2.6 [A]
FP05	2.8m	1.8m	170	1.7 [A]	186	1.6 [A]
FP06	1.8m	0.8m	108	1.9 [A]	90	2.3 [A]
FP07	1.5m	1.0m	20	0.1 [A]	4	0.3 [A]
FP08	4.0m	3.0m	250	3.0 [A]	546	1.4 [A]
FP09	3.5m	3.0m	208	2.7 [A]	486	1.2 [A]
			N/ 1			
			Weeke	end	1	
FP01	3.5m	2.5m	222	1.5 [A]	980	6.5 [A]
FP02	2.5m	1.5m	438	4.9 [A]	678	7.5 [A]
FP03	3.0m	2.0m	246	2.1 [A]	350	2.9 [A]
FP04	1.8m	0.8m	128	2.7 [A]	100	2.1 [A]
FP05	2.8m	1.8m	300	2.8 [A]	364	3.4 [A]
FP06	1.8m	0.8m	220	4.6 [A]	120	2.5 [A]
FP07	1.5m	1.0m	30	0.5 [A]	12	0.2 [A]
FP08	4.0m	3.0m	366	2.0 [A]	1,122	6.2 [A]
FP09	3.5m	3.0m	326	1.8 [A]	944	5.2 [A]

TABLE 2.8EXISTING FOOTPATH OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE

2.33 Table 2.9 shows the footpaths analyzed operate with capacity during the weekday and weekend peak hours.

3.0 THE PROPOSED CONVERSION

The Proposed Conversion

- 3.1 The Proposed Conversion involves changing some existing 7,887m² retail GFA to become a hotel with 96 rooms at 1/F and UG/F. In addition, the existing changing rooms at B1/F will also be coverted into an ancillary gym and spa for the hotel. Whereas, the existing retail use on LG/F and B1/F will remain.
- 3.2 Table 3.1 compares the development parameters for the Existing Development and the Proposed Conversion

Use	Existing Development	Proposed Conversion	Difference
Retail	13,728m ² GFA	About 5,841m ² GFA	-7,887m² GFA
	(1/F, UG/F, LG/F, B1/F,	(LG/F, B1/F [Part] and B2/F)	
	and B2/F)		
Hotel	-	96 rooms with	+96 rooms (+about
		GFA of about 6,590m ²⁾	6,590m ² GFA)
		(1/F, UG/F and B1/F [Part])	
TOTAL	13,728m ² GFA	About 12,431m² GFA	-1,297 m² GFA

TABLE 3.1COMPARISON ON DEVELOPMENT PARAMETERS

3.3 For easy understanding, disposition of the Proposed Conversion is illustrated below:

Roof @+18.05			E8	жM					
1/F @ + 14.05			Hotel			Run-In/Out		ıt	
UG/F@+9.45	Beach Road		with 96 rooms			Walk-	& L/UL		Repulse Bay
LG/F @ +5.65		Run-Out		Retail		way	Retail	Ramp	Beach
B1/F @ + 1.85		Ramp	1	Retail		D.R.	Hotel (G&S)	Down	
B2/F @ -0.70		Up	Retail E&M, Building Services e			etc.			
B3/F @ -3.75			Car Park						
[[DR – Drainage	Reservel	[] /]	// - Loading / L/nlo	ading1 [Hot	el (G&S)	- Hotel (Gym & S	na)1	

ge Reserve] [L/UL – Loading / Unloading] [Hotel (G&S) – Hotel (Gym & Spa) Illustration of the Proposed Conversion

Internal Transport Facilities

3.4 Table 3.2 compares the provision of internal transport faculties recommended by the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines ("HKPSG"), and the proposed provision.

TABLE 3.2 COMPARISON OF THE PROVISION OF INTERNAL TRANSPORT FACILITIES

Use	HKPSG Recommendation (Retail GFA = 5,841m ² GFA, and Hotel with 96 rooms)	Proposed Provision		
Car Parl	king Spaces			
Retail	1 car parking space per 150 – 300 m ² GFA	40 nos., including:		
	Minimum: $5,841 \div 300 = 19.5$, say 20 nos.	- 39 nos. regular @ 5.0m (L) x		
	Maximum: $5,841 \div 150 = 38.9$, say 39 nos.	2.5m (W) x min. 2.4m (H)		
Hotel	1 car parking space per 100 rooms	- 1 no. accessible @ 5.0m (L) x		
	$96 \div 100 = 1.0$, say 1 no.	3.5m (W) x min. 2.4m (H)		
TOTAL	Minimum: 20 + 1 = 21 nos.	=HKPSG Maximum, OK		
	Maximum: 39 + 1 = 40 nos.			

TABLE 3.2 COMPARISON OF THE PROVISION OF INTERNAL TRANSPORT FACILITIES (CONT'D)

Use	HKPSG Recommendation (Retail GFA = $5,841m^2$ GFA, and	Proposed Provision
Matana	Hotel With 96 rooms)	
MOLOICY		
Overall	5% - 10% of car parking space providedMinimum: $40 \times 5\% = 2$, say 2 nos.Maximum: $40 \times 10\% = 4$, say 4 nos.	4 nos. @ 2.4m (L) x 1.0m (W) x min. 2.4m (H) – HKPSG Maximum, OK
Goods \	/ehicle Loading / Unloading Bays	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Retail	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	 9 nos., including 2 nos. HGV @ 11.0m (L) x 3.5m (W) x min. 4.7m (H), 2 nos. LGV @ 7.0m (L) x 3.5m
Hotel	$0.5 - 1 \text{ loading / unloading bay per 100 rooms}$ Minimum: $96 \times 0.5 \div 100 = 0.5$, say 1 no.Maximum: $96 \times 1.0 \div 100 = 1.0$, say 1 no.	 (W) x min. 3.6m (H), and 5 nos. Van-type @ 5.0m (L) x 2.5m (W) x min. 2.4m (H)
TOTAL	Minimum: $6 + 1 = 7$ nos. HGV: $7 \times 35\% = 2.5$, say 3 nos. LGV: $7 - 3 = 4$ nos. Maximum: $8 + 1 = 9$ nos. HGV: $9 \times 35\% = 3.2$, say 4 nos. LGV: $9 - 4 = 5$ nos.	- HKPSG Maximum with deviation on type of bays provided, OK [Remarks: Only van-type goods vehicle loading / unloading bays are provided in the Existing Development.]
Layby fo	or Taxi and Private Cars	
Retail	No Recommendation	2 nos. @ 5.0m (L) x 2.5m (W) x
Hotel	For Taxi and Private Cars: Minimum 2 nos. for ≤299 rooms	min. 2.4m (H) = HKPSG, OK
Layby fo	r Single-Deck Tour Bus	
Retail	No Recommendation	
Hotel	For Single-Deck Tour Bus: Minimum 1 nos. for ≤299 rooms	1 no. @ 12.0m (L) x 3.5m (W) x min. 3.8m (H) = HKPSG. OK

Car Parking Spaces

3.5 Table 3.2 shows that the number of private car parking spaces provided satisfies the HKPSG maximum recommendation.

Motorcycle Parking Spaces

- 3.6 Table 3.2 also shows that the number of motorcycle parking spaces satisfies the HKPSG maximum recommendation.
- 3.7 Considering the Existing Development does not provide motorcycle parking space, the introduction of motorcycle parking spaces for the Propsoed Conversion is a merit.

