Appendix E

**Traffic Review Report** 



SECTION 16 PLANNING APPLICATION FOR PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO APPROVED MASTER LAYOUT PLAN OF MA WAN PARK DEVELOPMENT

Traffic Review Report (Draft – Issue 1) DECEMBER 2024

ASIAINFRASOLUTIONS.COM

# **DELIVERING THE FUTURE**



#### **REVISION HISTORY**

Rev.	Description of Revision	Date
-	Draft – Issue 1	December 2024

Author	Various	Various
Checker	Lin LIN	LinLin
Reviewer	Charis WONG	Cheiny
Approver	Steven HO	Hum M
Report No.	KEB002516/T	RR-01

Date December 2024



#### TABLE OF CONTENT

1	Ma Wan Park	1
2	Development Proposal	1
3	Existing Road Network and Accessibility	2
4	Traffic Review	4
5	Conclusion	6

Appendix A Junction Calculation Sheets

#### List of Table

Table 2.1	Comparison between the Approved Scheme and Proposed Scheme	.1
Table 3.1	Key Junctions	.2
Table 3.2	Existing Junction Performance – Weekend	.3
Table 3.3	Existing Junction Performance – Weekday	.3
Table 4.1	Hourly Arrival Profile of Visitors	.4
Table 4.2	Modal Split of Visitors to/from Ma Wan Park Phase 1	.5
Table 4.3	Patronage Split in Transport Modes (Visitors / Hour)	.5
Table 4.4	Traffic Generation / Attraction of MWPP2	.5
Table 4.5	Junction Performance – Weekend for Operation of MWPP2	.6
Table 4.6	Junction Performance – Weekday for Operation of MWPP2	.6

#### List of Drawings

Drawing No.	Title
Figure 1.1	Site Location Plan
Figure 2.1	Master Layout Plan
Figure 3.1	Identified Key Junctions
Figure 3.2	Junction Layout of Ma Wan Road / Pak Lam Road (J1)
Figure 3.3	Junction Layout of Pak Lam Road / Fong Yuen Road / Pak Lai Road (J2)
Figure 3.4	Junction Layout of Pak Yan Road / Access Road to Hotel (J3)
Figure 3.5	Junction Layout of Fong Yuen Road / Ma Wan Rural Committee Road (J4)
Figure 3.6	2024 Observed Traffic Flows (Weekend)
Figure 3.7	2024 Observed Traffic Flows (Weekday)
Figure 3.8	Layout of Taxi, Franchised Bus Laybys and Coach Parking Spaces
Figure 3.9	Taxi Pick-up/Drop-off Point for the MWPP2
Figure 4.1	2024 Design Traffic Flows (With MWPP2) on Weekend
Figure 4.2	2024 Design Traffic Flows (With MWPP2) on Weekday



CEA Distribution Difference

### 1 MA WAN PARK

- 1.1 Ma Wan Park is a park on Ma Wan Island, New Territories in Hong Kong, operated by Ma Wan Park Limited (MWPL) with investment from the Hong Kong Government. For Ma Wan Park Phase 1 (MWPP1), it primarily consists of Noah's Ark and Nature Garden including Solar Tower. For Ma Wan Park Phase 2 (MWPP2), it is a re-styled Ma Wan Village with existing buildings of Ma Wan Village preserved, restored and renovated. It primarily consists of restaurants, retail, workshop, gallery, event place and market. The site location is shown in **Figure 1.1**.
- 1.2 Under the Approved MLP, various uses have already been allowed in the Restyled Ma Wan Village to enrich visitors' experience, including 'Eating Place', 'Shop and Services', 'Place of Recreation, Sports or Culture', 'Open Space', 'Amenity Planting' and 'Holiday Camp'. These cover various facilities, such as antique shops, shops, artists' village, forest retreat, food & beverage, museum & craft workshops, fine dining & specialty, and the traditional village, etc, as originated from earlier approved schemes. Various technical assessments have already been conducted under earlier approved schemes to demonstrate the technical feasibility of the Restyled Ma Wan Village. The Restyled Ma Wan Village is already under implementation for phased opening in December 2024.

## 2 DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

Essilition

2.1 Under this planning application, short term accommodation in the restyle Ma Wan Village in MWPP2 is proposed to be included in the current scheme. A total of not more than 30 guest houses would be provided in the existing buildings of Ma Wan Village. There are no changes to all the GFA as compared to the Approved Scheme.

