Appendix I

Drainage Impact Assessment

S16 PLANNING APPLICATION FOR THE PROPOSED FILLING AND EXCAVATION OF LAND FOR THE PERMITTED "AGRICULTURAL USE" IN "CONSERVATION AREA" AT VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D.238, CLEAR WATER BAY, SAI KUNG, NEW TERRITORIES

DRAINAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

13 June 2024

Ref No: RT24120-DIA-01_r1

Prepared By:



BeeXergy Consulting Limited (BXG)

| Phone: | (852) 3568-4701 |
|----------|-----------------------------|
| Address: | Unit 2001-05, Apec Plaza |
| | 49 Hoi Yuen Road, Kwun Tong |
| | Kowloon, Hong Kong |
| Email: | info@beexergy.com |

| Project: | S16 PLANNING APPLICATION FOR THE PROPOSED FILLING AND EXCAVATION OF LAND FOR THE PERMITTED "AGRICULTURAL USE" IN "CONSERVATION AREA" AT VARIOUS LOTS IN D.D.238, CLEAR WATER BAY, SAI KUNG, NEW TERRITORIES DRAINAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--------------------|--------|---------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Report No.: | RT24120-DIA-01_r1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Revision | Issue Date | Description | Author | Checker | Approver | | | | | |
| 0 | 12/06/2024 | Issued for Comment | TL | YS | HM | | | | | |
| 1 | 13/06/2024 | Issued for Comment | TL | YS | HM | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Prepared By:

Checked by

Sui Hang Yan

Technical Director

Theo Lai Senior Consultant

Approved by:

Henry Mak Director

Disclaimer:

- This report is prepared and submitted by Beexergy Consulting Limited with all reasonable skill to the best of our knowledge, incorporating our Terms and Conditions and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client.
- We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the project scope.
- This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this
 report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1 | INTRODUCTION1 |
|-----|---------------------------------------|
| 1.1 | PROJECT BACKGROUND1 |
| 1.2 | PROJECT LOCATION1 |
| 1.3 | DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT SITE1 |
| 2 | DRAINAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT2 |
| 2.1 | SCOPE OF WORKS |
| 2.2 | SITE LOCATION AND TOPOGRAPHY2 |
| 2.3 | EXISTING DRAINAGE FACILITIES2 |
| 2.4 | DRAINAGE ANALYSIS2 |
| 2.5 | ASSESSMENT ASSUMPTIONS4 |
| 2.6 | ESTIMATED EXISTING AND FUTURE RUNOFF5 |
| 2.7 | DRAINAGE LAYOUT AND RESULTS6 |
| 3 | CONCLUSION |



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

BeeXergy Consulting Limited was commissioned by the KTA Planning Limited on behalf of Ringlet Global Limited (the Applicant) to prepare a drainage impact assessment (DIA) to support the S16 Planning Application for the Proposed Filling and Excavation of Land for the Permitted "Agricultural Use" in "Conservation Area" at Various Lots in D.D.238, Clear Water Bay, Sai Kung, New Territories (the Application).

Drawings and technical information on the existing agricultural land were provided by the Applicant and the Project Planning Consultant, KTA Planner Limited.

1.2 PROJECT LOCATION

The Project Site is located at Various Lots in D.D.238, Clear Water Bay, Sai Kung, New Territories, surrounded by Hang Hau Wing Lung Road. **Figure 1** shows the Project Site location and its surrounding area.



Figure 1 Location of the Project Site (Source: GeoInfo Map)

1.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT SITE

The Project Site area is approximately $6,175 \text{ m}^2$. Minor filling for a bamboo shelter and excavation of site for two ponds is found. The master layout plan is provided in **Appendix A**.



2 DRAINAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

2.1 SCOPE OF WORKS

The objective of this Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) is to assess whether the Project Site may cause adverse impacts on drainage and flooding or not with the minor filling and excavation of land. These impacts will be identified and mitigation measures will be proposed (when appropriate) in order to demonstrate that the Project Site with the minor filling and excavation of land will not cause an unacceptable increase in the risk of flooding in areas upstream of, adjacent to or downstream of the agricultural land.