Goods Vehicle Loading / Unloading Bays

3.8 Table 3.2 shows that the number of goods vehicle loading / unloading bays provided satisfies the HKPSG maximum recommendation.

3.9 The Proposed Conversion offers <u>a merit</u> which is the introduction of HGV loading / unloadings bays, currently not provided within the Existing Devleopment, as well as an additional LGV loading / unloading bay. To enable LGV and HGV to access the existing loading / unloading area at the Pulse, portion of the floor at 1/F will be removed as part of the Proposed Conversion in order to increase the clear headroom available.

Layby for Taxi and Private Cars

3.10 Table 3.2 shows that the number of layby for private car and taxi provided satisfies the HKPSG recommendation.

Layby forSingle-deck Tour Bus Parking Space

3.11 Table 3.2 shows that the number of layby for single-deck tour bus provided satisfies the HKPSG recommendation.

Internal Transport Layout

- 3.12 **Figuires 3.1 and 3.2** presents the proposed internal transport layout at UG/F and B3/F for the Proposed Conversion. The 3 existing vehicular access points at Beach Road shall remain unchanged.
- 3.13 Swept path analyses using CAD-based program were carried out to ensure ease of vehicle manoeuvring with the Proposed Conversion. No manoeuvring issue is found. The swept path analysis drawings are found in the **Appendix C**.
- 3.14 Visibility assessements meeting the requirement as stipulated in the TPDM at the 3 existing vehicular access points are performed and illustrated in **Figure 3.3**.

Traffic Generation

3.15 Traffic generation for the Existing Development and the Proposed Conversion are estimated based on the mean retail and hotel trip rates found in the TPDM, and are presented in below paragraphs.

Weekday Trip Generation Rates

3.16 Table 3.3 presents the trip generation rates for retail and hotel obtained from the TPDM for weekday AM and PM peak hour.

Use	Parameter	Trip Generation Rate				
		AM Peak Hour		PM Pea	ık Hour	
		Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction	
Retail	pcu/100m²/hr	0.2296	0.2434	0.3100	0.3563	
Hotel	pcu/room/hr	0.1329	0.1457	0.1290	0.1546	

TABLE 3.3WEEKDAY TRIP GENERATION RATES ADOPTED

Weekend Trip Generation Rates

- 3.17 In view the TPDM has no weekend trip generation rates for retail and hotel uses, the weekday TPDM trip generation rates are used with reference to the CKM inhouse trip generation database to derive the weekend trip generation rates.
- 3.18 Trip generation surveys were carried at established retail and hotel developments, and the results are summarised in Tables 3.4 and 3.5.

TABLE 3.4RESULTS OF TRIP GENERATION SRUVEYS AT ESTABLISTED RETAIL
DEVELOPMENTS

Development	AM Peak hour				PM Peak Hour			
-	Generation Attraction (pcu/hour) (pcu/hour)		Generation (pcu/hour)		Attraction (pcu/hour)			
	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend
Langham Place	3	9	17	50	110	91	89	67
Kowloon	11	14	89	49	70	88	54	99
City Plaza								
Dragon Centre	14	33	23	34	82	79	48	77
TOTAL	28	56	129	133	262	258	191	243
Weekend /	2.000		1.031		0.985		1.272	
Weekday Ratio								

TABLE 3.5 RESULTS OF TRIP GENERATION SRUVEYS AT ESTABLISTED HOTEL DEVELOPMENTS

Development	AM Peak hour				PM Peak Hour			
	Generation Att (pcu/hour) (pc		Attraction (pcu/hour)		Generation (pcu/hour)		Attraction (pcu/hour)	
	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend
3 Kau U Fong,	9	8	9	8	13	8	13	8
Central								
263 Hollywood	5	13	5	13	10	9	10	9
Road, Central								
TOTAL	14	21	14	21	23	17	23	17
Weekend /	1.533		1.533		0.778		0.778	
Weekday Ratio								

3.19 With reference to Tables 3.4 and 3.5, the weekday / weekend trip generation factors are summarised in Table 3.6, and the weekend trip generation rates are presented in Table 3.7.

TABLE 3.6WEEKDAY / WEEKEND TRIP GENERATION FACTORS

Use	Trip Generation Factors (Multipliers)					
	AM Peak Hour		PM Peak Hour			
	Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction		
Retail (From Table 3.4)	2.000	1.031	0.985	1.272		
Hotel (From Table 3.5)	1.533	1.533	0.778	0.778		

TABLE 3.7 WEEKEND TRIP GENERATION RATES ADOPTED

Use	Parameter	Trip Generation Rates (Table 3.3 \times Table 3.6)				
		AM Peak Hour PM Peak Ho		ık Hour		
		Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction	
Retail	pcu/100m²/hr	0.4592	0.2509	0.3053	0.4533	
Hotel	pcu/room/hr	0.2038	0.2234	0.1003	0.1202	

Net Change in Traffic Generation

3.20 Tables 3.8 and 3.9 show the net change in calculated traffic generation between the Existing Development and Proposed Conversion.

TABLE 3.8	NET CHANGE IN WEEKDAY TRAFFIC GENERATION

Use	Trip Generation (pcu/hour)									
	AN	A Peak Hour		PN	/ Peak Hour					
	Generation	Attraction	2-Way	Generation	Attraction	2-Way				
Existing Development (13,728m ² Retail GFA)										
Retail	32	33	65	43	49	92				
Total [a]	32	33	65	43	49	92				
Proposed Conversion (5	,841m² Retail	GFA and 96-	room Hot	el)						
Retail	13	14	27	18	21	39				
Hotel	13	14	27	12	15	27				
Total [b]	26	28	54	30	36	66				
Net Change in Traffic Generation										
Net Change [b] – [a]	-6	-5	-11	-13	-13	-26				

3.21 Table 3.8 shows that the Proposed Conversion is expected to generate some 11 pcu (2-way) <u>less</u> during the weekday AM peak hour, and some 26 pcu (2-way) <u>less</u> during the PM peak hour.

Use	Trip Generation (pcu/hour)								
	AN	A Peak Hour		PN	A Peak Hour	Peak Hour			
	Generation	Attraction	2-Way	Generation	Attraction	2-Way			
Existing Development (13,728m ² Retail GFA)									
Retail	63	34	97	42	62	104			
Total [a]	63	34	97	42	62	104			
Proposed Conversion (5	,841m² Retail	GFA and 96-	room Hot	tel)					
Retail	27	15	42	18	26	44			
Hotel	20	21	41	10	12	22			
Total [b]	47	36	83	28	38	66			
Net Change in Traffic Generation									
Net Change [b] – [a]	-16	+2	-14	-14	-24	-38			

TABLE 3.9NET CHANGE IN WEEKEND TRAFFIC GENERATION

3.22 Table 3.9 shows that the Proposed Conversion is expected to generate some 14 pcu (2-way) <u>less</u> during the weekend AM peak hour, and some 38 pcu (2-way) <u>less</u> during the PM peak hour.

