DRAINAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

FOR

PROPOSED TEMPORARY WAREHOUSE (STORAGE OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, METAL AND ELECTRONIC PARTS) AND OPEN STORAGE OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS FOR A PERIOD OF 3 YEARS AT LOTS 130 (PART), 131, 132 (PART), 134(PART), 260(PART), 261(PART), 262, 263, 264 AND 268(PART) IN D.D.128 AND ADJOINING GOVERNMENT LAND, HA TSUEN, YUEN LONG, NEW TERRITORIES

Date : July 2024

Report no. SDP/HT/001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Design parameters & assumptions
- 3. Exiting Drainage Condition
- 4. Proposed Stormwater Drainage
- 5. Effect on Drainage Characteristics and potential Drainage Impacts from Proposed Works
- 6. Mitigation Measures On The Existing Streamcourse During Construction Stage and Operation Stage
- 7. The Monitoring of Mitigation Measures
- 8. Conclusions

APPENDIX

Appendix A	The Plan of the Proposed Works
Appendix B	Drainage Design of Proposed U-channel And Existing 1.5m Wide
	Stream
Appendix C	Photo of Existing Stream

REFERENCES

- 1. Stormwater Drainage Manual, Planning Design and Management by DSD
- 2. Geotechnical Manual for Slopes by GEO
- 3. Standard Drawings by DSD

1. Introduction

This report presents the drainage impact assessment (DIA) to the existing drainage system outside the site from the proposed temporary warehouse (storage of construction materials, metal and electronic parts) and open storage of construction materials at lots 130 (part), 131, 132 (part), 134 (part), 260 (part), 261 (part), 262, 263, 264 and 268 (part) in D.D.128 and adjoining Government Land, Ha Tsuen, Yuen Long, New Territories.

The objective of the DIA report is to outline the catchment areas in the vicinity of the site, identifies and quantifies the potential drainage impact due to the proposed works and recommend the necessary mitigation measures to alleviate the impacts. The plan showing the the proposed surface channel and existing drainage system in the vicinity of the site is appended in **Appendix A**.

2. Design Parameters & Assumptions

The design criteria to be used for the modeling assessment are based on the standards set out in the Stormwater Drainage Manual, Third Edition (SDM). According to Section 6.6.2 of the SDM, the existing rural drainage system in the vicinity of the development is classified as rural drainage branch system. Table 10 of the SDM recommends to be adopted a 50 years design return period storm event for the rural drainage branch system.

Stormwater Runoff (Q)

The rate of stormwater runoff used in this assessment report is estimated by the "Rational method" in which the peak runoff is calculated from the formula:

$$Q = K x i x A/3600$$

where

ere	Q	=	maximum runoff (L/s)
	i	=	design mean intensity of rainfall (mm/hr)
	А	=	area of catchment (m ²)
	Κ	=	runoff coefficient

Time of Concentration (tc)

The time of concentration is defined as the time required for stormwater runoff to flow from the most remote part of the catchment area to the point in the drainage system under consideration. Based on the assumptions adopted in the Rational Method, this is the time taken for the peak runoff to become established at the considered section.

The time of concentration comprises the time for water flowing within natural catchments and along the man-made drainage pipes/channels. For natural catchments, the time of concentration is estimated by the modified form of the Brandsby William's equation.

$$t_{o} = \underline{0.14465L} \\ H^{0.2} A^{0.1}$$

Where $t_0 = time$ of concentration of a natural catchment (min.)

A = catchment area (m^2)

- H = average slope (m per 100m), measured along the line of natural flow, from the summit of the catchment to the point under consideration
- L = distance (on plan) measured on the line of natural flow between the summit and the point under consideration (m)

Mean Rainfall Intensity (i)

Mean rainfall intensity-duration curves attached in this report are based on the Statistical analysis of long term rainfall records from the Hong Kong Observatory. A return period of 50 years is adopted.

Runoff Coefficient (K)

The value of K is taken as 1 for developed paved area. For vegetated ground, the value of K is taken as 0.3.

Calculation of flow capacity for Existing Stream

Manning's Formula can be used to determine the capacity of the existing stream

$$Q = K A R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \div n$$

- Q =flow rate
- A = cross sectional area of stream
- R = hydraulic radius
- S = slope of the stream
- K = constant which is 1.49 for S.I. unit
- n = surface roughness

3. Existing Drainage Condition

A plan showing the existing catchments is enclosed in **Appendix A**. Currently, the surface runoff collected from the site is discharging to the existing 1.5m wide stream located at the west of the site. As per the existing site condition, an additional peripheral U-channels area is considered necessary for the proposed development. A drainage proposal is required to be carried out for the proposed development.