2.2 SITE LOCATION AND TOPOGRAPHY

The Project Site is sloping downwards from west to east with topographic level from approximately +46.0 mPD to +32.0 mPD according to the topography map from Lands Department (LandsD). The Project site is currently a farmland.

2.3 EXISTING DRAINAGE FACILITIES

The existing drainage record from the GeoInfo Map of the LandsD and DSD are obtained for this DIA. According to the record, there are no existing manholes or public drainage pipes around the Project Site. Currently, the runoff from the Project Site is soaked away into the soil or flow through the natural valley according to topography of the surrounding area. The existing drainage record can be found in **Appendix B**.

2.4 DRAINAGE ANALYSIS

2.4.1 ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY

Peak instantaneous runoff without and with the minor filling and land excavation was calculated based on the Rational Method. The recommended physical parameters, including runoff coefficient (C) and storm constants for different return periods, are as per the *Stormwater Drainage Manual* issued by DSD.

The Rational Method has been adopted for hydraulic analysis and the peak runoff is given by the following expression:

$$Q_p = 0.278 C i A$$

where:

 Q_p = peak runoff in m³/s C = runoff coefficient *RT24120-DIA-01_r1*



- i = rainfall intensity in mm/hr
- A = catchment area in km^2

Rainfall intensity is calculated using the following expression:

$$i = \frac{a}{(t_d + b)^c}$$

where:

i = rainfall intensity in mm/hr

 t_d = duration in minutes (td≤240)

a, b, c = storm constants given in Table 3 of SDM

For a single catchment, duration (t_d) can be assumed to be the time of concentration (t_c) which is calculated as follows:

$$t_c = t_0 + t_r$$

where:

t_c = time of concentration

 t_0 = inlet time (time taken for flow from the most remote point to reach the most upstream point of the urban drainage system)

Generally, t_0 is much smaller than t_f . As shown in Equation 2, t_d is the divisor. Therefore, larger t_d will result in smaller rain intensity (i) as well as a smaller Q_p . For the worst-case scenario, t_r is assumed to be negligible and so:

$$t_d = t_c = t_0$$
$$t_0 = \frac{0.14465 L}{H^{0.2} A^{0.1}}$$

where:

A = catchment area (m^2)

H = average slope (m per 100m), measure along the line of natural flow, from the summit of the catchment to the point under consideration

L = distance (on plan) measured on the line of natural flow between the summit and the point under consideration (m)



The capacities of the drainage pipes have been calculated using the Colebrook-White Equation, assuming full bore flow with no surcharge, as follows, incorporate 10% sedimentation in the calculation of drainage flow capacity in accordance with the *Stormwater Drainage Manual:*

$$V = -\sqrt{32gRs} \times \log(\frac{k_s}{14.8R} + \frac{1.25\nu}{R\sqrt{32gRs}})$$

where:

V = mean velocity (m/s)

g = gravitation acceleration (m/s^2)

R = hydraulic radius (m)

 k_s = hydraulic pipeline roughness (m)

V = kinematic viscosity of fluid (m^2/s)

S = hydraulic gradient (energy loss per unit length due to friction)

2.5 ASSESSMENT ASSUMPTIONS

2.5.1 PROJECT SITE

For the Application, minor filling for a bamboo shelter and excavation of site for two ponds is found for the Project Site. The site characteristics of without and with the minor filling and land excavation are summarized in **Table 1**.

| Table 1: Surface | Characteristics | and Runoff | Coefficients | of the Site |
|------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
|------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|-------------|

| Scenario of Project | Area (m ²) | Surface Characteristics |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Without the Minor Filling and Excavation of Site | 6,175 | 95% unpaved + 5% paved ^[1] |
| With the Minor Filling and Excavation of Site | 6,175 | 90% unpaved + 10% paved ^{[1][2][3]} |

Remark:

[1] The percentage of paved area is assumed to be the amount of land covered by temporary structures, storage and platforms instead of paved with concrete.

[2] The excavation area is assumed as paved area in a conservative approach.

[3] The entire area of the free-standing bamboo shelter including the shaded area, plinths and barrels is assumed as paved area in a conservative approach.

2.5.2 CUMULATIVE RUNOFF (SURROUNDING CATCHMENTS)

According to the existing drainage record from the GeoInfo Map of the LandsD and DSD, there are no manholes and public drainage pipes around the Project site. All runoff from the Project site and the surrounding catchments shall be discharged by soak away method into the soil



or flow through the natural valley according to topography of the surrounding area.