Pedestrian Generation

3.23 To derive the pedestrian generation rates for the hotel associated with the Proposed Conversion, pedestrian generation surveys were conducted on Friday, 10th January 2025, and on Saturday, 11th January 2025, at the WM Hotel, located at 28 Wai Man Road Sai Kung, which has 240 rooms. Table 3.10 summarises the survey results, and the derived pedestrian generation rates.

TABLE 3.10 RESULTS OF PEDESTRIAN GENERATION SURVEYS AND DERIVED PEDESTRIAN GENERATION RATES

Period	AM Pea	ak Hour	PM Peak Hour						
	Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction					
Pedestrian Generation (ped / hour)									
Weekday	9	8	47	68					
Weekend	13	10	81	128					
Pedestrian Generation Rates (ped / hour / room)									
Weekday	0.0375	0.0333	0.1958	0.2833					
Weekend	0.0542	0.0417	0.3375	0.5333					

Note: WM Hotel has 240 rooms, i.e. Pedestian Generation Rate = Pedestrian Generation ÷ 240 rooms.

3.24 Table 3.11 summarises the estimated pedestrian generation for the Propsoed Conversion.

TABLE 3.11PEDESTRIAN GENERATION OF PROPOSED CONVERSION

Period	AM Pea	ak Hour	PM Peak Hour			
	Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction		
Weekday	4	3	19	27		
Weekend	5	4	32	51		

Note: Proposed Conversion has 96 rooms, i.e. Pedestian Generation = Pedestrian Generation Rates x 96 rooms.

4.0 TRAFFIC IMPACT

Design Year

4.1 The Proposed Conversion is anticipated to complete in 2027 and the design year adopted for this traffic study is 2030, i.e. 3 years after completion.

Historic Traffic Growth

4.2 Table 4.1 presents the historic annual average daily traffic ("AADT") from the Annual Traffic Census ("ATC") published by the Transport Department for roads located nearby for the latest 5 years, i.e. from 2019 to 2023.

TABLE 4.1	AADT OF ATC STATIONS LOCATED NEAR THE SUBJECT SITE
-----------	--

Station No.	1011	1245	1835	2603	OVERALL
Bood	Populso Pay Road ®	Dopulco Pou Dood	Bopulso	Peach Board	OVLIVILL
Koau	Repuise bay Road &	керите вау коай	Repuise	Deach Koau	
	Stanley Gap Road		Bay Road		
From	South Bay Road	Wong Nai Chung	Island Road	Repulse Bay	
		Gap Road		Road	
То	Tai Tam Road	Island Road	South Bay	South Bay	
			Road	Road	
Year	Annual Average Daily Traffic (vehicles / day)				
2019	15,490	9,020	21,890	2,890	49,290
2020	14,340	8,480	21,150	2,560	46,530
2021	15,680	8,810	22,730	2,910	50,130
2022	14,930	8,080	21,390	3,000	47,400
2023	15,230	8,030	21,870	3,020	48,150
		Average Ann	ual Growth (2	2019 – 2023) =	-0.6%

4.3 Table 2.3 shows that the traffic growth in vicinity of the Subject Site is -0.6% per annum in recent years.

Population Projection

4.4 Reference is made to the *"Projections of Population Distribution 2023 - 2031"* for Southern District, published by the Planning Department and is presented in Table 4.2.

Year	Population in Southern District
2025	259,600
2030	266.900
Average Annual Growth (2025 to 2030)	+0.6%

TABLE 4.2PROJECTED POPULATION FOR SOUTHERN DISTRICT

4.5 Table 4.1 shows that population in the Southern District is projected to increase by 0.6% per annum between 2025 and 2030.

Traffic Forecast

4.6 The design year traffic flows are estimated with reference to:

- (i) Expected traffic growth from 2025 to 2030 with reference to the historic traffic growth from the ATC;
- (ii) Traffic generated by other known planned / committed developments located in the vicinity, and
- (iii) Net change in traffic generation between the Existing Development and the Proposed Coversion.

4.7 Details of the above are presented in below paragraphs.

(i) <u>Traffic Growth Rate</u>

4.8 With reference to Table 4.1, a conservative growth rate of 1.0% per annum is adopted to produce the 2030 traffic flows from 2025.

(ii) Other Known Planned / Committed Developments

4.9 Information on other known major planned / committed developments are summaried in Table 4.1. These are obtained from the available public domains including *"Monthly Digest"* published by Buildings Department, and the Town Planning Board's Statutory Planning Portal 3 by Planning Department, etc.

TABLE 4.3DETAILS OF OTHER KNOWN MAJOR PLANNED / COMMITTED
DEVELOPMENTS IDENTIFIED

Ref.	Address	Use	GFA(m ²) (Approx.)	No. of Flat / Unit					
Appro	oved General Building Plan								
А.	18A, 18B, 18C & 18D Cape Road	Residential	2,000	4					
В.	22 Tung Tau Wan Road	School	11,000	-					
C.	72 Repulse Bay Road	Residential	1,800	-					
D.	18 Carmel Road	Residential	500	1					
E.	R.B.L. 1201, Wong Ma Kok Road	Residential	20,600	86					
F.	2 Headland Road	Residential	1,600	-					
G.	7 Stanley Market Road / 78 & 79 Stanley Main Street	Hotel	1,000	-					
Η.	125 Repulse Bay Road	Residential	2,900	-					
Ι.	3 South Bay Close	Residential	2,500	9					
J.	14 Stanley Beach Road	Residential	1,100	3					
Appr	Approved Planning Application								
К.	39 South Bay Road	Residential	1,300	4					
L.	86 & 88 Stanley Main Street	Residential	1,400	10					
М.	30 Stanley Link Road	Residential	300	3					

- 4.10 Traffic generated by the above other known major planned / committed developments is included in the design year.
 - *(iii)* <u>Net change in traffic generation between the Existing Development and the Proposed Coversion</u>
- 4.11 The net change in peak hour traffic generation on weekday and weekend between the Existing Devlopment and the Proposed Conversion presented in Tables 3.8 and 3.9 are added to the 2030 traffic flow.

Year 2030 Traffic Flows

4.12 The future traffic flows are derived as follow:

2030 Traffic Flows	= 2025 Existing Traffic Flows + Total Traffic
without the Proposed	Growth from 2025 to 2030 + Traffic Generated
Conversion [A]	by Other Developments
2030 Traffic Flows with the Proposed Conversion	= [A] + Net change in Traffic Generation between the Existing Development and the Proposed Coversion

4.13 Figures 4.1 and 4.2 shows the year 2030 weekday and weekend peak hour traffic flows without the Proposed Conversion; and Figures 4.3 and 4.4 shows the year 2030 weekday and weekend peak hour traffic flows with the Proposed Conversion.

Year 2030 Junction Capacity Analyses

4.14 Year 2030 junction capacity analyses for the cases without and with the Proposed Conversion are summarised in Table 4.4 and detailed calculations are found in the **Appendix B**.

