(Planning Application No: A/H3/449)

### **RESPONSE-TO-COMMENT TABLE**

### (Planning Application No: A/H3/449)

ntioned in Section 2.4 of the submitted TIA, and R-to-C for mation (FI) submission dated 12 <sup>th</sup> March 2024, the ite falls within Commercial zone in "the SOHO and its doining area (Plan 1)" in the Approved Sai Ying Pun and DZP No. S/H3/34 ("Approved OZP") and has a site area of ly about two-third of 900m²). In terms of both location and Site fully complies with the requirements as stated in para. Explanatory Statement attached to the Approved OZP, he following:  The character of the SOHO and its immediate adjoining area the existing narrow streets and footpaths there causing the existing narrow streets and footpaths there causing the existing narrow streets and footpaths there causing the existing narrow streets and vehicular traffic should be siven the improved accessibility offered by the Central-Midoor, close proximity of the area to the MTR station and the strain verious kinds of public transport facilities, the planning to maintain this area as a pedestrian oriented area and offic should be discouraged. For sites smaller than 900m² on-site car parking and loading/unloading requirements and the building height restriction is more stringent."

### (Planning Application No: A/H3/449)

Comments	Response			
		f the planning objective ed area" and discourage v		s area as a
	provision in this a Site has obtained nil provision of paragraph below current Proposed	the above, waiving car particular application would not set a a GBP approval for a corplication loading facilities going to demonstrate the Development Scheme for a better off than the appropact.	an undesirable pre mmercial redevelo- lities in May 2 hat the nil provision the composite res	pecedent. The property with 2023. The period under the sidential and
	Based on HKPSG, the required loading/unloading facilities for the proposed composite residential and retail use (i.e. 2 L/U bays) is lower than that for commercial use (i.e. 6 L/U bays) as shown in <b>Table 1</b> below:			
	HKPSG betwee	Table 1 – Comparison of Loading/Unloading Requirements in HKPSG between the Approved Commercial Scheme and the Proposed Composite Residential and Retail Development at the Subject Site		
	Use / GFA	HKPSG Standard	HKPSG Requirement (Low End)	Proposed Provision
	Approved GBP	for Commercial Scheme		•
	Office (6,595m <sup>2</sup> GFA)	1 loading/unloading bay for goods vehicles for every 2000 to 3000	3	Nil

### (Planning Application No: A/H3/449)

Comments	Response			
	Retail (2,613m <sup>2</sup> GFA)	sqm, GFA  1 loading/unloading bay for goods vehicles for every 800 to 1200 sqm, GFA	3	
	Total 9,208m <sup>2</sup> GFA	Total	6	
	Current Propo	sed Composite Resider	ntial and Retail	Building
	Residential (175 units / single block)  Retail (826m² GFA)	Minimum of 1 loading/unloading bay for goods vehicles within the site for every 800 flats or part thereof, subject to a minimum of 1 bay for each housing block  1 loading/unloading bay for goods vehicles for every 800 to 1200 sqm, GFA	1 (small residential tower which would be share-used with retail)	Nil
	In general, the loading/unloading demand for the proposed composite residential and retail development under this Application would be lower than that for commercial development. Loading/unloading activities for			
	residential use wil for commercial	l be very limited and occa (office + retail) use. teme has already incorp	asional as compare Moreover, the	ed with that Proposed

#### (Planning Application No: A/H3/449)

Comments	Response
	Wellington Street to widen the existing footpath from 1.5m to 3.5m (subject to on-site measurement at later detail design stage), the issue on "the footpath along Wellington Street is too narrow for material delivery" should have been resolved.
	In light of all the above, the nil loading/unloading provision for the proposed residential development is considered acceptable and justified.

Consolidated by: KTA Planning Limited

Date: 9 September 2024