The existing drainage network collects runoff from the Project Site and that from the surrounding catchments. Runoff from surrounding catchments (Catchment A) shall be taken into account in the estimation. The Project Site catchment that contributed to the cumulative runoff have been identified as Catchment S. According to the topography of the surrounding area, Catchment A is a valley located next to the Project Site. Runoff from Catchment A will soak away into the soil or flow down along the valley from west to east. Runoff from Catchment S will also soak away into the soil or flow down the valley and eventually flow into Catchment A due to topography of the surrounding area. Therefore, Catchment A is taken into account as a surrounding catchment for the flow estimation. The areas of Catchment S and Catchment A are shown in **Appendix C**.

With reference to the *Stormwater Drainage Manual*, the runoff coefficients of paved surface and soft landscape are 0.95 and 0.25 respectively. The paving conditions and runoff coefficients of related catchments are summarized in **Table 2**.

| Catchment | Area (m²) | Surface Characteristics | Runoff Coefficient for paved area | Runoff Coefficient for unpaved area |
|---|--------------|---|---|--|
| Project Site with the Minor Filling and Excavation of Site (Catchment S) | 6,175 | 90% unpaved + 10% paved ^[1] | 0.95 | 0.25 |
| Catchment A | 14,331 | 77% unpaved + 23% paved ^{[1][2][3]} | 0.95 | 0.25 |

Table 2: Surface Characteristics and Runoff Coefficients of Surrounding Catchments

Remark:

[1] The percentage of paved area is assumed to be the amount of land covered by temporary structures, storage and platforms instead of paved with concrete.

[2] The excavation area is assumed as paved area in a conservative approach.

[3] The entire area of the free-standing bamboo shelter including the shaded area, plinths and barrels is assumed as paved area in a conservative approach.

2.6 ESTIMATED EXISTING AND FUTURE RUNOFF

Based on the assumptions described in **Section 2.4**, the runoff from the Project Site without and with the minor filling and land excavation was estimated based on a return period of 50 years.

The estimated peak runoff under a return period of 50 years generated from the Project Site Catchment S without and with the minor filling and land excavation are 0.126 m³/s and 0.144 m³/s respectively, as shown in **Table 3**. There is an increase of 13.9% in the estimated peak



runoff under the return periods of 50 years. Combining the peak runoff of the Project Site with the surrounding catchments, the estimated peak runoff generated are 0.533 m³/s and 0.550 m³/s respectively. There is a 3.3% increase in estimated peak runoff under the return periods of 50 years. **Table 4** shows the peak runoff of the Project Site and surrounding catchments. Detailed calculations are provided in **Appendix D**.

| | Estimated Peak Runoff | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Return Period | Without the Minor Filling and Excavation of Site | With the Minor Filling and Excavation of Site | % Change | | | | | | |
| 50 Years | 0.126 | 0.144 | + 13.9% | | | | | | |

Table 3: Estimated Peak Runoff of the Project Site

Table 4: Estimated Peak Runoff of the Project Site and Surrounding Catchments

| | Estimated Peak Runoff | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Return Period | Without the Minor Filling and Excavation of Site | With the Minor Filling and Excavation of Site | % Change | | | | | | |
| 50 Years | 0.533 | 0.550 | + 3.3% | | | | | | |

2.7 DRAINAGE LAYOUT AND RESULTS

Since there are no existing manholes and public drainage pipes around the Project Site, the surface runoff generated from stormwater within the Project Site will be soaked away or flow into surroundings due to topography of the surrounding area. Infiltration takes place and stormwater will be drained into the soil. Despite the fact that there will be a 13.9% increase in peak runoff with the minor filling and land excavation, the amount of increase is small and the soak away method and natural flow currently used in the Project Site and surroundings can cater such a small increase in peak runoff. Combining the peak runoff from the Project Site and natural flow used can cater such a small increase in peak runoff. In case when excess runoff from stormwater is encountered, the runoff will flow into the sea in the northeast side of the Project Site and will not lead to adverse drainage impact to the surrounding areas. Therefore, no adverse drainage impact from the Project Site with the minor filling and land excavation is anticipated.



