

Our Ref.: DD82 Lot 1151 & VL Your Ref.: TPB/A/NE-TKL/763

The Secretary, Town Planning Board, 15/F, North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong



By Email 12 August 2024

Dear Sir,

1st Further Information

Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Machinery with Ancillary Facilities for a Period of 3 Years and Associated Filling of Land <u>in "Agriculture" Zone, Various Lots in D.D. 82, Ta Kwu Ling, New Territories</u>

(S.16 Planning Application No. A/NE-TKL/763)

We are writing to submit further information to address departmental comments of the subject application (**Appendix I**).

Should you require more information regarding the application, please contact our Mr. Danny NG at or the undersigned at your convenience. Thank you for your kind attention.

Yours faithfully,

For and on behalf of R-riches Property Consultants Limited

Louis TSE Town Planner

cc DPO/STN, PlanD

(Attn.: Ms. Sheren LEE (Attn.: Ms. Katie LEUNG email: sswlee@pland.gov.hk) email: kyyleung@pland.gov.hk)

香港新界錦田吉慶園 236 號盈匯坊 D 座 Block D, The Richfield, 236 Kat Hing Wai, Kam Tin, NT, HK

Responses-to-Comments

Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Machinery with Ancillary Facilities for a Period of 3 Years and Associated Filling of Land <u>in "Agriculture" Zone, Various Lots in D.D. 82, Ta Kwu Ling, New Territories</u>

(Application No. A/NE-TKL/763)

(i) A RtoC Table:

	Departmental Comments	Applicant's Responses
1. C	omments of the Commissioner for Transport	(C for T)
(a)	The applicant shall advise the management/control measures to be implemented to ensure no queuing of vehicles outside the subject site	As the application site (the Site) is proposed for 'open storage' use with no shopfront, no visitor is anticipated at the Site and only the applicant's fleets will be allowed to enter/exit the Site. As the vehicular trips could be strictly controlled by the applicant, queuing of vehicles outside the Site will not be anticipated. Staff will be deployed at the ingress/egress of the Site to direct vehicles entering and exiting the Site. Sufficient space is also reserved for smooth manoeuvring within the Site to ensure that no queuing of vehicle outside the Site at any time during the planning approval period.
(b)	The applicant shall advise the provision and management of pedestrian facilities to ensure pedestrian safety; and	Staff will be deployed by the applicant to direct vehicle entering/exiting the Site. 'Stop and give way' and 'beware of pedestrians' signs would also be erected to ensure pedestrian safety to/from the Site.
(c)	The proposed vehicular access between Ping Che Road and the application site is not managed by TD. The applicant should seek comments from the responsible party.	Noted.
2. C	omments of the Chief Town Planner/Urban	Design and Landscape, Planning Department
(a)	CTP/UD&L, PlanD)	
(4)		
	With reference to the aerial photo of 2023,	According to our site visit conducted in July
	the site is located in an area of rural inland	2024, no old and valuable tree or protected



	plains landscape character comprising of farmlands, temporary structures, vegetated areas, clusters of tree groups, and woodland within the "GB" zones to the north and southeast. The proposed use is not compatible with the surrounding environment. There is a concern that approval of the application may alter the landscape character and degrade the landscape quality of the surrounding area, where the "Green Belt" zone is in close proximity to the north and immediate southeast of the site. Based on our site records taken on 2.7.2024, the site is fenced off and mainly covered by wild grasses. Some trees of undesirable and common species, e.g. Musa supp. (蕉屬) are observed within the site. Since tree information, proposed tree treatment and landscape treatment/ mitigation measures are not provided, potential impact on the landscape resources cannot be reasonably ascertained.	species has been identified at the Site. Due to the proposed hard-paving works for site formation of structures and circulation purpose, all existing trees will be affected, and it is not proposed to retain any of the existing trees within the Site. A landscape proposal is submitted by the applicant to provide landscape mitigation measures for the proposed development (Annex I). <u>12</u> new trees (N1 to N12) are proposed to be planted along the northwest and southwest periphery boundary of the Site as indicated on plan, to minimise adverse visual impact to the adjoining receivers. All these new trees within the Site will be maintained by the applicant during the planning approval period.
(b)	Detailed Comments/ Advisory Comments The applicant is advised to provide basic information (e.g. species, size, general conditions and tree photos) on existing trees within and along the site boundary, proposed tree treatments and mitigation measures for TPB's consideration. The applicant should be advised that approval of the application does not imply approval of tree works such as pruning, transplanting and felling. The applicant is reminded to seek approval for any proposed tree works from relevant authority prior to commencement of the works.	