T actitutes	(m <sup>2</sup> ) (about)		Difference
	Approved Scheme (A/I-MWI/45)	Proposed Scheme	
	` (a) ´	(b)	(b) – (a)
Phase 1			
Noah's Ark	13,200	13,200	nil
Park Entrance Plaza and Information Centre	650	650	nil
Hilltop Garden / Café	700	700	nil
Landscaping Pavilions Inside Nature Garden	200	200	nil
Fire Safety Education Centre & Museum	390	390	nil
Event Centre / Landscape Pavilion	2,250	2,250	nil
Butterfly Sanctuary			nil
Existing	70	70	
New	50	50	
Pottery House			nil
Existing	70	70	
New	50	50	

|--|



Facilities	GFA Distribution (m²) (about)		Difference
	Approved Scheme (A/I-MWI/45)	Proposed Scheme	
	` (a) ´	(b)	(b) – (a)
Multi-purpose Performance Venue	790	790	nil
Heritage Museum			nil
Existing	112	112	
New	100	100	
Solar Tower and Exhibition Centre	1,500	1,500	nil
Bicycle Storage Shed	200	200	nil
Public Toilets (near coach parking area)	100	100	nil
GFA under Lantau Link	600	600	nil
Phase 2			
Restyled Ma Wan Village			nil
Existing	9,532	9,532	
New	1,000	1,000	
Total	31,564	31,564	nil

2.2 The Master Layout Plan of MWPP2 is shown in **Figure 2.1**.

## **3** EXISTING ROAD NETWORK AND ACCESSIBILITY

#### Existing Road Network

- 3.1 The whole Ma Wan Island (including MWPP2) is connected to the external road network via the Slip Road of Lantau Link only. The adjacent road network of MWPP2 as presented in **Figure 3.1**, includes Ma Wan Road, Pak Lam Road, Pak Lai Road, Pak Yan Road, Fong Yuen Road and Ma Wan Rural Committee Road.
- 3.2 Four key junctions were identified for capacity assessment purpose as shown in **Figure 3.1** and listed in **Table 3.1**.

No.	Junction	Туре	Figure No.
J1	Ma Wan Road / Pak Lam Road	Roundabout	3.2
J2	Pak Lam Road / Fong Yuen Road / Pak Lai Road	Priority	3.3
J3	Pak Yan Road / Access Road to Hotel	Priority	3.4
J4	Fong Yuen Road / Ma Wan Rural Committee Road	Priority	3.5

3.3 Manual classified traffic counts surveys were carried out during 7:00am – 10:00am and 6:00pm – 9:00pm on a weekend and a weekday in June 2024 respectively. For both weekend and weekday, the AM peak period is 9:00am – 10:00am and PM peak period is 6:00pm – 7:00pm. The observed peak hour traffic



flows on weekend and weekday are shown in Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7 respectively.

3.4 Based on the 2024 observed traffic flows, the critical junctions in Ma Wan were assessed and the results are shown in **Table 3.2** and **Table 3.3**. Detailed junction calculation sheets are attached in **Appendix A**.

No.	Junction	Туре	2024 Observed DFC <sup>(1)</sup>	
			AM	РМ
J1	Ma Wan Road / Pak Lam Road	Roundabout	0.07	0.10
J2	Pak Lam Road / Fong Yuen Road / Pak Lai Road	Priority	0.16	0.22
J3	Pak Yan Road / Access Road to Hotel	Priority	0.02	0.02
J4	Fong Yuen Road / Ma Wan Rural Committee Road	Priority	0.06	0.11

#### Table 3.2 Existing Junction Performance – Weekend

Note:

(1) DFC = Design Flow / Capacity ratio for priority junction or roundabout

No.	Junction	Туре	2024 Observed DFC <sup>(1)</sup>	
			АМ	РМ
J1	Ma Wan Road / Pak Lam Road	Roundabout	0.15	0.10
J2	Pak Lam Road / Fong Yuen Road / Pak Lai Road	Priority	0.31	0.18
J3	Pak Yan Road / Access Road to Hotel	Priority	0.02	0.02
J4	Fong Yuen Road / Ma Wan Rural Committee Road	Priority	0.10	0.08

#### Table 3.3 Existing Junction Performance – Weekday

Note:

(1) DFC = Design Flow / Capacity ratio for priority junction or roundabout

#### Public Transport Accessibility

- 3.5 Ma Wan Park is served by urban taxi, tour coach, recreational franchised bus service 230R, resident's services and public ferry services.
- 3.6 To facilitate relaxation of restrictions for taxi access and operation of franchised bus service, provision of taxi and franchised bus laybys at Pak Yan Road have been recently completed as illustrated in **Figure 3.8**. Furthermore, additional 10 nos. of spaces for coach parking & pick-up/drop-off will be provided at Pak Yan Road so that a total of 40 coach parking spaces will be available in Ma Wan Park.
- 3.7 As shown in **Figure 3.9**, additional taxi pick-up/drop-off point would be provided within the MWPP2 to facilitate the opening of the MWPP2.