3 CONCLUSION

BeeXergy Consulting Limited was commissioned by the KTA Planning Limited on behalf of Ringlet Global Limited (the Applicant) to prepare a drainage impact assessment (DIA) to support the S16 Planning Application for the Proposed Filling and Excavation of Land for the Permitted "Agricultural Use" in "Conservation Area" at Various Lots in D.D.238, Clear Water Bay, Sai Kung, New Territories (the Application).

According to the existing drainage records from the GeoInfo Map of the LandsD and DSD, there are no existing manholes, public drainage pipes around the Project Site, runoff from the Project Site is currently soaked away or flew to the surrounding areas to deal with both surface runoff generated from stormwater. Infiltration takes place and stormwater will be drained into the soil.

The estimated peak runoff generated from the Project Site (Catchment S) without and with the minor filling and land excavation are 0.126 m³/s and 0.144 m³/s respectively. Combining the peak runoff of the Project Site with the surrounding catchments, the estimated peak runoff generated are 0.533 m³/s and 0.550 m³/s respectively. The percentage increases are 13.9% and 3.3% respectively. Since the amount of increase is small, the soak away method and natural flow currently used in the Project Site and surroundings can cater such a small increase in peak runoff. In case when excess runoff from stormwater is encountered, the runoff will flow into the sea in the northeast side of the Project Site and will not lead to adverse drainage impact to the surrounding areas. Therefore, no adverse drainage impact from the Project Site with the minor filling and land excavation is anticipated.

In conclusion, no adverse drainage impact generated from the Project Site with the minor filling and land excavation is anticipated.



APPENDIX A MASTER LAYOUT PLAN OF THE PROJECT SITE





APPENDIX B EXISTING DRAINAGE SYSTEM



Go to map: https://www.map.gov.hk/gm/geo:22.3165,114.2804?z=4514





Powered by GeoInfo Map: https://www.map.gov.hk

Note: The use of this map is subject to the Terms and Conditions and the IP Rights Notice of GeoInfo Map.



APPENDIX C SURROUNDING CATCHMENTS PLAN





APPENDIX D RUNOFF CALCULATION

Calculation of Runoff for Return Period of 50 Years

| Catchment ID | Unpaved Catchment Area (km ²) | Payed Catchment Area | | Average clone (H) | Flow path longth (I) | | | Sto | rm Con | stants | Runoff intensity (i) with | Bunoff coofficient for | Runoff coefficient for payed | | |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|---|
| | | (km ²) | Catchment Area (A), km ² | m/100m | m | Inlet time (t _o), min | Duration (t _d), min | а | b | c | climate change factor(*), mm/hr | unpaved area (C _{up}) | area (C _p) | C×A | Peak runoff (Q _p) m ³ /s |
| Without the Minor Filling a | nd Excavation of Site | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Catchment S | 0.005891 | 0.000284 | 0.0062 | 11.49 | 121.9 | 4.52 | 4.52 | 451.3 | 2.46 | 0.337 | 260.50 | 0.25 | 0.95 | 0.00174 | 0.126 |
| Catchment A | 0.011044 | 0.003287 | 0.0143 | 21.64 | 184.8 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 451.3 | 2.46 | 0.337 | 248.67 | 0.25 | 0.95 | 0.00588 | 0.407 |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | 0.533 |
| With the Minor Filling and | Excavation of Site | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Catchment S | 0.005546 | 0.000629 | 0.0062 | 11.49 | 121.9 | 4.52 | 4.52 | 451.3 | 2.46 | 0.337 | 260.50 | 0.25 | 0.95 | 0.00198 | 0.144 |
| Catchment A | 0.011044 | 0.003287 | 0.0143 | 21.64 | 184.8 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 451.3 | 2.46 | 0.337 | 248.67 | 0.25 | 0.95 | 0.00588 | 0.407 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | 0.550 |
| Remark: | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |

*Rainfall Increase due to climate Change = 111.1% (1.111) Rainfall increase precentage due to climate change is referenced from Table 28 in DSD Corrigendum No. 1/2022 of the Stormwater Drainage Manual (SDM). 11.1% for Mid of 21st Century is adopted as worst case scenario.