3. C	omments of the Director of Agriculture, Fishe	ries and Conservation (DAFC)
(a)	The subject site falls within the "AGR" zone and is generally abandoned. The agricultural activities are active in the vicinity, and agricultural infrastructures such as road access and water source are also available. The subject site can be used for agricultural activities such as open-field cultivation, greenhouses, plant nurseries, etc. As the subject site possesses potential for agricultural rehabilitation, the proposed development is not supported from agricultural perspective.	Although the Site falls within area zoned as "AGR", there is no active agricultural use within the Site. The Site is also surrounded by open storage yards and sites occupied by temporary structures for port back-up uses; hence, the proposed development is considered not incompatible with the surrounding area. Therefore, approval of the current application on a temporary basis of 3 years would not jeopardize the long-term planning intention of the "AGR" zone and better utilize deserted agricultural land in the New Territories. Fencing will be erected along the site boundary to avoid any disturbance during the planning approval period. The applicants will reinstate the Site to an amenity area after the planning approval period.
4. C D	omments of the Chief Engineer/Mainland No SD)	orth, Drainage Services Department (CE/MN,
(a)	Flooding complaints have been recorded based on our records. It is revealed that the area adjoining the application site is subject to overland flows and/or regular flooding. Unless the applicant can submit satisfactory drainage proposal to mitigate the flooding susceptibility of the area to my satisfaction, I do not support the application. The site is in an area where public sewerage connection is not available. EPD should be consulted regarding the sewage impact assessment and sewage treatment/disposal facilities for the proposed development.	A drainage proposal is prepared by the applicant to mitigate the flooding susceptibility of the area (Annex II). According to the result of the drainage proposal, with the implementation of the proposed drainage system, adverse drainage impact to the surrounding area is <u>not</u> anticipated.





Drainage Proposal

Jul 2024

Table of Content

1.	Intr	oduction	. 1
	1.1	Background	. 1
	1.2	The Site	. 1
2.	Dev	/elopment Proposal	. 2
	2.1	The Proposed Development	. 2
3.	Ass	essment Criteria	.2
4.	Pro	posed Drainage System	.5
5.	Con	nclusion	. 5

List of Table

Table 1 - Key Development Parameters	2
Table 2– Design Return Periods under SDM	2

List of Figure

Figure 1 – Site Location Plan
Figure 2 - Existing Drainage Plan

- Figure 3 Proposed Drainage System
- Figure 4 Catchment Plan

List of Appendix

- Appendix A Channel Design Calculations
- Appendix B Development Layout Plan
- Appendix C Reference Drawings

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 The applicant seeks planning permission from the Town Planning Board (the Board) to use Lots 1151, 1152, 1161 S.B RP (Part) and 1162 (Part) in D.D. 82, Ta Kwu Ling, New Territories (the Site) for 'Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Machinery with Ancillary Facilities for a Period of 3 Years and Associated Filling of Land'
- 1.1.2 This Drainage Proposal aim to support the development in drainage aspect.

1.2 The Site

- 1.2.1 The Sites are in vicinity of Ping Che Road. It has a total area of about 4,970m². The sites are mainly cover with vegetation and partially paved. The site location plan is shown in **Figure 1**.
- 1.2.2 The existing site ground levels are various from +11.5 mPD to +11.7 mPD. The site is proposed to all paved to +11.7 mPD.
- 1.2.3 An existing steam is running from east to the west at the south of the site. Existing Drainage Plan are shown in **Figure 2** for reference.
- 1.2.4 Proposed Development Layout plan is shown in **Appendix B** for reference.

2. Development Proposal

2.1 The Proposed Development

2.1.1 The total site area is approximately 4,970 m². The indicative development schedule is summarized in **Table 1** below for technical assessment purpose. The catchment plan is shown in **Figure 4**.