## 4 TRAFFIC REVIEW

- 4.1 In accordance with the Approved Planning Application No A/I-MWI/27 for the development of Ma Wan Park, the estimated total number of visitors for MWPP2 would be about 9,000 visitors/day on weekends and 3,900 visitors/day on weekdays.
- 4.2 By adopting 30 guest houses, a total of 90-120 visitors/day would be generated / attracted to the proposed guest houses. Some existing buildings would be used as guest houses, the available area originally planned as restaurants / retail / workshop / gallery / event place / market would be reduced. In general, the number of visitors accommodated in restaurant / workshop / retail / gallery / event place / market would be much higher than that in guest houses, and hence the original anticipated visitors (i.e. 3,900 visitors/day on weekdays and 9,000 visitors/day on weekends) for the MWPP2 would be decreased or in worst case maintained the same. Hence the traffic impact due to the proposed guest houses is nil or negligible.
- 4.3 Given that there are no changes to the proposed GFA and other development parameters under the current planning application, but only to broaden the uses allowed in the Restyled Ma Wan Village (i.e. 'Hotel (Holiday House)' for guesthouse / short-term accommodation purpose and 'Place of Entertainment' for more innovative types of arts operation), it is anticipated that there would not be any insurmountable problems for the proposed amendments to the Approved MLP to allow greater flexibility in future operation of the Restyled Ma Wan Village.
- 4.4 To appraise the traffic condition at nearby road junctions after the completion of MWPP2, reference was made to historical data on the arrival profile provided by the operator as listed in **Table 4.1** and the previous adopted modal split of visitors as given in **Table 4.2**, the hourly patronage taking coach and taxi during the AM and PM peak are listed in **Table 4.3**.

Hour	Hourly Distribution Average Daily
08:00 - 09:00	0.5%
09:00 - 10:00	5.1%
10:00 - 11:00	34.9%
11:00 – 12:00	11.6%
12:00 – 13:00	6.7%
13:00 – 14:00	7.3%
14:00 – 15:00	14.5%
15:00 – 16:00	3.6%
16:00 – 17:00	1.0%
17:00 - 18:00	1.5%
18:00 - 19:00	13.4%
Total	100.0%



PM (6:00pm -7:00pm)

8

9

Transport Mode	Percentage
Tour Coach	35%
Urban Taxi	4%
Others (Residents' Services, Public Ferry Services)	61%
Total	100%

#### Table 4.2 Modal Split of Visitors to/from Ma Wan Park Phase 1

#### Table 4.3 Patronage Split in Transport Modes (Visitors / Hour)

		Wee	kend	Weekday			
Transport Mode <sup>(1)</sup>		9,000 vis	itors/day	3,900 visitors/day			
	Percentage	AM Peak (34.9% <sup>(1)</sup> of Daily Patronage)	PM Peak (13.4% of Daily Patronage)	AM Peak (34.9% <sup>(1)</sup> of Daily Patronage)	PM Peak (13.4% of Daily Patronage)		
Tour Coach	35%	1,100	423	477	183		
Taxi	4%	126	49	55	21		

Note:

(1) As a conservative approach, 34.9% (instead of 5.1%) of the visitor volume of the MWPP2 is adopted for AM Peak assessment.

4.5 Referring to the patronage split as given in **Table 4.3**, the additional coach/taxi trip generation / attraction during the AM and PM peak hours was derived as presented in Table 4.4. The additional coach/ taxi trips as given in Table 4.4 are assigned to the 2024 observed traffic flows as given in Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7 to produce the 2024 design traffic flows. The 2024 design traffic flows (with MWPP2) on weekend and weekday are illustrated in Figure 4.1 and Figure 4.2 respectively.

Table 4.4 Traffic Generation / Attraction of WWFF2										
		Visitor	Volume	Vehicular Trip (pcu) <sup>(2)</sup>						
Transport Mode	Wee	Weekend Weekday			Wee	kend	Weekday			
wode	<b>AM</b> <sup>(1)</sup> (9:00am – 10:00am)	PM (6:00pm – 7:00pm)	<b>AM</b> <sup>(1)</sup> (9:00am – 10:00am)	PM (6:00pm – 7:00pm)	<b>AM</b> (9:00am – 10:00am)	PM (6:00pm – 7:00pm)	<b>AM</b> (9:00am – 10:00am)	P (6:00 7:00		
Tour	1,100	423	477	183	38	16	16	6		

55

#### Table 4.4 Traffic Generation / Attraction of MW/PP2

49

Notes:

Coach

Taxi

126

(1) As a conservative approach, 34.9% (instead of 5.1%) of the visitor volume of the MWPP2 is adopted for AM Peak assessment.