Ref.	Junction	Туре	Parameter	Without the Proposed Conversion AM Peak PM Peak		With the Proposed Conversion AM Peak PM Pe	
				Hour	Tiour	Tioui	Tioui
		Wee	ekday				
J01	J/O Repulse Bay Road / Beach Road	Priority	RFC	0.109	0.059	0.109	0.059
J02	J/O Beach Road / South Bay Path	Priority	RFC	0.045	0.076	0.043	0.072
J03	J/O South Bay Road / Beach Road	Priority	RFC	0.125	0.139	0.116	0.119
J04	J/O South Bay Road / South Bay Path	Priority	RFC	0.259	0.316	0.258	0.314
J05	J/O Repulse Bay Road / South Bay Road	Roundabout	RFC	0.649	0.603	0.647	0.602
J06	J/O South Bay Road / South Bay Path	Roundabout	RFC	0.199	0.263	0.195	0.254
		Wee	kend	·			
J01	J/O Repulse Bay Road / Beach Road	Priority	RFC	0.081	0.122	0.081	0.122
J02	J/O Beach Road / South Bay Path	Priority	RFC	0.036	0.066	0.036	0.058
J03	J/O South Bay Road / Beach Road	Priority	RFC	0.100	0.173	0.076	0.151
J04	J/O South Bay Road / South Bay Path	Priority	RFC	0.301	0.404	0.299	0.403
J05	J/O Repulse Bay Road / South Bay Road	Roundabout	RFC	0.460	0.544	0.457	0.543
J06	J/O South Bay Road / South Bay Path	Roundabout	RFC	0.252	0.293	0.241	0.284

	VEAD 2020 DEAK LICE IL INICTION DEDEODAANICE
IADLE 4.4	TEAK 2030 FEAK HOUR JUINCHOIN FERI ORMAINCE

Note: RFC – Ratio of Flow to Capacity

Pedestrian Forecast

- 4.15 Table 4.4 shows that the analyzed junctions will have capacity to accommodate the expected traffic growth to Year 2030 and the expected change in traffic generation between the Existing Development and the Proposed Conversion.
- 4.16 The design year pedestrian flows are estimated with reference to:
 - (i) Expected population growth from 2025 to 2030 with reference to the project population change in Southern District;
 - (ii) Pedestrian generation of the Proposed Coversion.
- 4.17 Details of the above are presented in below paragraphs.

(i) <u>Pedestrian Growth Rate</u>

4.18 With reference to Table 4.1, a conservative growth rate of 1.0% per annum is adopted to produce the 2030 traffic flows from 2025.

(ii) Pedestrian Generation of the Proposed Coversion

4.19 Peak hour pedestrian generation on weekday and weekend for the Proposed Conversion presented in Table 3.11 are added to the 2030 pedestrian flow. It should be noted that pedestrian generations of the Existing Devlopment are not substracted from the future pedestrian forecast providing more conservative analyses.

Year 2030 Pedestrian Flows

4.20 The future pedestrian flows are derived as follow:

2030 Pedestrian Flows	= 2025 Existing Pedestrian Flows +
without the Proposed	Total Pedestrian Growth from 2025 to 2030
Conversion [A]	

2030 Pedestrian Flows with
the Proposed Conversion= [A] + Pedestrian Generation of the Proposed
Coversion

Year 2030 Footpath Operational Performance

4.21 Year 2030 peak hour footpath operational performance are calculated and summarised in Table 4.5

Pedestrian Facilities	Actual Width	Effective Width	Without the Proposed Conversion			With the Proposed Conversion				
	(11)	(11)	AM Pea	ak Hour	PM Pea	ak Hour	AM Pea	ak Hour	PM Pea	ak Hour
			Ped.	Flow	Ped.	Flow	Ped.	Flow	Ped.	Flow
			Flow (p/hr)	Rate (p/hr/m) [LOS]	Flow (p/hr)	Rate (p/hr/m) [LOS]	Flow (p/hr)	Rate (p/hr/m) [LOS]	Flow (p/hr)	Rate (p/hr/m) [LOS]
Weekday										
FP01	3.5m	2.5m	302	2.0 [A]	433	2.9 [A]	309	2.1 [A]	479	3.2 [A]
FP02	2.5m	1.5m	265	2.9 [A]	279	3.1 [A]	267	3.0 [A]	288	3.2 [A]
FP03	3.0m	2.0m	185	1.5 [A]	181	1.5 [A]	189	1.6 [A]	205	1.7 [A]
FP04	1.8m	0.8m	130	2.7 [A]	38	0.8 [A]	130	2.7 [A]	38	0.8 [A]
FP05	2.8m	1.8m	179	1.7 [A]	196	1.8 [A]	186	1.7 [A]	242	2.2 [A]
FP06	1.8m	0.8m	113	2.4 [A]	95	2.0 [A]	113	2.4 [A]	95	2.0 [A]
FP07	1.5m	1.0m	22	0.4 [A]	4.0	0.1 [A]	22	0.4 [A]	4.0	0.1 [A]
FP08	4.0m	3.0m	263	1.5 [A]	573	3.2 [A]	263	1.5 [A]	573	3.2 [A]
FP09	3.5m	3.0m	218	1.2 [A]	510	2.8 [A]	221	1.2 [A]	532	3.0 [A]

TABLE 4.5 YEAR 2030 PEAK HOUR FOOTPATH PERFORMANCE

FP01 - Stairway between Repulse Bay Road and Beach Road

FP02 - Southern Footpath of Beach Road (outside Seaview Building)

FP03 - Southern Footpath of Beach Road (outside Car Park / Repulse Bay Beach Building)

 FP04 Northern Footpath of Beach Road (outside Beach Centre)

 FP05 Southern Footpath of Beach Road (opposite South Bay Path)

FP06 - Southern Footpath of Beach Road (opposite South Bay Path) FP06 - Southern Footpath of Beach Road (opposite 49/53/55 Beach Road))

FP07 - Northern Footpath of Beach Road (south of South Bay Road)

FP08 - Footpath along Repulse Bay Beach (near Repulse Bay Beach Building)

FP09 - Footpath along Repulse Bay Beach (outside the the Subject Site)

TABLE 4.5YEAR 2030 FOOTPATH OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE (CONT'D)

Pedestrian Facilities	Actual Width (m)	Effective Width (m)	Without the Proposed Conversion AM Peak Hour PM Peak Hour			Without the With the Proposed Conversion Proposed Conversion eak Hour PM Peak Hour AM Peak Hour				
			Ped. Flow (p/hr)	Flow Rate (p/hr/m) [LOS]	Ped. Flow (p/hr)	Flow Rate (p/hr/m) [LOS]	Ped. Flow (p/hr)	Flow Rate (p/hr/m) [LOS]	Ped. Flow (p/hr)	Flow Rate (p/hr/m) [LOS]
	Weekend									
FP01	3.5m	2.5m	234	1.6 [A]	1,031	6.9 [A]	243	1.6 [A]	1,114	7.4 [A]
FP02	2.5m	1.5m	460	5.1 [A]	712	7.9 [A]	462	5.1 [A]	728	8.1 [A]
FP03	3.0m	2.0m	259	2.2 [A]	367	3.1 [A]	264	2.2 [A]	409	3.4 [A]
FP04	1.8m	0.8m	134	2.8 [A]	105	2.2 [A]	134	2.8 [A]	105	2.2 [A]
FP05	2.8m	1.8m	317	2.9 [A]	383	3.5 [A]	326	3.0 [A]	466	4.3 [A]
FP06	1.8m	0.8m	231	4.8 [A]	126	2.6 [A]	231	4.8 [A]	126	2.6 [A]
FP07	1.5m	1.0m	31	0.5 [A]	12	0.2 [A]	31	0.5 [A]	12	0.2 [A]
FP08	4.0m	3.0m	385	2.1 [A]	1,178	6.5 [A]	385	2.1 [A]	1,178	6.5 [A]
FP09	3.5m	3.0m	343	1.9 [A]	992	5.5 [A]	347	1.9 [A]	1,033	5.7 [A]

