渠務署及城市規劃委員會:

A/YL-KTN/983 的渠務報告詳細

申請地點範圍有約 3,478.2 平方米,位於錦田北的鄉郊範圍。目前為露天空間。

申請地點附近有大量的臨時建築物及小徑。現有水平為約 +9.1 mPD。

有一條約 600 mm 闊的渠道在申請地點的西北面,亦有一條自然溪流位於申請地點的西北面,並計劃將場內水流引導到該溪流。

由於申請地點東及西北面有渠道,南面較低,因此只有北面流水流入申 請地點,申請範圍外集水區有約 3,931.6 平方米,共約 7,409.8 平方米。

申請地點的擬議佈局平面圖請參考 Appendix 2。

申請地點範圍有約 3,478.2 平方米,將以混凝土作表面。

擬議發展	
申請地點範圍 (約 m²),以混凝土平	3,478.2
整	
申請地點範圍以外集水區	
申請地點範圍以外集水區 (約 m²),	3,931.6
以全部為混凝土作表面作評估	

根據 STORMWATER DRAINAGE MANUAL (SDM) - Table 10 - Recommended Design Return Periods based on Flood Levels

Intensively Used Agricultural Land	2-5 years
Village Drainage including Internal Drainage	10 years
System under a Polder Scheme	
Main Rural Catchment Drainage Channels	50 years
Urban Drainage Trunk Systems	200 years
Urban Drainage Branch Systems	50 years

本報告將使用 Main Rural Catchment Drainage Channels, 1 in 50 years return period 作評估。

 Intensity-Duration-Frequency Relationship - The Recommended Intensity-Duration-Frequency relationship is used to estimate the intensity of rainfall. It can be expressed by the following algebraic equation.

$$i = \frac{a}{(t_d + b)^c}$$

The site is located within the HKO Headquarters Rainfall Zone. Therefore, for 50 years return period, the following values are adopted.

$$a = 451.3$$

$$b = 2.46$$

$$c = 0.337$$

2. The peak runoff is calculated by the Rational Method.

$$Q_p = 0.278 \ C \ i \ A$$

where
$$V = peak runoff in m^3/s$$

C = runoff coefficient (dimensionless)

i = rainfall intensity in mm/hr

A = catchment area in km²

3. According to Section 7.5.2(b) of the Stormwater Drainage Manual (SDM), Fifth Edition January 2018

Surface Characteristics	Runoff coefficient, C
Asphalt	0.70-0.95
Concrete	0.80-0.95
Brick	0.70-0.85
Grassland (heavy soil)	
Flat	0.13-0.25
Steep	0.25-0.35
Grassland (sandy soil)	
Flat	0.05-0.15
Steep	0.15-0.20

The run-off coefficient (C) of surface runoff area taken as follows:

- Concrete Area C = 0.95
- Grassland (Heavy soil) with steep surface C = 0.35
- 4. Manning's Equation is used for calculation of velocity of flow inside the channels. It can be expressed by the following algebraic equation.

$$V = \frac{R^{1/6}}{n} \sqrt{RS_f}$$

where V = Velocity of the pipe flow (m/s)

S_f = Hydraulic gradient

n = manning's coefficient

R = Hydraulic radius (m)

5. Colebrook-White Equation is used for calculation of velocity of flow inside the pipes. It can be expressed by the following algebraic equation.

$$\bar{V} = -\sqrt{32gRS} \log \log \left(\frac{k_s}{14.8R} + \frac{1.255v}{R\sqrt{32gRS_f}} \right)$$

where V = Velocity of the pipe flow (m/s)

S_f = Hydraulic gradient

k_f = roughness value (m)

v = kinematics viscosity of fluid

D = pipe diameter (m)


R = Hydraulic radius (m)

申請範圍主要平坦,並緩緩斜向西面,渠道設計請參考 Appendix 5。

渠道容量計算請參考 Appendix - Calculation。


根據本報告,本臨時發展不會對附近的渠道有重大影響。


申請地點周邊相片:





















Legend:

Application Site 申請範圍

Appendix 1

Location: DD 107 Lot 1406

DD 107 Lot 1407

DD 107 Lot 1429

DD 107 Lot 1431

OZP: S/YL-KTN/11 District: Kam Tin North Zoning: Agriculture

Date: 17 January 2024

Location 位置圖

擬議臨時貨倉(危險品倉庫除外) 連附屬設施(為期3年)及填土工程

Proposed Temporary Warehouse (excluding Dangerous Goods Godown) with Ancillary Facilities

for a Period of 3 Years and Filling of Land

SCALE

1:1000


@A4

For Identification Only

Drawing No.:

	Propo	sed Structures D	etails	
	Structures	Gross Floor Area (GFA)	Height (Not Exceeding)	Storey
1	Warehouse (Excluding D.G.G.) with Ancillary Office	About 191 m ²	8m	1 only
2	Warehouse (Excluding D.G.G.) with Ancillary Office	About 204 m ²	8m	1 only
3	Warehouse (Excluding D.G.G.) with Ancillary Office	About 220 m ²	8m	1 only
4	Warehouse (Excluding D.G.G.) with Ancillary Office	About 216 m ²	8m	1 only
	Total	About 831 m ²	8m	1 only
	Private Car Parking Space	Unit(s): 4		
	LGV L/UL Space	Unit(s): 4		





Legend:

Proposed Structures

1 Warehouse with Ancillary Office

Private Car Parking Space

□ LGV L/UL Space

Total Area: 3,478.2 m² (About)

Covered Area: 831 m² (About)

Uncovered Area: 2,647.2 m² (About) Non-Domestic GFA: 831 m² (About)

Nos. of Proposed Structures: 4

Appendix 2

Location: DD 107 Lot 1406

DD 107 Lot 1407 DD 107 Lot 1429

DD 107 Lot 1431

OZP: S/YL-KTN/11 District: Kam Tin North Zoning: Agriculture

Date: 17 January 2024

Proposed Layout Plan

擬議佈局平面圖

擬議臨時貨倉(危險品倉庫除外)連附屬設施(為期3年)及填土工程

Proposed Temporary Warehouse (excluding Dangerous Goods Godown) with Ancillary Facilities

for a Period of 3 Years and Filling of Land


SCALE

1:750

@A4

For Identification Only

Drawing No.:



Paved Area: About 3,478.2 m²

Legend:

Paved Area 平整範圍

۸	n	n	_	n	٦	i.	4
А	D	D	е	n	u	IΧ	4

Location: DD 107 Lot 1406

DD 107 Lot 1407

DD 107 Lot 1429

DD 107 Lot 1431

OZP: S/YL-KTN/11 District: Kam Tin North Zoning: Agriculture

Date: 17 January 2024

Paved Area

平整位置圖

擬議臨時貨倉(危險品倉庫除外)連附屬設施(為期3年)及填土工程

Proposed Temporary Warehouse (excluding Dangerous Goods Godown) with Ancillary Facilities

for a Period of 3 Years and Filling of Land


SCALE


1:750


@A4

For Identification Only

Drawing No.:







Appendix – Calculation

Capacity Flows Estimation for Propose Catchments and Drainage System with 50 Year Return Period

A1. Calculation of On-Site Runoff (After Development)

Surface Type	Catchment Area (A), m ²	Catchment Area (A), km²	Average slope (H), m/100m	Flow path length (L), m	Inlet time (t _o), min	Time of Concentration (t _c), min	Duration (t _d), min	a (50 year return period)	b (50 year return period)	c (50 year return period)	Runoff intensity (i) mm/hr	Runoff coefficient (C)	C×A	Peak runoff (Q _p),
100% Concrete	7,746	0.007746	0.654	153	9.84	9.84	9.84	451.3	2.46	0.337	194	0.95	0.0073587	0.397
													Total	0.397

A2. Calculation of the Capacity of Proposed Drainage (After Development)

Channel Type	Width, m	Depth, m	Slope	Length m	Manning's Roughness	Cross Section	Wetted	Hydraulic	Mean	Capacity	Catchment	Runoff, m3/s	% of capacity	Sufficient
Chainlei Type	width, iii	Deptii, iii	Siope	Length, m	Coefficient	Area, m2	Perimeter, m	radius, m	Velocity, m/s	flow, m3/s	Served, km²	Kulloli, ili3/3	flow	Capacity (Y/N)
Concrete UChannel	0.45	0.45	0.005	301.5	0.015	0.26	1.157	0.225	1.9	0.494	0.007746	0.397	80%	Υ

^{*}Allowed 10% for siltation

Note:

Runoff is calculated in accordance with DSD's "Stormwater Drainage Manual – Planning, Design and Management" (SDM), fifth edition, January 2018.