4. Proposed Stormwater Drainage

The proposed stormwater drainage works include surface U-channels at the peripheral of the site collecting the runoff from catchments within the site. The U-channels will connect and discharge the surface runoff to the existing stream located at the west of the site. Catchpits with 300mm sump are proposed at the discharged points of the proposed U-Channel to desilt the surface water before discharging to the drainage outside. The proposed stormwater drainage layout plan is shown in **Appendix A**.

5. Effect on Drainage Characteristics and Potential Drainage Impact from Proposed Works

Since the proposed works only consist of the proposed temporary warehouse (storage of construction materials, metal and electronic parts) and open storage of construction materials, it is found that the proposed works would not obstruct the flow of the rain water run-off collected from the catchment areas to existing stream neither at its up-stream nor immediately down-stream. Besides, the catchment areas have no significant changes before and after the proposed works. It is considered the proposed works will not induce any adverse effect to the existing rain-water discharge system.

The capacity of the existing stream was checked and presented in **Appendix B**. Based on the assessment, it is found that the existing stream has enough capacity to collect the run-off from its up-stream catchment area.

6. Mitigation Measures On The Existing Streamcourse During Construction Stage and Operation Stage

A desilted catchpit would be proposed at the runoff's terminated discharge point prior to the discharging the runoff to the existing stream. The desilted catchpit would be constructed during the construction stage to prevent the sand / construction debris discharged into the existing stream during the construction works. Erosion protection measures such as discharge apron would be provided to the existing streamcourse at the outlet of proposed outfall.

7. The Monitoring of Mitigation Measures

The proposed desilted catchpit would be regularly desilted by the applicant to prevent sand, silt, cementitious material or other objects from being washed down into the existing streamcourse.

8. Conclusion

Based on the above discussion, it is considered that no potential drainage impact would be raised to the existing drainage system in the vicinity of the proposed works because the stream has enough capacity to collect the runoff from its up-stream catchment areas where the proposed works located. The proposed works are considered acceptable from the stormwater drainage point of view and will have no adverse effect on the drainage system outside

Appendix A

The Plan of the Proposed Works



GENERAL NOTE

1. THE PROPOSED DRAINAGE WORK, WHETHER WITHIN OR OUTSIDE THE LOT BOUNDARY, SHOULD BE CONSTRUCTED AND MAINTAINED BY THE LOT OWNER AT HIS OWN EXPENSE. FOR WORKS TO BE UNDERTAKEN OUTSIDE THE LOT BOUNDARY, PRIOR CONSENT AND AGREEMENT FROM DLO AND/OR RELEVANT PRIVATE LOT OWNER SHOULD BE SOUGHT.

CONCRETE STRENGTH AND STEEL REINFORCEMENT SPECIFICATION FOR DRAINAGE DETAILS

- 1. CONCRETE GRADE FOR CATCHPITS AND U-CHANNEL SHALL BE 30D DESIGN IN COMPLIANCE WITH CS1 : 2010 FOR BLINDING LAYER SHALL BE 15D, DESIGN COMPLY WITH CS1-2010.
- 2. ALL MAIN BARS TO BE HOT ROLLED HIGH YIELD STEEL DEFORMED BAR COMPLM WITH CS2 : 2012 Y - HIGH YIELD BAR 500 MPa M - MILD STEEL BAR 250 MPa
- 3. CONCRETE COVER TO MAIN REINFORCEMENT TO BE 50mm.
- 4. LAP LENGTH FOR ALL BARS TO BE 46x DIAMETER OF LARGER BAR TO BE LAPPED.
- 5. REACTIVE ALKALI CONTENT EXPRESSED IN SODIUM OXIDE PER CUBIC METER OF CONCRETE SHOULD NOT EXCEED 3KG AS PER PNAP APP-74.

HALF ROUND, U, AND STEPPED - CHANNELS

- 1 ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS
- 2 CONCRETE SURFACE FINISHING SHALL BE CLASS U2 OR F2 AS APPROPRIATE
- 3 FOR HALF ROUND AND U CHANNEL, SPACING OF EXPANSION JOINT IN CHANNELS, BERMS AND APRON TO BE 10m MAXIMUN. FOR STEPPED CHANNELS, EXPANSION JOINTS TO BE PROVIDED AT A MAXIMUN SPACING OF 10m.
- 4 DIMENSIONS FOR HALF ROUND AND U-CHANNELS SEE TABLE 1.
- 5 THE COVER FOR U-CHANNELS AND CATCHPIT SHALL COMPLY WITH CEDD'S STANDARD DRAWINGS NO. C2405 TO C2407 AND C2412.
- 6 ALL PROPOSED U-CHANNELS SHALL BE COVERED WITH GRATING