FP01 - Stairway between Repulse Bay Road and Beach Road

FP02 - Southern Footpath of Beach Road (outside Seaview Building)

FP03 - Southern Footpath of Beach Road (outside Car Park / Repulse Bay Beach Building)

FP04 - Northern Footpath of Beach Road (outside Beach Centre)

FP05 - Southern Footpath of Beach Road (opposite South Bay Path)

FP06 - Southern Footpath of Beach Road (opposite 49/53/55 Beach Road))

FP07 - Northern Footpath of Beach Road (south of South Bay Road)

FP08 - Footpath along Repulse Bay Beach (near Repulse Bay Beach Building)

FP09 - Footpath along Repulse Bay Beach (outside the the Subject Site)

4.22 Table 4.5 shows that the analyzed footpaths will have capacity to accommodate the expected pedestrian growth to Year 2030 and the expected pedestrian generation of the Proposed Conversion.

5.0 SUMMARY

- 5.1 The Owner intends to convert the 1/F, UG/F and B1/F [part] of the Existing Development into a hotel with 96-room, and hence, retail GFA will be reduced substantially from existing 13,728 m² to become 5,841m².
- 5.2 The Proposed Conversion provides internal transport facilities in accordance to the HKPSG recommendation, including:
 - 40 nos. car parking spaces,
 - 4 nos. motorcycle parkings,
 - 9 nos. goods vehicle loading / unloading bays,
 - 2 nos. laybys for taxi and private cars, and
 - 1 no. layby for single deck tour bus.
- 5.3 The Existing Development provides limited limited number and type of goods vehicle loading / unloading bays, i.e. van-type goods vehicles, and LGV only. With the Proposed Conversion, modification will be undertaken to provide sufficient headroom for LGV and HGV loading / unloading bays, and layby for single deck tour bus.
- 5.4 Manual classified counts were conducted at junctions located in the vicinity of the Subject Site during the weekday and weekend AM and PM peak hours. Capacity analyses found that these junctions operate with capacity.
- 5.5 Pedestrian counts were conducted at footpaths located in the vicinity during the weekday and weekend AM and PM peak hours. Capacity analyses found that these footpaths operate with capacity.
- 5.6 Weekday and weekend peak hour traffic generation for the Existing Development and the Proposed Conversion are estimated, and found that the Proposed Conversion will have neligible change in traffic generation comparing with the Existing Development. The future year junction capacity analyses found that the Proposed Conversion will not have adverse effect on the local road network.
- 5.7 Weekday and weekend peak hour pedestrian generation the Proposed Conversion are estimated. The future year footpath capacity analyses found that the Proposed Conversion will not have adverse effect on the local pedestrian network
- 5.8 From traffic engineering grounds, the Proposed Conversion is acceptable.

Figures















RevA.dwg 0. 0 0 Ŕ Ο






Ĩ J7245 TIA ରି Ω N <u>л</u> 245 249\J7 200-0





















JOB\J7200-J7249\J7245\(2025 02) J7245_TIA_FR_R3\Fig 4.1 RevA.dwg







JOBIJ7200-J7249/J7245((2025 02) J7245_TIA_FR_R3/Fig 4.4 RevA.dwg



JOB/J7200-J7249/J7245/(2025 02) J7245_TIA_FR_R3/Fig 4.5 RevA.dwg

Appendix A – Extract of 2023 ATC 1011

MAJOR

PRIMARY DISTRIBUTOR

3.1m

S bound 1 lane

CORE STATION ROAD NETWORK ROAD TYPE

1. TRAFFIC FLOW VARIATION AND GROWTH

ION AND GROWTH



2. TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS (BY DIRECTION)

Parameter	All - Day	Mon Fri.	Sat.	Sun.
SOUTH BOUND				
A.A.D.T.	7580	7640	7960	7160
R 12 / 24 - %	75.1	74.7	76.5	76
R 16 / 24 - %	90.4	90.6	90.2	89.9
AM Peak Hour	0800-0900	0800-0900	0800-0900	0900-1000
One-way flow at AM peak hour	440	470	440	460
T - % (AM)	-	-	-	-
PM Peak Hour	1600-1700	1600-1700	1600-1700	1600-1700
One-way flow at PM peak hour	550	550	540	580
T - % (PM)	-	-	-	-
Prop.of commercial vehicles - 16 hr.	-	-	-	-
NORTH BOUND				
A.A.D.T.	7650	7800	7820	6980
R 12 / 24 - %	76.7	77.4	74.3	75.9
R 16 / 24 - %	91.4	91.8	90.6	90.1
AM Peak Hour	0700-0800	0700-0800	0900-1000	0900-1000
One-way flow at AM peak hour	470	590	540	390
T - % (AM)	-	-	-	-
PM Peak Hour	1700-1800	1700-1800	1700-1800	1700-1800
One-way flow at PM peak hour	570	570	550	630
T - % (PM)	-	-	-	-
Prop.of commercial vehicles - 16 hr.	-	-	-	-

