Total: 12 pages

Date: 11 September 2024

TPB Ref.: A/YL-KTN/1042

Town Planning Board 15/F, North Point Government Offices 333, Java Road North Point Hong Kong (Attn: The Secretary)

Dear Sir,

# Proposed Temporary Animal Boarding Establishment (Dog Kennel) for a Period of 3 Years and Filling of Land at Lots 1347 S.W & 1347 S.AD in D.D.107, Fung Kat Heung, Kam Tin, Yuen Long, N.T.

This letter intends to supersede our letter dated 9.9.2024. Our response to the comments of the CE/MN, DSD is as follows:

CE/MN, DSD's comments	Applicant's response
(a) Section 1.2: Please provide relevant IDF curves and chart of the rapid	Noted. Please see attached.
design of channels with your designed	
values indicated on curves/ chart for	
(b) For Section B-B shown in the drainage plan, please provide a cross section showing the existing watercourse as well as the boundary of the proposed development	Noted. Please see updated drainage plan.
(c) Please provide a cross-section	Noted. Please see updated drainage
demonstrating how the proposed development would not affect the existing watercourse/natural stream	plan. Site hoarding will be provided to separate the site from the watercourse.
(d) All proposed drainage facilities and walls/ hoarding should be shown in cross sections.	Noted. Please see updated drainage plan.
(e) The existing drainage facilities, to which the stormwater of the development from the subject site would discharge, are not maintained by this office. The applicant should identify the owner of the existing drainage facilities to which the proposed connection will be made. The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed drainage construction / improvement / modification works and the operation of the drainage can be practicably implemented.	Noted. The existing drainage facilities, to which the stormwater of the development from the subject site would discharge, are not maintained by CE/MN, DSD. The applicant would identify the owner of the existing drainage facilities to which the proposed connection will be made. The applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed drainage construction / improvement / modification works and the operation of the drainage can be practicably implemented.

By Email

<ul> <li>(f) The applicant should check and ensure the hydraulic capacity of the existing drainage facilities would not be adversely affected by the captioned development. Please provide site photos to show existing condition of the existing drainage facilities which receives the discharge from the application site.</li> <li>(g) Please clarify whether any walls or hoarding would be erected along the site boundary. Where walls or hoarding are erected/ laid along the site boundary, adequate opening should be provided to intercept the existing overland flow passing through the site.</li> <li>(h) Standard details should be provided to indicate the sectional details of the proposed u-channel and the catchpit.</li> <li>(i) Sand trap or provision alike should be provided before the collected runoff is discharged to the public drainage facilities.</li> <li>(j) The development should neither obstruct overland flow nor adversely affect existing natural streams, village drains, ditches and the adjacent areas, etc.</li> <li>(k) The applicant(s) shall resolve any conflict/disagreement with relevant lot owner(s) and seek LandsD's permission for laying new drains/channels and/or modifying/upgrading existing ones in other private lots or on Government land (where required) outside the application site(s).</li> </ul>	Noted. The existing drainage facilities is the only drainage facilities adjacent to the application site for dissipation. The discharge from the application site is minimal because the land filling at the application site is minimal. The additional discharge would not affect the existing drainage facilities. Site hoarding would be provided along the site periphery as shown on the updated drainage plan. 100mm opening would be provided at the toe of the site hoarding to allow uninterrupted flow of stormwater. Noted. Please see attached drawings. Sand trap is proposed at the terminal catchpit as shown in the updated drainage plan. The development would neither obstruct overland flow nor adversely affect existing natural streams, village drains, ditches and the adjacent areas, etc. The applicant(s) shall resolve any conflict/disagreement with relevant lot owner(s) and seek LandsD's permission for laying new drains/channels and/or modifying/upgrading existing ones in other private lots or on Government land (where required) outside the application site(s).
---	--

Our response to the comments of the DAFC is as follows:

ſ

DAFC's comments	Applicant's response
There is a watercourse located to the south of the subject site. The applicant shall clarify whether any measure will be implemented to avoid disturbance to the watercourse nearby during land filling	The applicant will provide site hoarding surrounding the application site in order not to disturb the watercourse nearby during land filling and operation.
and operation.	

Our response to the DEP is as follows:

DEP's comments	Applicant's response	
The applicant shall clarify the sewerage	Septic tank and soakaway system will be	
arrangement of the proposed use. If	used. The requirements set out in	
septic tank and soakaway system would	"Professional Persons Environmental	
be used, whether the requirements set out	Consultative Committee Practice Notes	
in "Professional Persons Environmental	1/23 - Drainage Plans subject to	
Consultative Committee Practice Notes	Comment by the Environmental	
1/23 - Drainage Plans subject to	Protection Department -Building	
Comment by the Environmental	(Standards of Sanitary Fitments,	
Protection Department -Building	Plumbing, Drainage Works and Latrines)	
(Standards of Sanitary Fitments,	Regulations" will be followed.	
Plumbing, Drainage Works and Latrines)		
Regulations" will be followed.		

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact the undersigned at



c.c. Fanling, Sheung Shui and Yuen Long East District Planning Office (Attn: Ms. Olivia LAM) – By Email

Proposed Temporary Animal Boarding Establishment (Dog Kennel) for a Period of 3 Years and Filling of Land at

Lots 1347 S.W & 1347 S.AD in D.D.107, Fung Kat Heung, Kam Tin, Yuen Long, N.T.