Proposed Development		
Total Site Area (m ²)	4,970	
- Site A (m ²) (about)	783	
- Site B (m ²) (about)	4,187	

Table 1 - Key Development Parameters

3. Assessment Criteria

3.1.1 The Recommended Design Return Period based on Flood Level from SDM (Table 10) is adopted for this DIA. The recommendation is summarized in **Table 2** below.

Description	Design Return Periods
Intensively Used Agricultural Land	2 – 5 Years
Village Drainage Including Internal Drainage System under a polder Scheme	10 Years
Main Rural Catchment Drainage Channels	50 Years
Urban Drainage Trunk System	200 Years
Urban Drainage Branch System	50 Years

Table 2– Design Return Periods under SDM

3.1.2 The proposed drainage system intended to collect runoff from internal site and external catchment. 1 in 10 years return period is adopted for the drainage design.

- 3.1.3 Stormwater drainage design will be carried out in accordance with the criteria set out in the Stormwater Drainage Manual published by DSD. The proposed design criteria to be adopted for design of this stormwater drainage system and factors which have been considered are summarised below.
 - 1. Intensity-Duration-Frequency Relationship The Recommended Intensity-Duration-Frequency relationship is used to estimate the intensity of rainfall. It can be expressed by the following algebraic equation.

$$i = \frac{a}{(t_d + b)^c}$$

The site is located within the North District Zone. Therefore, for 10 years return period, the following values are adopted.

а	=	454.9	
b	=	3.44	
с	=	0.412	
		(Corrigendum_No.1_202	24)

2. The peak runoff is calculated by the Rational Method i.e. $Q_p = 0.278$ CiA

where	Qp	=	peak runoff in m ³ /s
	С	=	runoff coefficient (dimensionless)
	i	=	rainfall intensity in mm/hr
	А	=	catchment area in km ²

3. The run-off coefficient (C) of surface runoff are taken as follows:

1.	Paved Area:	C = 0.95
2.	Unpaved Area:	C = 0.35

4. Manning's Equation is used for calculation of velocity of flow inside the channels:

Manning's Equation:
$$v = \frac{R^{\frac{1}{6}}}{n} R^{\frac{1}{2}} S_f^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Where,

V = velocity of the pipe flow (m/s) S_f = hydraulic gradient n = manning's coefficient R = hydraulic radius (m)

5. Colebrook-White Equation is used for calculation of velocity of flow inside the pipes:

Colebrook-White Equation:		$\underline{v} = -\sqrt{32gRS} \log \log \left(\frac{k_s}{14.8R} + \frac{1.255v}{R\sqrt{32gRS_f}}\right)$	
where, S k v F	$ \begin{array}{rcl} & = \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ &$	velocity of the pipe flow (m/s) hydraulic gradient roughness value (m) kinematics viscosity of fluid pipe diameter (m) hydraulic radius (m)	
v E F	- = 2 = 2 =	kinematics viscosity of fluid pipe diameter (m) hydraulic radius (m)	

4. Proposed Drainage System

4.1. Proposed UChannel

- 4.1.1 Proposed U-channels are designed for collection of runoff within and near the Development Site. Please refer to the **Figure 4** for proposed catchment plan. The U-channels from both site A and site B are proposed to be connected and discharged to existing stream at the south. The design calculations of proposed UChannels are shown in **Appendix A**.
- 4.1.2 The alignment, size, gradient and details of the proposed drains are shown in **Figure 3**.
- 4.1.3 The reference standard drawings of drains are shown in **Appendix C**.

5. Conclusion

5.1.1 Drainage study has been conducted for the Proposed Development. With implementation of proposed drainage system, no significant drainage impact is anticipated.

- End of Text -

FIGURES





LEGEND:

	Combined Manhole				
S	Overflow (Combined)				
—	Pipe (Combined)				
	Interface Valve Chamber				
	Sewer Manhole				
	Oil / Petrol Interceptor				
ъ	Overflow (Sewer)				
-	Pipe (Sewer)				

н

 \rightarrow

0

↔

_

Tapping Point (Sewer)	н	Tapping Point (Storm)
Sewer Terminal Manhole	0	Storm Water Terminal Manhole
Catchpit	7223	Tunnel Protection Zone (100m / 200m)
Inlet	<i>7223</i>	Tunnel Protection Zone (General Range)
Storm Water Manhole		Tunnel / Box Culvert (Sewer)
Outlet	100	Tunnel / Box Culvert (Storm)
Pipe (Storm)		EXISTING CHANNEL/STREAM
Sand Trap		