TABLE 1 : DIMENSION OF U-CHANNEL AND HALF-ROUND CHANNEL

NORMAL SIZE H	т	в	REINFORCING
<300	100	100	NIL
375 - 675	150	150	NIL
750 - 900	175	175	A252 MESH PLACED CENTRALLY

PROPOSED CATCHPIT SCHEDULE

CATCHPIT NO	C.L. (mPD)	I.L. (mPD)]			
CP1	14.29	13.59				
CP2	13.96	13.26	1			
CP3	9.73	9.03	1			
CP4	9.73	8.95	1			
CP5	8.90	8.20	-			
CP6	12.94	12.24	1	-		
CP7	12.94	12.17	1			
CP8	12.94	12.12	1			
CP9	12.37	11.35	1			
CP10	CP10 12.30 10.98		1			
CP11(s)	8.84	7.59	1			
			Ī			
	PLANNING SUB	PLANNING SUBMISSION			RY	J
REV	DESCRIPTIO	CHECKED	APPROVED	DWN	Γ	

SDP001

B.D. REF. NO.

MESH ISH	STORMWATER DRAINAGE PROPOSAL AT LOTS 130(PA 131, 132(PART), 134(PART), 260(PART), 261(PART) 262, 263, 264 AND 268(PART) IN D.D.128 HA TSUEN, YUEN LONG, NEW TERRITORIES					
100	drawing title: DRAINAGE PROPOSAL PLAN AND TYPICAL DETAILS					
	SCALE :	N.T.S.		CAD FILE:	CAD_REF	
	DRAWN	RY		DRAWING N	0.	

AY

DESIGNED

CHECKED

Appendix B

Drainage Design of Proposed U-channel

and Existing 1.5m Wide Stream



Page no.

Project No.: Prepared by:	Draina 134, 26 Tsuen,	ge Design at lot 13 50 to 264, 268 in D Yuen Long Ray Cheng	0, 131, 132, D128 Ha	Date:	13-Jul-24			
Check for the	drainag	e capactiy of propo	sed 600UC					
Catchment are	ea,	A1 A2 Total Area, A	= = =	8040 2436 9240 + 0.3x2436 8770.8	m^2 m^2 m^2	Assume k = Assume k =	= 1.0 for p = 0.3 for u	baved surface
Use Rational	Method	from Geo-Manual						
	(Q = kiA/3600		where,	Q = k = i = A =	Maximum 1 Runoff coe Design mea Total catch	runoff (lit/s fficient an intensity ment area	sec) / of rainfall (mm/hr) (m ²)
Longest distar Shortest dista	nce fron nce fron	n summit point to o n summit point to o	utlet, CP10 utlet, CP10		(Ld) = (Ls) =	310.00 175.00	m m	
Elevation of r Elevation of c	emote p outlet po	oint (Pt A) int, CP10	=	35.20 10.98	mPD mPD			
Average fall,	Н		=	(z ₁ -z ₂)/L _s x 100 13.84	m per 100m	ı		
From TGN30								
T _c	=	0.14465 x L _d / (H ⁰ 10.51	^{.2} x A ^{0.1})		min			
Assume a 1 ir From Geo-Ma	n 50 anual (F	year design rainfall ig 8.2)	return period	for rural area				
i Q	=	265 kiA/60 44084	mm/hr x 1.138 lit/min					
From TGN 43 For proposed	3A1 600	UC with 1 in	100	gradient				
Maximum cap The correspor	pacity nding ve	locity	=	47700 2.70	lit/min m/s	> <	44084 4	o.k. o.k.



Project No.: Prepared by:	Drainage 134, 260 Tsuen, Y	e Design at lot 13 to 264, 268 in DI Yuen Long Ray Cheng	0, 131, 132, D128 Ha	Date:	13-Jul-24			
Check for the	drainage	capactiy of propo	<u>sed 600UC</u>					
Catchment are	ea,	A3	=	7463	m ²	Assume k =	1.0 for pave	ed surface
Use Rational	Method fi	rom Geo-Manual						
	Q	= kiA/3600		where,	Q = k = i = A =	Maximum ru Runoff coeffi Design mean Total catchm	noff (lit/sec) icient intensity of ra ent area (m ²)	ainfall (mm/hr)
Longest distar Shortest distar	nce from a	summit point to or summit point to o	utlet, CP11(s) utlet, CP11(s)		(Ld) = (Ls) =	360.00 206.00	m m	
Elevation of r Elevation of c	emote poi	int (Pt A) it, CP11(s)	=	26.50 7.59	mPD mPD			
Average fall,	Η		=	(z ₁ -z ₂)/L _s x 100 9.18	m per 100m			
From TGN30								
T _c Assume a 1 in	= 0 =	$14465 \ge L_d / (H^{0.1})$ 13.70 year design rainfall	² x A ^{0.1}) return period	for rural area	min			
From Geo-Ma	anual (Fig	8.2)						
i Q	=	240 kiA/60 33972	mm/hr x 1.138 lit/min					
From TGN 43 For proposed	600 600	UC with 1 in	100	gradient				
Maximum cap The correspor	bacity ding velo	ocity	=	47700 2.70	lit/min m/s	> <	33972 4	o.k. o.k.