21

51

20

22

The occupancy of tour coach and taxi is assumed to be 60 passengers/coach and 2.5 passengers/taxi respectively. (2) PCU factor of 2 pcu/veh and 1 pcu/veh is adopted for tour coach and taxi respectively.



4.6 Based on the 2024 design traffic flows, the critical junctions in Ma Wan were assessed and the results are shown in **Table 4.5** and **Table 4.6**. Detailed junction calculation sheets are attached in **Appendix A**.

No.	Junction	Type	2024 Design Case DFC <sup>(1)</sup>		
		- 77	AM	РМ	
J1	Ma Wan Road / Pak Lam Road	Roundabout	0.14	0.14	
J2	Pak Lam Road / Fong Yuen Road / Pak Lai Road	Priority	0.34	0.28	
J3	Pak Yan Road / Access Road to Hotel	Priority	0.02	0.02	
J4	Fong Yuen Road / Ma Wan Rural Committee Road	Priority	0.06	0.11	

#### Table 4.5 Junction Performance – Weekend for Operation of MWPP2

Note:

(2) DFC = Design Flow / Capacity ratio for priority junction or roundabout

#### Table 4.6 Junction Performance – Weekday for Operation of MWPP2

No.	Junction	Type	2024 Design Case DFC <sup>(1)</sup>		
		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	AM	РМ	
J1	Ma Wan Road / Pak Lam Road	Roundabout	0.18	0.12	
J2	Pak Lam Road / Fong Yuen Road / Pak Lai Road	Priority	0.40	0.22	
J3	Pak Yan Road / Access Road to Hotel	Priority	0.02	0.02	
J4	Fong Yuen Road / Ma Wan Rural Committee Road	Priority	0.10	0.08	

Note:

(2) DFC = Design Flow / Capacity ratio for priority junction or roundabout

4.7 As shown in **Table 4.5** and **Table 4.6**, all the junctions would operate with ample capacity with the operation of MWPP2.

### 5 CONCLUSION

- 5.1 Given that there are no changes to the proposed GFA and other development parameters under the current planning application, but only to broaden the uses allowed in the Restyled Ma Wan Village (i.e. 'Hotel (Holiday House)' for guesthouse / short-term accommodation purpose and 'Place of Entertainment' for more innovative types of arts operation), it is anticipated that there would not be any insurmountable problems for the proposed amendments to the Approved MLP to allow greater flexibility in future operation of the Restyled Ma Wan Village.
- 5.2 The traffic impact due to the development proposal is nil or negligible. Therefore, the current development proposal is considered feasible from the traffic point of view.



Drawings



PRIN

\$TIME\$

\$DATE

VTED BY: \$USER\$ NAME: \$FILE\$



IED BY: \$USER\$ \$DATE\$ AME: \$FILE\$

\$TIME\$

PLOT DRIVE: \$PLTDRVL\$













PLOT DRIVE: \$PLTDRVL\$

\$TIME\$

\$DATE\$

BY: \$USER\$ E: \$FILE\$

TED

PM Peak Hourly Flow (PCU/Hr)

				1			
				+			
Rev. Date	Drawn	Descrip	rtion	0	hecked	Approved	
DEVELOPER				~			
CONSULTANT _							
			asia infra solut	str Ior	ucti Is	ILG	
PROJECT SECTION PROPOS MASTER DEVELO	N 16 F ED A LAY PMEI	PLANNIN AMENDM OUT PL/ NT	IG APPL IENTS T AN OF M	.ica 'o a Ia v	TION PPRC /AN P	FOR VED ARK	
DRAWING TITLE 2024 OBSERVED TRAFFIC FLOWS (WEEKEND)							
DESIGNED			CHECKED				
DRAWN SCALE AT A3		STATUS	APPROVED	REV			
NTS							
Drawing No. FIGURE 3.6							
0	C Copyright reserved						



BY: \$USER\$ E: \$FILE\$ TED

\$TIME\$

\$DATE\$

PM Peak Hourly Flow (PCU/Hr)