Tapping Point (Storm)
Storm Water Terminal Manhole

PROJ Propose Storage and Ma Facilitie and Ass "Agricu in D.D. Territor	ECT: ed Temporary Op of Construction chinery with Anc s for a Period of cociated Filling of lture" Zone, Varia 82, Ta Kwu Ling, I ies	en Material illary 3 Years Land in ous Lots New
REV DRAWIN EXIST PLAN	DESCRIPTION IG TITLE ING DRAINA	DATE GE
drawin FIGUF	IG NUMBER RE 2	





APPENDIX

Appendix A - Channel Design Calculation

Runoff Estimation	•			1	
Design Return Period		1 in	10	vears	
Paved Area	783 + 8081 x 0.5=		4824	(m2)	
Unpaved Area	8081 x 0.5 =		4041	(m2)	а
Total Equivalent Area	$4824 \times 0.95 + 4041 \times 0.35 =$		5997	(m2)	$i = \frac{1}{(t + b)c}$
Time of Concentration			5	min	$(t_d + b)^2$
Rainfall Intensity, I *			189	mm/hr	
Design Discharge Rate, Q	0.278 x 5997 x 189 / 1000000 =		0.315	m3/s	
II Ohannal				r	
Chappel Size			525	(mm)	
Gradient		1 in	150	(11111)	
Area	$\pi \times 0.53^{2}/8 + 0.53 \times 0.53/2 =$	1 11 1	0.246	(m2)	
Wetted Perimeter	$\pi \times 0.53/2 + 0.53/2 \times 2 =$		1 350	(m)	
R	0.246 / 1.35 =		0.104	(m)	
Velocity			1.64	m/s	
Capacity			0.404	m3/s	
Utilization	0.315 / 0.404	=	78.01	%	OK (less than 90%, for 10% siltation allowance
LI Channel 2 /Zene P1 + P1) . P2)				
Runoff Estimation	<u>+ 63)</u>				
Design Return Period		1 in	10	Veare	
Paved Area	$4187 \pm 92 \times 1 \pm 6856 \times 0.3 =$	1 111	6336	(m2)	
	$4107 + 32 \times 1 + 0000 \times 0.0 =$ 0 + 92 × 0 + 6856 × 0.7-		4041	(m2)	a
Total Equivalent Ares	$0 \pm 32 \times 0 \pm 0000 \times 0.7 =$ 6336 x 0.95 ± 4041 x 0.35 =		7422	(m2)	$* i = \frac{a}{a}$
Time of Concentration	0000 x 0.50 + 4041 x 0.00 =		5	(IIIZ) min	$(t_d + b)^c$
Rainfall Intensity 1*			180	mm/br	
Design Discharge Pate O	$0.278 \times 4041 \times 189 / 1000000 =$		0.300	m3/s	
U Channel					
Channel Size			600	(mm)	
Gradient		1 in	200		
Area	$\pi \times 0.6^2 / 8 + 0.6 \times 0.6 / 2 =$		0.321	(m2)	
Wetted Perimeter	$\pi \times 0.6 / 2 + 0.6 / 2 \times 2 =$		1.542	(m)	
R	0.321 / 1.542 =		0.208	(m)	
Velocity			1.55	m/s	
Capacity			0.499	m3/s	
Utilization	0.39 / 0.499	=	78.21	%	OK (less than 90%, for 10% siltation allowance
U Channel 3 (Zone [A1 + A	<u>2] + [B1 + B2 + B3])</u>				
Runoff Estimation					
Design Return Period		1 in	10	years	
Paved Area	4824 +6336 =		11159	(m2)	
Unpaved Area	4041 +4041 =		8081	(m2)	a
Total Equivalent Area	11159 x 0.95 + 8081 x 0.35 =		13430	(m2)	$* \iota = \frac{1}{(t_d + b)^c}$
Time of Concentration			5	min	(*4 * ~)
Raintail Intensity, I*	0.070 40400 100 / 1000000		189	mm/hr	
Design Discharge Rate, Q	0.278 x 13430 x 189 / 1000000 =		0.705	m3/s	
U Channel				T	
Channel Size			750	(mm)	
		1 in	200	()	
Gradient	$\pi \times 0.75^{2}/8 + 0.75 \times 0.75/2 =$		0.502	(m2)	
Gradient Area			1 928	(m)	
Gradient Area Wetted Perimeter	$\pi \times 0.75 / 2 + 0.75 / 2 \times 2 =$				
Gradient Area Wetted Perimeter R	$\pi \times 0.75 / 2 + 0.75 / 2 \times 2 = 0.502 / 1.928 =$		0.260	(m)	
Gradient Area Wetted Perimeter R Velocity	π x 0.75 / 2 + 0.75/2 x 2 = 0.502 / 1.928 =		0.260	(m) m/s	
Gradient Area Wetted Perimeter R Velocity Capacity	$\pi \times 0.75 / 2 + 0.75 / 2 \times 2 = 0.502 / 1.928 =$		0.260 1.80 0.905	(m) m/s m3/s	