3. OTHER INFORMATION AND COMMENT

Appendix B – Junction Capacity Analyses





Junction:	Beach Road / Repulse Bay Road						Job Number: J7245		
Scenario:	With Prop	n Proposed Conversion (Weekday)					J01 - P. 3		
Design Year:	2030	Desig	ned By:	MCY	Che	cked By:	WCH	Date:	04 February 2025
			-			-			
Repulse	Repulse Bay Road (Arm C) Repulse Bay Road (Arm A)								
<u>965</u>	1115		→						
<u>30</u>	57								
			¥			←──		732	<u>858</u>
								153	<u>134</u>
	,					+			
								AM	<u>PM</u>
	×								
N			Beach	n Road (Arm	ιВ)				
The predictive equ	uations of o	capacity o	f movem	ent are:					
Q-BA = D[627 +	14W-CR ·	- Y(0.364c	I-AC + 0.	144q-AB +	0.229q-	-CA + 0.5	2q-CB)]		
Q-BC = E[745 -	Y(0.364q-/	AC + 0.14	4q-AB)]						
Q-CB = $F[745 - 1]$	0.364Y(q-/	AC + q-AB	5)]						
The geometric par	ameters re	epresente	d by D, E	:, F are:					
D = [1 + 0.09]	94(w-BA -	3.65)][1 +	0.0009()	V-rBA - 120))][1 + 0.	.0006(V-II	BA - 150)]		
E = [1 + 0.09]	94(w-BC -	3.65)][1 +	0.0009(V-rBC - 120)]				
F = [1 + 0.09]	94(w-CB -	3.65)][1 +	0.0009(V-rCB - 120)]				
where $Y = 1 - 0$.0345W		-						
q-AB, etc	c = the des	sign flow o	f movem	ent AB, etc					
W = maj	or road wid	dth							
W-CR =	central res	serve widtl	า						
w-BA, et	c = lane wi	idth to veh	nicle						
v-rBA, et	c = visibilit	y to the rig	ght for wa	aiting vehicle	es in sti	ream BA,	etc		
v-IBA, et	c = visibilit	y to the le	ft for wai	ting vehicles	s in stre	am BA, e	etc		
Geometry :		Inp	ut	Input	50	Inp	out	Calcu	lated
		VV	9.50	V-rBA	50	w-BA	0.00	D	0.5786
		W-CR	0.00	V-IBA	50	w-BC	0.00	E	0.6155
				V-rBC	50	w-CB	3.20	F	0.8974
				V-rCB	50			Y	0.4963
Analysis :		_							
Traffic Flows,	ocu/hr	AM	РM		Cap	acity, pcu	ı/hr	AM	PM
q-CA		1115	965			Q-BA		198	200
q-CB		57	30			Q-BC		370	357
q-AB		153	134			Q-CB		525	508
q-AC		732	858			Q-BAC		198	200
q-BA		0	0						
q-BC		0	0						
f		0.000	0.000						
					_				
		Ratio-of-flow to Capacity AM PM							
		B-A 0.000			0.000				
		E	3-C		0.000	0.000			
		(С-В		0.109	0.059			
		E	B-AC		0.000	0.000			





Junction:	Beach Road / Repulse Bay Road					Job Number: J7245			
Scenario:	With Proposed Conversion (Weekend)					J01 - P. 6			
Design Year:	2030	Desig	ned By:	MCY	Che	cked By:	WCH	Date:	04 February 2025
			-			_		-	
Repulse Bay Road (Arm C) Repulse Bay Road (Arm A)									
<u>1046</u>	900		→						
<u>64</u>	44								
			¥			←		604	<u>785</u>
								170	<u>91</u>
	,					+			
								AM	<u>PM</u>
	¥								
N			Beach	n Road (Arm	B)				
The predictive eq	uations of	capacity o	f movem	ent are:					
Q-BA = D[627 +	- 14W-CR	- Y(0.364c	η-AC + 0.	144q-AB + 0	.229q	-CA + 0.5	2q-CB)]		
Q-BC = E[745 -	Y(0.364q-	AC + 0.14	4q-AB)]						
Q-CB = F[745 -	0.364Y(q-/	AC + q-AB	3)]						
The geometric pa	rameters r	epresente	d by D, E	, F are:					
D = [1 + 0.0	94(w-BA -	3.65)][1 +	0.0009(√-rBA - 120)]	[1 + 0.	.0006(V-IE	BA - 150)]		
E = [1 + 0.0	94(w-BC -	3.65)][1 +	0.0009(V-rBC - 120)					
F = [1 + 0.0	94(w-CB -	3.65)][1 +	0.0009(V-rCB - 120)					
where $Y = 1 - 0$.0345W								
q-AB, et	c = the des	sign flow o	f movem	ent AB, etc					
W = maj	or road wid	dth							
W-CR =	central res	serve widtl	h						
w-BA, et	tc = lane w	idth to veh	nicle						
v-rBA, e	tc = visibili	ty to the rid	ght for wa	aiting vehicle	s in st	ream BA,	etc		
v-IBA, et	tc = visibilit	v to the le	o ft for wai	ting vehicles	in stre	am BA. e	tc		
,		5		5		,			
Geometry :		Inp	ut	Input		Inp	out	Calcu	lated
		W	9.50	V-rBA	50	w-BA	0.00	D	0.5786
		W-CR	0.00	V-IBA	50	w-BC	0.00	Е	0.6155
		-		V-rBC	50	w-CB	3.20	F	0.8974
				V-rCB	50		0.20	Ŷ	0.4963
Analysis :								-	
Traffic Flows	pcu/hr	AM	PM		Car	acity, no	ı/hr	AM	PM
n-CA		900	1046		244	Q-BA		227	199
q-CB		44	64			Q-BC		384	367
q OB		170	01					5/3	527
q-∧-P q-AC		604	785					227	100
		004	/05					221	133
q-DA		0	0						
q-bС f		0 000	0 000						
		0.000	0.000						
Patio of flow to Canacity ANA PAA									
		Ratio-ot-flow to Capacity AM PM							
		B-A				0.000			
		t	3-C		0.000	0.000			
		(с-в 2 ло		0.081	0.122			
		E	3-AC		0.000	0.000			




































Junction:	Repulse Bay Roa	d / South Bay Road Rounda	about	Jo	b Number: J7245
Scenario:	Existing Condition	n (weekday)		-	J05 - P. 1
Design Year:	2024	Designed By: MCY	Checked By: WCH	Date:	04 February 2025

AM Peak

Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	5	817	62						884	310
From B	563	26	107						696	74
From C	86	277	7						370	594
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	654	1120	176						1950	

РМ Реак										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	0	532	49						581	428
From B	550	23	243						816	51
From C	85	403	2						490	573
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	635	958	294						1887	

Legend	ł
--------	---

Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	Repulse Bay Rd (WB)
В	Repulse Bay Rd (EB)
С	South Bay Road
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	5.0	100.0	100.0	20	15	0.0
From B	5.0	3.5	50.0	10.0	20	20	0.2
From C	5.0	4.0	50.0	10.0	20	30	0.2
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
qc	Circulating Flow across the Entry
K	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
М	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							C	ک ^و	Entry	/ Flow	RI	-C
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	K	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	5.000	0.018	1.491	1.091	1515	0.626	1441	1361	884	581	0.613	0.427
From B	4.514	0.018	1.491	1.064	1368	0.596	1408	1423	696	816	0.494	0.573
From C	4.758	0.018	1.491	1.029	1442	0.611	1110	1123	370	490	0.333	0.436
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	Repulse Bay Roa	d / South Bay Ro	ad Roundabout			Job	Number: J7245
Scenario:	Without Proposed	J Development (w	veekday)				J05 - P. 2
Design Year:	2029	Designed By:	MCY	Checked By: _	WCH	Date:	04 February 2025

AM Peak

Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	5	859	65						929	325
From B	592	27	112						731	77
From C	90	291	7						388	624
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	687	1177	184						2048	

PM Peak										
Arm	To A	То В	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	То Н	Total	q _c
From A	0	559	51						610	450
From B	578	24	255						857	53
From C	89	424	2						515	602
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	667	1007	308						1982	