	_			Ŧ		
Nev. Date	Drawn	Descrip	uon	Q	necked	Approved
			asia Infra solut	str Ior	ucti Is	ILG
PROJECT SECTION PROPOS MASTER DEVELO	N 16 I SED A LAY PMEI	PLANNIN AMENDM OUT PL/ NT	IG APPL IENTS T AN OF M	ICA O A IA V	TION PPRC /AN P	FOR VED ARK
DRAWING TITLE 2024 OBSERVED TRAFFIC FLOWS (WEEKDAY)						
DESIGNED			CHECKED			
DRAWN			APPROVED			
SCALE AT A3		STATUS		REV	í.	
Drawing No. FIGURE 3.7						
a	)	Copyrigh	t reserv	ed		
	-					



\$TIME\$

\$DATE

PRINTED EILENAMF

7+1							
N 6.9+							
· .							
4.5+							
11.4 - L							
56:3+							
615 57.7+							
L	Rev. Date DEVELOPER	Drawn	Descrip	tion	a	hecked	Approved
L							
	CONSULTANT			asia infra soluti	stri ion	ucti Is	ire
1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PROJECT	I 16 P	LANNIN		ICA <sup>-</sup>		FOR
V E V	DEVELOI			AN OF M	A W	AN P	VED ARK
	LAYOU BUS L PARKI	JT C AYB NG 3	OF TAX YS AN SPAC	KI, FRA ND CO, ES	AC	CHIS H	ED
1 Seton Martin	DESIGNED			CHECKED			
	DRAWN			APPROVED			
14.0+	SCALE AT A3		STATUS		REV	-	
	1:1000 Drawing No.						
14.0	FIGUF	RE 3	.8	t rac			
		<i>y</i> (	λομ yr ign	L I COCI W			



PRINTED BY: \$USER\$ \$DATE\$ FILENAME: \$FILE\$

\$TIME\$



BY: \$USER E: \$FILE\$ TED

\$TIME\$

\$DATE\$

PM Peak Hourly Flow (PCU/Hr)

				Ŧ			
				+			
Rev. Date	Drawn	Descrip	tion	a	hecked	Approved	
DEVELOPER							
CONSULTANT			asia infra solut	str Ior	ucti Is	ILG	
PROJECT SECTION PROPOS MASTER DEVELO	I 16 I ED A LAY PMEI	PLANNIN AMENDM OUT PL/ NT	IG APPL IENTS T AN OF M	.ica 'o a Ia v	TION PPRC /AN P	FOR OVED PARK	
2024 DE (WITH N	DRAWING TITLE 2024 DESIGN TRAFFIC FLOWS (WITH MWPP2) ON WEEKEND						
DESIGNED			CHECKED				
DRAWN			APPROVED				
scale at a3 NTS		STATUS		REV			
Drawing No. FIGURE 4	Drawing No. FIGURE 4.1						
(	C Copyright reserved						



PLOT DRIVE: \$PLTDRVL\$

\$TIME\$

\$DATE\$

BY: \$USER\$ E: \$FILE\$

TED

PM Peak Hourly Flow (PCU/Hr)

				T		
				+		
Paul Date	D	Decent	tion	-	hadina	Anomic
DEVELOPER	Urdwill	uescrip	uon	10	necked	Approved
			asia Infra: solut	str Ior	uctı Is	ILG
PROJECT SECTION PROPOS MASTER DEVELO	I 16 I ED A LAY PMEI	PLANNIN AMENDM OUT PLA NT	IG APPL IENTS T AN OF M	ICA O A IA V	TION PPRC /AN P	FOR OVED PARK
drawing title 2024 DE (WITH N	ESIG //WF	SN TRA PP2) ON	FFIC FL I WEEP	_0V (DA	VS \Y	
DESIGNED			CHECKED			
DRAWN			APPROVED			
SCALE AT A3		STATUS		REV	6	
Drawing No. FIGURE 4.2						
(	)	Copyrigh	t reserv	ed		



# Appendix A Junction Calculation Sheets



# **Priority Junction Capacity Calculation**



GEOMETRY					Arm A		Arm C				
Major road width	W =	8.5	m	Lane widths	W <sub>A-B</sub> =	2.2	m	Lane widths	W <sub>C-B</sub> =	3.7	m
Central Reserve width	W <sub>cr</sub> =	0	m		W <sub>A-D</sub> =	2.9	m		W <sub>C-D</sub> =	2.2	m
					W <sub>D-A</sub> =	2.2	m		W <sub>B-C</sub> =	3	m
Visibilities	V <sub>B-C</sub> =	50	m	Visibilities	V <sub>right A</sub> =	30	m		V <sub>right C</sub> =	30	m
	V <sub>D-A</sub> =	55	m		V <sub>left A</sub> =	30	m		V <sub>left C</sub> =	30	m
General Terms					$X_{A} = 0.880$	Y =	= 0.707		X <sub>A</sub> = 0.813	Y	= 0.707
					X <sub>B</sub> = 0.737	Z <sub>B</sub> =	= 0.854		X <sub>B</sub> = 0.737	Z <sub>B</sub> :	= 0.923
					$X_{\rm C} = 0.813$	Z <sub>D</sub> =	= 0.923		$X_{\rm C} = 0.880$	Z <sub>D</sub> :	= 0.854
					$X_{D} = 0.737$				$X_{D} = 0.737$		
ANALVEIC				-							