Appendix C - Reference Drawings





ALTERNATIVE TOP SECTION FOR PRECAST CONCRETE COVERS / GRATINGS

NOTES:

- 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES.
- 2. ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE GRADE 20 /20.
- 3. CONCRETE SURFACE FINISH SHALL BE CLASS U2 OR F2 AS APPROPRIATE.
- 4. FOR DETAILS OF JOINT, REFER TO STD. DRG. NO. C2413.
- 5. CONCRETE TO BE COLOURED AS SPECIFIED.
- UNLESS REQUESTED BY THE MAINTENANCE PARTY AND AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER, CATCHPIT WITH TRAP IS NORMALLY NOT PREFERRED DUE TO PONDING PROBLEM.
- 7. UPON THE REQUEST FROM MAINTENANCE PARTY, DRAIN PIPES AT CATCHPIT BASE CAN BE USED BUT THIS IS FOR CATCHPITS LOCATED AT SLOPE TOE ONLY AND AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- FOR CATCHPITS CONSTRUCTED ON OR ADJACENT TO A FOOTPATH, STEEL GRATINGS (SEE DETAIL 'A' ON STD. DRG. NO. C2405 /2) OR CONCRETE COVERS (SEE STD. DRG. NO. C2407) SHALL BE PROVIDED AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 9. IF INSTRUCTED BY THE ENGINEER, HANDRAILING (SEE DETAIL 'J' ON STD. DRG. NO. C2405 /5; EXCEPT ON THE UPSLOPE SIDE) IN LIEU OF STEEL GRATINGS OR CONCRETE COVERS CAN BE ACCEPTED AS AN ALTERNATIVE SAFETY MEASURE FOR CATCHPITS NOT ON A FOOTPATH NOR ADJACENT TO IT. TOP OF THE HANDRAILING SHALL BE 1 000 mm MIN. MEASURED FROM THE ADJACENT GROUND LEVEL.
- 10. MINIMUM INTERNAL CATCHPIT WIDTH SHALL BE 1 000 mm FOR CATCHPITS WITH A HEIGHT EXCEEDING 1 000 mm MEASURED FROM THE INVERT LEVEL TO THE ADJACENT GROUND LEVEL. AND, STEP IRONS (SEE DSD STD. DRG. NO. DS1043) AT 300 c/c STAGGERED SHALL BE PROVIDED. THICKNESS OF CATCHPIT WALL FOR INSTALLATION OF STEP IRONS SHALL BE INCREASED TO 150 mm.
- 11. FOR RETROFITTING AN EXISTING CATCHPIT WITH STEEL GRATING, SEE DETAIL 'G' ON STD. DRG. NO. C2405 /4.
- 12. SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER, OTHER MATERIALS CAN ALSO BE USED AS COVERS / GRATINGS.

	A	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed 04.2016
	REF.	FORMER DRG. NO. C2406J. REVISION	Original Signed 03.2015 SIGNATURE DATE
CATCHPIT WITH TRAP	CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMEN		
(SHEET 2 OF 2)	SCAL DATE	E 1:20 JAN 1991	drawing no. C2406 /2A
卓越工程 建設香港	V	/e Engineer Hong	Kong's Development