Legend

Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	Repulse Bay Rd (WB)
В	Repulse Bay Rd (EB)
С	South Bay Road
D	0
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	5.0	100.0	100.0	20	15	0.0
From B	5.0	3.5	50.0	10.0	20	20	0.2
From C	5.0	4.0	50.0	10.0	20	30	0.2
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
qc	Circulating Flow across the Entry
Κ	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
М	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							C	ζ _E	Entry	/ Flow	R	FC
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	К	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	5.000	0.018	1.491	1.091	1515	0.626	1431	1346	929	610	0.649	0.453
From B	4.514	0.018	1.491	1.064	1368	0.596	1406	1422	731	857	0.520	0.603
From C	4.758	0.018	1.491	1.029	1442	0.611	1091	1105	388	515	0.356	0.466
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	Repulse Bay F	Road / South Bay Road Roundab	Jo	Job Number: J7245		
Scenario:	With Proposed	d Development (weekday)			J05 - P. 3	
Design Year:	2029	Designed By: <u>MCY</u>	Checked By: WCH	Date:	04 February 2025	

AM Peak

/ un i oun										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	5	859	64						928	320
From B	592	27	112						731	76
From C	89	286	7						382	624
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	686	1172	183						2041	

PM Peak										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	То Н	Total	q _c
From A	0	559	48						607	440
From B	578	24	255						857	50
From C	86	414	2						502	602
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	664	997	305						1966	

Legend

Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	Repulse Bay Rd (WB)
В	Repulse Bay Rd (EB)
С	South Bay Road
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	5.0	100.0	100.0	20	15	0.0
From B	5.0	3.5	50.0	10.0	20	20	0.2
From C	5.0	4.0	50.0	10.0	20	30	0.2
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
qc	Circulating Flow across the Entry
K	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
М	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							Q _E		Entry Flow		RFC	
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	К	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	5.000	0.018	1.491	1.091	1515	0.626	1434	1352	928	607	0.647	0.449
From B	4.514	0.018	1.491	1.064	1368	0.596	1407	1423	731	857	0.520	0.602
From C	4.758	0.018	1.491	1.029	1442	0.611	1091	1105	382	502	0.350	0.454
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	Repulse Bay Roa	d / South Bay Roa	ad Roundabout			Job Number: J7245			
Scenario:	Existing Condition	n (weekend)		J05 - P. 4					
Design Year:	2024	Designed By:	MCY	Checked By: _	WCH	Date:	04 February 2025		

AM Peak

/ un i oun										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	0	571	45						616	342
From B	491	13	71						575	45
From C	72	329	0						401	504
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	563	913	116						1592	

PM Peak										
Arm	To A	То В	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	То Н	Total	q _c
From A	1	637	21						659	430
From B	554	38	154						746	22
From C	64	392	0						456	593
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	619	1067	175						1861	

Legend

<u> </u>	
Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	Repulse Bay Rd (WB)
В	Repulse Bay Rd (EB)
С	South Bay Road
D	
Е	
F	
G	
н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	5.0	100.0	100.0	20	15	0.0
From B	5.0	3.5	50.0	10.0	20	20	0.2
From C	5.0	4.0	50.0	10.0	20	30	0.2
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
q _c	Circulating Flow across the Entry
К	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
Μ	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							Q _E		Entry Flow		RFC	
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	К	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	5.000	0.018	1.491	1.091	1515	0.626	1419	1359	616	659	0.434	0.485
From B	4.514	0.018	1.491	1.064	1368	0.596	1427	1441	575	746	0.403	0.518
From C	4.758	0.018	1.491	1.029	1442	0.611	1167	1111	401	456	0.344	0.410
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	Job Number: J7245						
Scenario:	Without Propose	ed Development (v					
Design Year:	2029	Designed By:	MCY	Checked By:	WCH	Date:	04 February 2025

AM Peak

Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	0	600	47						647	360
From B	516	14	75						605	47
From C	76	346	0						422	530
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	592	960	122						1674	

PM Peak										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	1	669	22						692	452
From B	582	40	162						784	23
From C	67	412	0						479	623
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	650	1121	184						1955	

Legend

<u> </u>	
Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	Repulse Bay Rd (WB)
В	Repulse Bay Rd (EB)
С	South Bay Road
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	5.0	100.0	100.0	20	15	0.0
From B	5.0	3.5	50.0	10.0	20	20	0.2
From C	5.0	4.0	50.0	10.0	20	30	0.2
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
qc	Circulating Flow across the Entry
K	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
М	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							C	ζ _E	Entry	/ Flow	R	FC
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	K	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	5.000	0.018	1.491	1.091	1515	0.626	1407	1344	647	692	0.460	0.515
From B	4.514	0.018	1.491	1.064	1368	0.596	1425	1441	605	784	0.424	0.544
From C	4.758	0.018	1.491	1.029	1442	0.611	1150	1092	422	479	0.367	0.439
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	Repulse Bay F	Road / South Bay Ro	J	Job Number: J7245			
Scenario:	With Proposed	Development (wee					
Design Year:	2029	Designed By:	MCY	Checked By: WCH	Date:	04 February 2025	

AM Peak

Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	0	600	47						647	347
From B	516	14	75						605	47
From C	73	333	0						406	530
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	589	947	122						1658	

РМ Реак										
Arm	To A	То В	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	То Н	Total	q _c
From A	1	669	17						687	441
From B	582	40	162						784	18
From C	64	401	0						465	623
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	647	1110	179						1936	

Legend

<u> </u>	
Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	Repulse Bay Rd (WB)
В	Repulse Bay Rd (EB)
С	South Bay Road
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	5.0	100.0	100.0	20	15	0.0
From B	5.0	3.5	50.0	10.0	20	20	0.2
From C	5.0	4.0	50.0	10.0	20	30	0.2
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
qc	Circulating Flow across the Entry
K	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
М	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							0	ک ^و	Entry Flow		RFC	
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	K	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	5.000	0.018	1.491	1.091	1515	0.626	1416	1352	647	687	0.457	0.508
From B	4.514	0.018	1.491	1.064	1368	0.596	1425	1444	605	784	0.424	0.543
From C	4.758	0.018	1.491	1.029	1442	0.611	1150	1092	406	465	0.353	0.426
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	J	Job Number: J7245				
Scenario:	Existing Cond	lition (weekday)			J06 - P. 1	
Design Year:	2024	Designed By: MCY	Checked By: WCH	Date:	04 February 2025	

AM Peak

/ un i oun										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	6	70	100						176	8
From B	89	0	8						97	106
From C	267	8	0						275	95
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	362	78	108						548	

PM Peak										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	13	109	173						295	15
From B	139	1	17						157	186
From C	339	14	0						353	153
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	491	124	190						805	

|--|

Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	South Bay Rd (EB)
В	South Bay Close (WB)
С	South Bay Rd (NB)
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	3.5	25.0	15.0	25	25	0.2
From B	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	15	0.0
From C	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	30	0.0
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
qc	Circulating Flow across the Entry
K	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
М	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							C	ک ^و	Entry Flow		RFC	
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	К	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	4.636	0.030	1.485	1.027	1405	0.601	1438	1434	176	295	0.122	0.206
From B	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.062	1497	0.620	1520	1467	97	157	0.064	0.107
From C	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.010	1497	0.620	1452	1416	275	353	0.189	0.249
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	South Bay Roa	ad / South Bay Close	e Roundabou	t			Job Number: J7245
Scenario:	Without Propo	sed Development (v	veekday)				J06 - P. 2
Design Year:	2029	Designed By:	MCY	Checked By: _	WCH	Date:	04 February 2025