	A			1	A	~ P		1	A		1	A	- D	
	Arm	A			Arr	nв			Arm C			Arn	nυ	
				Left-turn?	1	Right-turn?	1				Left-turn?	1 F	Right-turn?	1
		AM	PM			AM	PM		AM	PM			AM	PM
Traffic	q <sub>A-B</sub> =	5	5		q <sub>B-A</sub> =	5	5	Traffic	10	10	Traffic	q <sub>D-A</sub> =	10	5
Flows	q <sub>A-C</sub> =	10	10		q <sub>B-C</sub> =	30	60	Flows	10	5	Flows	q <sub>D-В</sub> =	45	50
(pcu/hr)	q <sub>A-D</sub> =	30	55		q <sub>B-D</sub> =	50	55	(pcu/hr)	5	10	(pcu/hr)	q <sub>D-C</sub> =	5	5
					M =	0.793						M =	0.857	
Capacities	μ <sub>A-D</sub> =	649	649		μ <sub>B-A</sub> =	439	436		594	586		μ <sub>D-A</sub> =	685	685
					μ <sub>B-C</sub> =	634	634					μ <sub>D-B</sub> =	521	420
					μ <sub>B-D</sub> =	484	479					μ <sub>D-C</sub> =	433	514
					µ <sub>B-AC</sub> =	596	613					μ <sub>D-AC</sub> =	574	587
DFC	A-D	0.05	0.08		B-A	0.01	0.01		0.02	0.01		D-A	0.01	0.01
					B-C	0.05	0.09					D-B	0.09	0.12
					B-D	0.1	0.11					D-C	0.01	0.01
					B-AC	0.06	0.11					D-AC	0.03	0.02
					B-ACD	0.16	0.22					D-ABC	0.11	0.14
DFC		0.05	0.08			0.16	0.22	DFC	0.02	0.01	DFC		0.11	0.14
	asia			-									AM	РМ
	infrastru	cture									Critical	DFC	0.16	0.00
	Solutions	\$											0.16	0.22



Y = 1-0.0345W

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

Q (b-ac) = Q(b-c)\*Q(b-a)/(1-f)\*Q(b-c)+f\*Q(b-a)



Y = 1-0.0345W

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

Q (b-ac) = Q(b-c)\*Q(b-a)/(1-f)\*Q(b-c)+f\*Q(b-a)



# **Priority Junction Capacity Calculation**



GEOMETRY					Arm A				Arm C		
Major road width	W =	8.5	m	Lane widths	W <sub>A-B</sub> =	2.2	m	Lane widths	W <sub>C-B</sub> =	3.7	m
Central Reserve width	W <sub>cr</sub> =	0	m		W <sub>A-D</sub> =	2.9	m		W <sub>C-D</sub> =	2.2	m
					W <sub>D-A</sub> =	2.2	m		W <sub>B-C</sub> =	3	m
Visibilities	V <sub>B-C</sub> =	50	m	Visibilities	V <sub>right A</sub> =	30	m		V <sub>right C</sub> =	30	m
	V <sub>D-A</sub> =	55	m		V <sub>left A</sub> =	30	m		V <sub>left C</sub> =	30	m
General Terms					$X_{A} = 0.880$	Y÷	= 0.707		X <sub>A</sub> = 0.813	Y	= 0.707
					X <sub>B</sub> = 0.737	Z <sub>B</sub> :	= 0.854		X <sub>B</sub> = 0.737	ZB	= 0.923
					$X_{\rm C} = 0.813$	Z <sub>D</sub> :	= 0.923		$X_{\rm C} = 0.880$	ZD	= 0.854
					$X_{D} = 0.737$				$X_{D} = 0.737$		
								-			