## **Annex 1 Drainage Proposal**

## 1.1 Existing Situation

A. Site particulars

- 1.1.1 The application site occupied an area of about  $280m^2$ .
- 1.1.2 The area adjacent to the proposed development is mainly rural in nature. It is surrounded by some temporary structures to the west and an approved animal boarding establishment to the north. An open drain is found to the south of the application site.
- B. Level and gradient of the subject site & proposed surface channel
- 1.1.3 It has a very gentle gradient sloping from northwest to southeast from about +18.8mPD to +18.4mPD.
- C. Catchment area of the proposed drainage provision at the subject site
- 1.1.4 According to **Figure 5**, it is noted that the level of the application site is comparatively higher than the adjoining land except to the north. As such, an external catchment has been identified as shown in **Figure 5**. However, an approved animal boarding establishment with planning permission No. A/YL-KTN/755 is found to the further north of the application site as shown in **Figure 5** of which drainage facilities will be provided at the said has been provided so that the external catchment stops there.
- D. Particulars of the existing drainage facilities to accept the surface runoff collected at the application site
- 1.1.5 As shown in **Figure 5**, an open drain is found to the south of the application site.

# 1.2 <u>Runoff Estimation</u>

1.2.1 Rational method is adopted for estimating the designed run-off

$$Q = k \times i \times A/3,600$$

Assuming that:

- i. The area of the entire catchment (including external catchment) is approximately 820m<sup>2</sup>;
- ii. Although the majority of the catchment is vegetated in nature, it is assumed that the value of run-off co-efficient (k) is taken as 1 for conservative reason.

Difference in Land Datum	=	19.6m – 18.4m	=	1.2m
L	=	42m		
. Average fall	=	1.2m in 42m	or	1m in 35m

According to the Brandsby-Williams Equation adopted from the "Stormwater Drainage Manual – Planning, Design and Management" published by the Drainage Services Department (DSD),

Time of Concentration (t <sub>c</sub> )	$= 0.14465 \ [ L/(H^{0.2} \times A^{0.1}) ]$
t <sub>c</sub>	$= 0.14465 \ [ \ 42/ \ (2.86^{0.2} \times 820^{0.1}) \ ]$
t <sub>c</sub>	= 2.52 minutes

With reference to the Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves provided in the abovementioned manual, the mean rainfall intensity (i) for 1 in 50 recurrent flooding period is found to be 325 mm/hr

By Rational Method,	<b>Q</b> 1	= 1 × 325 × 820 / 3,600
	$\therefore \mathbf{Q}_1$	= 74.02  l/s $= 4,441.67 $ l/min $= 0.074 $ m <sup>3</sup> /s

In accordance with the Chart or the Rapid Design of Channels in "Geotechnical Manual for Slopes", for an approximate gradient of about 1:80 in order to follow the gradient of the application site, <u>300mm surface U-channel is considered adequate to dissipate all the stormwater accrued by the application site.</u>

## 1.3 **Proposed Drainage Facilities**

- 1.3.1 Subject to the calculations in 1.2 above, it is determined that proposed 300mm surface U-channel along the site periphery is adequate to intercept storm water passing through and generated at the application site (**Figure 5**).
- 1.3.2 Catchpit will be provided at the turning point of the surface U-channel. Sand trap or alike will be provided at the terminal catchpit.
- 1.3.3 The collected stormwater will then be dissipate to the open drain to the immediate south of the application site.
- 1.3.4 All the proposed drainage facilities will be provided and maintained at the

2

applicant's own expense.

- 1.3.5 The provision of the proposed surface channel will follow the gradient of the application site.
- 1.3.6 Prior to the commencement of drainage works, the applicant will seek the consent of the District Lands Office/Yuen Long and relevant registered land owner for works outside the application site or outside the jurisdiction of the applicant.
- 1.3.7 All proposed works at the site periphery would not obstruct the flow of surface runoff from the adjacent areas, the provision of trees and surface channel at site boundary is detailed hereunder:
- (a) Soil excavation at site periphery, although at minimal scale, is inevitably for the provision of surface channel and landscaping. In the reason that the accumulation of excavated soil at the site periphery would obstruct the free flow of the surface runoff from the surroundings, the soil will be cleared at the soonest possible after the completion of the excavation process.
- (b) In view of that soil excavation may be continued for several working days, surface channel will be dug in short sections and all soil excavated will be cleared before the excavation of another short section.
- (c) 100mm will be reserved at the toe of the site hoarding to allow unobstructed flow of surface runoff.

3



Figure 4. Intensity – Duration – Frequency Curves (for durations not exceeding 4 hours)



Figure 8.7 - Chart for the Rapid Design of Channels

253



NOMINAL SIZE THICKNES H 225 - 600 150 675 - 1200 175 DETAILS CREFERENC GEOTECHNICAL	Drawing Title WEI:	IS DIMENSION RIES TO SUIT LUT T
Proposed Temporary Animal Boarding Establishment (Dog Kennel) for a Period of 3 Years and Filling of Land at Lots 1347 S.W & 1347 S.AD in D.D.107, Fung Kat Heung, Kam Tin, Yuen Long, N.T.	Details of Proposed Surface U-channel <sup>Drawing No.</sup> 細始: Figure 6	Scale ⊞侧: Not to scale