AM Peak

Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	6	74	105						185	8
From B	94	0	8						102	111
From C	281	8	0						289	100
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	381	82	113						576	

PM Peak										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	14	115	182						311	16
From B	146	1	18						165	196
From C	356	15	0						371	161
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	516	131	200						847	

Legend

Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	South Bay Rd (EB)
В	South Bay Close (WB)
С	South Bay Rd (NB)
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

		-					
Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	3.5	25.0	15.0	25	25	0.2
From B	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	15	0.0
From C	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	30	0.0
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
q _c	Circulating Flow across the Entry
К	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
Μ	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

main	911 911	
е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							Q _E		Entry Flow		RFC	
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	К	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	4.636	0.030	1.485	1.027	1405	0.601	1438	1433	185	311	0.129	0.217
From B	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.062	1497	0.620	1516	1460	102	165	0.067	0.113
From C	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.010	1497	0.620	1449	1411	289	371	0.199	0.263
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	South Bay Ro	ad / South Bay Close R		Job Number: J7245			
Scenario:	With Proposed	d Development (weekda	ay)			J06 - P. 3	
Design Year:	2029	Designed By: <u>M</u>	CY Checked By	WCH	Date:	04 February 2025	

AM Peak

Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	6	74	104						184	8
From B	94	0	8						102	110
From C	275	8	0						283	100
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	375	82	112						569	

РМ Реак										
Arm	To A	То В	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	14	115	179						308	16
From B	146	1	18						165	193
From C	343	15	0						358	161
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	503	131	197						831	

Legend

Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	South Bay Rd (EB)
В	South Bay Close (WB)
С	South Bay Rd (NB)
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	3.5	25.0	15.0	25	25	0.2
From B	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	15	0.0
From C	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	30	0.0
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
$\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{c}}$	Circulating Flow across the Entry
Κ	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
Μ	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							Q _E		Entry Flow		RFC	
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	К	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	4.636	0.030	1.485	1.027	1405	0.601	1438	1433	184	308	0.128	0.215
From B	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.062	1497	0.620	1517	1462	102	165	0.067	0.113
From C	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.010	1497	0.620	1449	1411	283	358	0.195	0.254
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	South Bay Roa	ad / South Bay Close R	Job Number: J7245				
Scenario:	Existing Cond	ition (weekend)					J06 - P. 4
Design Year:	2024	Designed By: <u>M</u>	//CY	Checked By: _	WCH	Date:	04 February 2025

AM Peak

Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	2	38	76						116	15
From B	61	0	15						76	78
From C	337	15	0						352	63
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	400	53	91						544	

PM Peak										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	8	48	118						174	9
From B	42	0	1						43	126
From C	404	9	0						413	50
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	454	57	119						630	

Legend

-	
Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	South Bay Rd (EB)
В	South Bay Close (WB)
С	South Bay Rd (NB)
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

		-					
Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	3.5	25.0	15.0	25	25	0.2
From B	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	15	0.0
From C	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	30	0.0
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
$\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{c}}$	Circulating Flow across the Entry
Κ	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
Μ	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

muun	911 911	
е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							Q _E		Entry Flow		RFC	
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	К	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	4.636	0.030	1.485	1.027	1405	0.601	1434	1437	116	174	0.081	0.121
From B	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.062	1497	0.620	1538	1506	76	43	0.049	0.029
From C	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.010	1497	0.620	1472	1480	352	413	0.239	0.279
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	South Bay Road	/ South Bay Close		Job Number: J7245			
Scenario:	Without Propose	d Development (v	veekend)				J06 - P. 5
Design Year:	2029	Designed By:	MCY	Checked By: _	WCH	Date:	04 February 2025

AM Peak

Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	2	40	80						122	16
From B	64	0	16						80	82
From C	354	16	0						370	66
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	420	56	96						572	

PM Peak										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	8	50	124						182	9
From B	44	0	1						45	132
From C	425	9	0						434	52
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	477	59	125						661	

Legend

Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	South Bay Rd (EB)
В	South Bay Close (WB)
С	South Bay Rd (NB)
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	3.5	25.0	15.0	25	25	0.2
From B	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	15	0.0
From C	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	30	0.0
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
q _c	Circulating Flow across the Entry
К	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
Μ	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

main	911 911	
е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							Q _E		Entry Flow		RFC	
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	К	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	4.636	0.030	1.485	1.027	1405	0.601	1433	1437	122	182	0.085	0.127
From B	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.062	1497	0.620	1535	1502	80	45	0.052	0.030
From C	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.010	1497	0.620	1470	1479	370	434	0.252	0.293
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Junction:	Je	Job Number: J7245			
Scenario:	With Proposed	d Development (weekend)		J06 - P. 6	
Design Year:	2029	Designed By: MCY	Checked By: WCH	Date:	04 February 2025

AM Peak

Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	2	40	80						122	16
From B	64	0	16						80	82
From C	338	16	0						354	66
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	404	56	96						556	

PM Peak										
Arm	To A	To B	To C	To D	To E	To F	To G	To H	Total	q _c
From A	8	50	119						177	9
From B	44	0	1						45	127
From C	411	9	0						420	52
From D										
From E										
From F										
From G										
From H										
Total	463	59	120						642	

Legend

Arm	Road (in clockwise order)
А	South Bay Rd (EB)
В	South Bay Close (WB)
С	South Bay Rd (NB)
D	
Е	
F	
G	
Н	

Geometric Parameters

Arm	e (m)	v (m)	r (m)	L (m)	D (m)	Ø (°)	S
From A	5.0	3.5	25.0	15.0	25	25	0.2
From B	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	15	0.0
From C	5.0	4.0	25.0	50.0	25	30	0.0
From D							
From E							
From F							
From G							
From H							

Predictive Equation $Q_E = K(F - f_cq_c)$

Q_E	Entry Capacity
\mathbf{q}_{c}	Circulating Flow across the Entry
Κ	= 1-0.00347(Ø-30)-0.978[(1/r)-0.05]
F	= 303x ₂
f _c	$= 0.210t_{D}(1+0.2x_{2})$
t _D	= 1+0.5/(1+M)
М	= exp[(D-60)/10]
x ₂	= v+(e-v)/(1+2S)
S	= 1.6(e-v)/L

Limitation

е	Entry Width	4.0 - 15.0 m
v	Approach Half Width	2.0 - 7.3 m
r	Entry Radius	6.0 - 100.0 m
L	Effective Length of Flare	1.0 - 100.0 m
D	Inscribed Circle Diameter	15 - 100 m
Ø	Entry Angle	10° - 60°
S	Sharpness of Flare	0.0 - 3.0

							Q _E		Entry Flow		RFC	
Arm	x ₂	М	t _D	К	F	f _c	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
From A	4.636	0.030	1.485	1.027	1405	0.601	1433	1437	122	177	0.085	0.123
From B	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.062	1497	0.620	1535	1506	80	45	0.052	0.030
From C	4.940	0.030	1.485	1.010	1497	0.620	1470	1479	354	420	0.241	0.284
From D												
From E												
From F												
From G												
From H												

Appendix C – Swept Path Analyses