	Arm	Α			Arn	n B			Arm C		Arm D			
				Left-turn?	1	Right-turn?	1				Left-turn?	1 1	Right-turn?	1
		AM	PM			AM	РМ		AM	PM			AM	РМ
Traffic	q <sub>A-B</sub> =	10	5		q <sub>B-A</sub> =	15	5	Traffic	10	10	Traffic	q <sub>D-A</sub> =	20	5
Flows	q <sub>A-C</sub> =	10	10		q <sub>B-C</sub> =	50	40	Flows	5	5	Flows	q <sub>D-B</sub> =	85	55
(pcu/hr)	q <sub>A-D</sub> =	50	40		q <sub>B-D</sub> =	95	50	(pcu/hr)	5	5	(pcu/hr)	q <sub>D-C</sub> =	5	5
					M =	0.793		•				M =	0.857	
Capacities	μ <sub>A-D</sub> =	650	650		μ <sub>Β-A</sub> =	427	438		587	591		μ <sub>D-A</sub> =	685	685
					μ <sub>B-C</sub> =	633	634					μ <sub>D-B</sub> =	515	429
					μ <sub>B-D</sub> =	481	483					μ <sub>D-C</sub> =	415	519
					$\mu_{B-AC}$ =	570	604					μ <sub>D-AC</sub> =	606	591
DFC	A-D	0.08	0.06		B-A	0.04	0.01		0.01	0.01		D-A	0.03	0.01
					B-C	0.08	0.06					D-B	0.17	0.13
					B-D	0.2	0.1					D-C	0.01	0.01
					B-AC	0.11	0.07					D-AC	0.04	0.02
					B-ACD	0.31	0.18					D-ABC	0.21	0.15
DFC		0.08	0.06			0.31	0.18	DFC	0.01	0.01	DFC		0.21	0.15
	asia												АМ	РМ
solutions											Critical	DFC	0.31	0.18



Y = 1-0.0345W

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

Q (b-ac) = Q(b-c)\*Q(b-a)/(1-f)\*Q(b-c)+f\*Q(b-a)



Y = 1-0.0345W

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

Q (b-ac) = Q(b-c)\*Q(b-a)/(1-f)\*Q(b-c)+f\*Q(b-a)



# **Priority Junction Capacity Calculation**



GEOMETRY					Arm A			Arm C				
Major road width	W =	8.5	m	Lane widths	W <sub>A-B</sub> =	2.2	m	Lane widths	W <sub>C-B</sub> =	3.7	m	
Central Reserve width	W <sub>cr</sub> =	0	m		W <sub>A-D</sub> =	2.9	m		W <sub>C-D</sub> =	2.2	m	
					W <sub>D-A</sub> =	2.2	m		$W_{B-C} =$	3	m	
Visibilities	V <sub>B-C</sub> =	50	m	Visibilities	V <sub>right A</sub> =	30	m		V <sub>right C</sub> =	30	m	
	V <sub>D-A</sub> =	55	m		V <sub>left A</sub> =	30	m		V <sub>left C</sub> =	30	m	
General Terms					$X_{A} = 0.880$	Y	= 0.707		X <sub>A</sub> = 0.813	Y	= 0.707	
					X <sub>B</sub> = 0.737	ZB	= 0.854		X <sub>B</sub> = 0.737	ZB	= 0.923	
					$X_{\rm C} = 0.813$	Z <sub>D</sub>	= 0.923		$X_{\rm C} = 0.880$	ZD	= 0.854	
					$X_{D} = 0.737$				$X_{D} = 0.737$			
ANALYSIS												

ANALYSIS														
	Arm	Α			Arr	n B			Arm C		Arr	n D		
				Left-turn?	1	Right-turn?	1				Left-turn?	1	Right-turn?	1
		AM	PM			AM	PM		AM	PM			AM	PM
Traffic	q <sub>A-B</sub> =	5	5		q <sub>B-A</sub> =	65	25	Traffic	10	10	Traffic	q <sub>D-A</sub> =	10	5
Flows	q <sub>A-C</sub> =	10	10		q <sub>B-C</sub> =	55	70	Flows	10	5	Flows	q <sub>D-В</sub> =	45	50
(pcu/hr)	q <sub>A-D</sub> =	55	65		q <sub>B-D</sub> =	50	55	(pcu/hr)	70	35	(pcu/hr)	q <sub>D-C</sub> =	5	5
				· ·	M =	0.793						M =	0.857	
Capacities	μ <sub>A-D</sub> =	634	644		μ <sub>B-A</sub> =	434	434		586	583		μ <sub>D-A</sub> =	679	682
					μ <sub>B-C</sub> =	634	634					μ <sub>D-B</sub> =	507	412
					μ <sub>B-D</sub> =	470	474					μ <sub>D-C</sub> =	411	508
					µ <sub>B-AC</sub> =	507	565					$\mu_{D-AC}$ =	558	582
DFC	A-D	0.09	0.1		B-A	0.15	0.06		0.02	0.01		D-A	0.01	0.01
					B-C	0.09	0.11					D-B	0.09	0.12
					B-D	0.11	0.12					D-C	0.01	0.01
					B-AC	0.24	0.17					D-AC	0.03	0.02
					B-ACD	0.34	0.28					D-ABC	0.12	0.14
DFC		0.09	0.1			0.34	0.28	DFC	0.02	0.01	DFC		0.12	0.14
	asia												АМ	РМ
	infrastru	cture									Critical	DFC	0.34	0.28
	2010110112	2											0.34	0.20