Project No.: Prepared by:	Drainage 134, 260 Tsuen, Yu	Design at lot 13 to 264, 268 in Dl uen Long Ray Cheng	0, 131, 132, D128 Ha	Date:	13-Jul-24				
Check for the	drainage c	apactiy of propo	sed 525UC						
Catchment are	ea,	A4	=	4033	m ²	Assume k	= 1.0 for p	aved surface	
Use Rational	Method fro	om Geo-Manual							
	Q =	= kiA/3600		where,	Q = k = i = A =	Maximum Runoff co Design mo Total catc	i runoff (li efficient ean intensi hment area	t/sec) ty of rainfall (mr a (m ²)	n/hr)
Longest distar Shortest distar	nce from s nce from s	ummit point to o ummit point to o	utlet, CP11(s) utlet, CP11(s)		(Ld) = (Ls) =	137.00 120.00	m m		
Elevation of r Elevation of c	emote poin outlet point	nt (Pt C) , CP11(s)	=	13.72 7.59	mPD mPD				
Average fall,	Н		=	(z ₁ -z ₂)/L _s x 100 5.11	m per 100m				
From TGN30									
T _c	= 0. =	14465 x L _d / (H ⁰ 6.23	² x A ^{0.1})		min				
Assume a 1 in From Geo-Ma	n 50 y anual (Fig	ear design rainfall 8.2)	return period f	or rural area					
i Q	=	310 kiA/60 23713	mm/hr x 1.138 lit/min						
From TGN 43 For proposed	3A1 525	UC with 1 in	100	gradient					
Maximum cap The correspor	pacity nding veloo	city	=	32400 2.50	lit/min m/s	> <	23713 4	o.k. o.k.	



Project No.: Prepared by:	Drainage De 134, 260 to 2 Tsuen, Yuer	esign at lot 130, 1 264, 268 in DD12 1 Long Ray Cheng activ of proposed	31, 132, 8 Ha	Date:	13-Jul-24		
<u>Check for the</u>	uramage cap	active of proposed	15000C a	nd 1.5m wide strea	<u>.11</u> 1		
Catchment are	ea,	A5	=	21589	m^2	Assume k =	1.0 for paved surface
		A2	=	2436	m^2	Assume k =	0.3 for unpaved surface
	Т	otal Area, A	=	21589 + 0.3x2436	6		1
			=	22319.8	m ²		
Use Rational	Method from	Geo-Manual					
	Q = k	iA/3600		where,	Q = k = i = A =	Maximum ru Runoff coeff Design mear Total catchm	moff (lit/sec) ficient n intensity of rainfall (mm/hr) nent area (m ²)
Longest distar Shortest distar	nce from sum	mit point to outle mit point to outle	t, CP11(s) t, CP11(s)		(Ld) = (Ls) =	405.00 238.00	m m
Elevation of r	emote point (Pt ۸)	_	35.20	mPD		
Elevation of o	outlet point, C	P11(s)	=	7.59	mPD		
Average fall	н		_	(7,7)/I = x = 100			
Average fail,			=	(21-22)/Ls x 100 11.60	m per 100m		
From TGN30							
T _c	= 0.14	465 x $L_d / (H^{0.2} x)$	A ^{0.1})				

min

13.09 =

Assume a 1 in 50 year design rainfall return period for rural area From Geo-Manual (Fig 8.2)

i	=	250	mm/hr
Q	=	kiA/60	x 1.138
		105833	lit/min

Page no.



Geotechnical Engineering Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

Climate Change for Slope Drainage Design Updated Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves with Provision for GEO Technical Guidance Note No. 30 (TGN 30)



 $[10.9.7.42] [\STD_NAS03A\stare_03\Stopes2_NAS02\stark] TGN\TGN 30_2.docx] [16.10.2018] [MLH] = 10.9.7.42 [\Stark] Stopes2_NAS02\stark] Stopes2_NAS02\stark$ ANNEX TGN 30 A1 (1/2)





GEO Technical Guidance Note No. 43 (TGN 43) Guidelines on Hydraulic Design of U-shaped and Half-round Channels on Slopes

ANNEX TGN 43 A1

Appendix C

Photo of Existing Stream



Photo of Existing Stream