Y = 1-0.0345W

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

Q (b-ac) = Q(b-c)\*Q(b-a)/(1-f)\*Q(b-c)+f\*Q(b-a)



Y = 1-0.0345W

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

Q (b-ac) = Q(b-c)\*Q(b-a)/(1-f)\*Q(b-c)+f\*Q(b-a)



# **Priority Junction Capacity Calculation**



GEOMETRY					Arm A			Arm C			
Major road width	W =	8.5	m	Lane widths	W <sub>A-B</sub> =	2.2	m	Lane widths	W <sub>с-в</sub> =	3.7	m
Central Reserve width	W <sub>cr</sub> =	0	m		W <sub>A-D</sub> =	2.9	m		W <sub>C-D</sub> =	2.2	m
					W <sub>D-A</sub> =	2.2	m		W <sub>B-C</sub> =	3	m
Visibilities	V <sub>B-C</sub> =	50	m	Visibilities	V <sub>right A</sub> =	30	m		V <sub>right C</sub> =	30	m
	V <sub>D-A</sub> =	55	m		V <sub>left A</sub> =	30	m		V <sub>left C</sub> =	30	m
General Terms					$X_{A} = 0.880$	Y÷	= 0.707		X <sub>A</sub> = 0.813	Y	= 0.707
					X <sub>B</sub> = 0.737	Z <sub>B</sub> :	= 0.854		X <sub>B</sub> = 0.737	ZB	= 0.923
					$X_{\rm C} = 0.813$	Z <sub>D</sub> :	= 0.923		$X_{\rm C} = 0.880$	ZD	= 0.854
					X <sub>D</sub> = 0.737				X <sub>D</sub> = 0.737		
ANALVEIC											

ANALYSIS														
	Arm	Α			Ar	m B			Arm C		Ar	m D		
				Left-turn?	1	Right-turn?	1				Left-turn?	1	Right-turn?	1
		AM	PM			AM	РМ		AM	PM			AM	PM
Traffic	q <sub>A-B</sub> =	10	5	1	q <sub>B-A</sub> =	45	20	Traffic	10	10	Traffic	q <sub>D-A</sub> =	20	5
Flows	q <sub>A-C</sub> =	10	10		q <sub>B-C</sub> =	60	45	Flows	5	5	Flows	q <sub>D-В</sub> =	85	55
(pcu/hr)	q <sub>A-D</sub> =	60	45		q <sub>B-D</sub> =	95	50	(pcu/hr)	30	15	(pcu/hr)	q <sub>D-C</sub> =	5	5
				· ·	M =	0.793						M =	0.857	
Capacities	μ <sub>Α-D</sub> =	645	648		μ <sub>B-A</sub> =	425	437		584	589		μ <sub>D-A</sub> =	683	684
· ·					μ <sub>B-C</sub> =	633	634					μ <sub>D-B</sub> =	510	425
					μ <sub>B-D</sub> =	475	481					μ <sub>D-C</sub> =	406	516
					μ <sub>B-AC</sub> =	523	557					μ <sub>D-AC</sub> =	601	588
DEC	A-D	0.09	0.07		B-A	0.11	0.05		0.01	0.01			0.03	0.01
		0.00	0.01		B-C	0.09	0.07		0.01	0.01		D-B	0.00	0.13
					B-D	0.2	0.1					D-C	0.01	0.01
					B-AC	0.20	0.12					D-AC	0.04	0.02
					B-ACD	0.4	0.22					D-ABC	0.21	0.15
						0.40								
DFC		0.09	0.07			0.40	0.22	DFC	0.01	0.01	DFC		0.21	0.15
	asia	-4									Oritical	<b>DE0</b>	AM	PM
	solutions	ciure S									Critical	DFC	0.40	0.22



Y = 1-0.0345W

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

Q (b-ac) = Q(b-c)\*Q(b-a)/(1-f)\*Q(b-c)+f\*Q(b-a)



Y = 1-0.0345W

f = proportion of minor traffic turning left

Q (b-ac) = Q(b-c)\*Q(b-a)/(1-f)\*Q(b-c)+f\*Q(b-a)