Equation used: $t_0 = \frac{0.14465L}{H^{0.2}A^{0.1}}$ $t_c = t_0 + t_f$ $i = \frac{a}{(t_d + b)^c}$ $Q_p = 0.278 \ C \ i \ A$ $V = \frac{R^{1/6}}{n} \sqrt{RS_f}$

B1. Calculation of the runoff of Existing Drainage System (A)

Surface Type	Catchment Area (A), m²	Catchment Area (A), km²	Average slope (H), m/100m	Flow path length (L), m	Inlet time (t₀), min	Time of Concentration (t _c), min	Duration (t _d), min	a (50 year return period)	b (50 year return period)	c (50 year return period)	Runoff intensity (i) mm/hr	Runoff coefficient (C)	CxA	Peak runoff (Q _p),
11% Concrete + 89% Grassland (Heavy soil) with steep surface	528,402	0.524802	25.4	1,420	28.8	28.8	28.8	451.3	2.46	0.337	141.5	0.42	0.22042	8.67

Total 8.67

B2. Calculation of the runoff of Existing Drainage System (B)


Surface Type	Catchment Area (A),	Catchment Area (A), km²	Average slope (H), m/100m	Flow path length (L), m	Inlet time (t _o), min	Time of Concentration (t _c), min	Duration (t _d), min	a (50 year return period)	b (50 year return period)	c (50 year return period)	(i) mm/hr	Runoff coefficient (C)	C×A	Peak runoff (Q _p),
10% Concrete + 90% Grassland (Heavy soil) with steep surface	1,186,406	1.186406	21	2,180	42.36	42.36	42.36	451.3	2.46	0.337	125.3	0.41	0.48643	16.94


A+B Total 25.61


B3. Adequacy Check for Existing Drainage System (About 7m (W) x 5.5m (D) Channel)


Channel Type	Width, m	Depth, m	Slope	Length m	Manning's Roughness	Cross Section	Wetted	Hydraulic	Mean	Capacity	Catchment	Runoff, m3/s	% of capacity	Sufficient
Chainlei Type	wiatii, iii	Deptil, ili	Зюре	Length, m	Coefficient	Area, m2	Perimeter, m	radius, m	Velocity, m/s	flow, m3/s	Served, km²	Kulloli, Ili3/3	flow	Capacity (Y/N)
Natural-Stream (7)	6	5	0.21	2,180	0.05	30	16	1.875	13.94	418.1	1.67	25.61	6%	Υ

^{*}Allowed 10% for siltation, assume width of channel is 6m and depth of channel is 5m for assessment purpose.









CATCHPIT WITH TRAP (SHEET 1 OF 2)

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

SCALE 1:20

DRAWING NO.


DATE JAN 1991

DRAWING NO. C2406 /1

CIVIL ENGINEERING AND

卓越工程 建設香港

We Engineer Hong Kong's Development



ALTERNATIVE TOP SECTION FOR PRECAST CONCRETE COVERS / GRATINGS

NOTES:

- 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES.
- 2. ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE GRADE 20 /20.
- 3. CONCRETE SURFACE FINISH SHALL BE CLASS U2 OR F2 AS APPROPRIATE.
- 4. FOR DETAILS OF JOINT, REFER TO STD. DRG. NO. C2413.
- 5. CONCRETE TO BE COLOURED AS SPECIFIED.
- UNLESS REQUESTED BY THE MAINTENANCE PARTY AND AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER, CATCHPIT WITH TRAP IS NORMALLY NOT PREFERRED DUE TO PONDING PROBLEM.
- 7. UPON THE REQUEST FROM MAINTENANCE PARTY, DRAIN PIPES AT CATCHPIT BASE CAN BE USED BUT THIS IS FOR CATCHPITS LOCATED AT SLOPE TOE ONLY AND AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- FOR CATCHPITS CONSTRUCTED ON OR ADJACENT TO A FOOTPATH, STEEL GRATINGS (SEE DETAIL 'A' ON STD. DRG. NO. C2405 /2) OR CONCRETE COVERS (SEE STD. DRG. NO. C2407) SHALL BE PROVIDED AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 9. IF INSTRUCTED BY THE ENGINEER, HANDRAILING (SEE DETAIL 'J' ON STD. DRG. NO. C2405 /5; EXCEPT ON THE UPSLOPE SIDE) IN LIEU OF STEEL GRATINGS OR CONCRETE COVERS CAN BE ACCEPTED AS AN ALTERNATIVE SAFETY MEASURE FOR CATCHPITS NOT ON A FOOTPATH NOR ADJACENT TO IT. TOP OF THE HANDRAILING SHALL BE 1 000 mm MIN. MEASURED FROM THE ADJACENT GROUND LEVEL.
- 10. MINIMUM INTERNAL CATCHPIT WIDTH SHALL BE 1 000 mm FOR CATCHPITS WITH A HEIGHT EXCEEDING 1 000 mm MEASURED FROM THE INVERT LEVEL TO THE ADJACENT GROUND LEVEL. AND, STEP IRONS (SEE DSD STD. DRG. NO. DS1043) AT 300 c/c STAGGERED SHALL BE PROVIDED. THICKNESS OF CATCHPIT WALL FOR INSTALLATION OF STEP IRONS SHALL BE INCREASED TO 150 mm.
- FOR RETROFITTING AN EXISTING CATCHPIT WITH STEEL GRATING, SEE DETAIL 'G' ON STD. DRG. NO. C2405 /4.
- SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER, OTHER MATERIALS CAN ALSO BE USED AS COVERS / GRATINGS.

REF.	REVISION	SIGNATURE	DATE
-	FORMER DRG. NO. C2406J.	Original Signed	03.2015
Α	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed	04.2016

CATCHPIT WITH TRAP (SHEET 2 OF 2)

卓越工程 建設香港




CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

SCALE 1:20 DATE JAN 1991

DRAWING NO. C2406 /2A

We Engineer Hong Kong's Development



NOMINAL SIZE H	Т	В	REINFORCEMENT
300	80	100	A252 MESH PLACED CENTRALLY AND T=100
375 - 600	100	150	WHEN E>650
675 - 900	125	175	A252 MESH PLACED CENTRALLY

I	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed	07.2018
Н	THICKNESS OF MASONRY FACING AMENDED.	Original Signed	01.2005
G	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed	01.2004
F	GENERAL REVISION.	Original Signed	12.2002
E	DRAWING TITLE AMENDED.	Original Signed	11.2001
D	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed	08.2001
С	150 x 100 UPSTAND ADDED AT BERM.	Original Signed	6.99
В	MINOR AMENDMENTS.	Original Signed	3.94
REF.	REVISION	SIGNATURE	DATE

DETAILS OF HALF-ROUND AND U-CHANNELS (TYPE A -WITH MASONRY APRON)


卓越工程 建設香港




CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

DATE JAN 1991 DRAWING NO. C24091

We Engineer Hong Kong's Development



U-CHANNELS CONSTRUCTED ON BERM WITH NON-BIODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL MAT <u>U-CHANNELS NOT CONSTRUCTED ON BERM</u>
<u>WITH NON-BIODEGRADABLE</u>
EROSION CONTROL MAT



U-CHANNELS CONSTRUCTED ON BERM
WITH BIODEGRADABLE
EROSION CONTROL MAT

U-CHANNELS NOT CONSTRUCTED ON BERM WITH BIODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL MAT

NOTES:

- 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES.
- 2. ALL CONCRETE TO BE GRADE 20 /20.
- 3. CONCRETE SURFACE FINISH SHALL BE CLASS U2, F2 OR BRUSHED FINISH AS DIRECTED.
- 4. SPACING OF EXPANSION JOINT IN CHANNELS, BERM SLABS AND APRONS TO BE 10 METRES MAXIMUM, SEE STD. DRG. NO. C2413 FOR DETAILS.
- 5. JOINTS FOR CHANNELS, BERM SLABS, APRONS AND WALLS, ETC. TO BE ON THE SAME ALIGNMENT.
- 6. FOR DIMENSIONS T, H, & B, SEE TABLE BELOW.
- FOR TYPICAL FIXING PIN DETAILS, SEE STD. DRG. NO. C2511/2.
- 8. MINIMUM SIZE OF 25 x 50 x 300mm SHALL BE PROVIDED FOR WOODEN PEG.
- MINIMUM SIZE OF 10mm DIAMETER WITH 200mm LONG SHALL BE PROVIDED FOR BAMBOO STICK.
- 10. THE FIXING DETAILS OF NON-BIODEGRADABLE AND BIODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL MATS ON EXISTING BERM SHALL REFER TO STD. DRG. NO. C2511/1.

NOMINAL SIZE H	Т	В	REINFORCEMENT
300	80	100	A252 MESH PLACED CENTRALLY AND T=100
375 - 600	100	150	WHEN E>650
675 - 900	125	175	A252 MESH PLACED CENTRALLY

DETAILS OF HALF-ROUND AND U-CHANNELS (TYPE B - WITH EROSION CONTROL MAT APRON)

REF.	REVISION	SIGNATURE	DATE
Α	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed	10.92
В	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed	3.94
С	150 x 100 UPSTAND ADDED AT BERM.	Original Signed	6.99
D	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed	08.2001
E	GENERAL REVISION.	Original Signed	12.2002
F	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed	01.2004
G	DIMENSION TABLE AMENDED.	Original Signed	01.2005
н	FIXING DETAILS OF BIODEGRADABLE EROSION CONTROL MAT ADDED.	Original Signed	12.2017
l	MINOR AMENDMENT.	Original Signed	07.2018




CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT


SCALE DIAGRAMMATIC

DATE JAN 1991

DRAWING NO. C24101

卓越工程 建設香港 We Engineer Hong Kong's Development







TYPICAL SECTION

PLAN OF SLAB

U-CHANNELS WITH PRECAST CONCRETE SLABS

(UP TO H OF 525)





TYPICAL SECTION

CAST IRON GRATING

(DIMENSIONS ARE FOR GUIDANCE ONLY, CONTRACTOR MAY SUBMIT EQUIVALENT TYPE)

U-CHANNEL WITH CAST IRON GRATING

(UP TO H OF 525)

NOTES:

- 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES.
- 2. H=NOMINAL CHANNEL SIZE.
- 3. ALL CAST IRON FOR GRATINGS SHALL BE GRADE EN-GJL-150 COMPLYING WITH BS EN 1561.
- 4. FOR COVERED CHANNELS TO BE HANDED OVER TO HIGHWAYS DEPARTMENT FOR MAINTENANCE, THE GRATING DETAILS SHALL FOLLOW THOSE AS SHOWN ON HyD STD. DRG. NO. H3156.

Е	NOTES 3 & 4 AMENDED.	Original Signed	12.2014
D	NOTE 4 ADDED.	Original Signed	06.2008
С	MINOR AMENDMENT. NOTE 3 ADDED.	Original Signed	12.2005
В	NAME OF DEPARTMENT AMENDED.	Original Signed	01.2005
Α	CAST IRON GRATING AMENDED.	Original Signed	12.2002
REF.	REVISION	SIGNATURE	DATE

COVER SLAB AND CAST IRON GRATING FOR CHANNELS



CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

 SCALE 1:20
 DRAWING NO.

 DATE JAN 1991
 C2412E

卓越工程 建設香港 We Engineer Hong Kong's Development

Table 3a – Storm Constants for Different Return Periods of HKO Headquarters

Return Period T (years)	2	5	10	20	50	100	200	500	1000
a	499.8	480.2	471.9	463.6	451.3	440.8	429.5	414.0	402.1
b	4.26	3.36	3.02	2.76	2.46	2.26	2.05	1.77	1.55
С	0.494	0.429	0.397	0.369	0.337	0.316	0.295	0.269	0.251

Table 3b – Storm Constants for Different Return Periods of Tai Mo Shan Area

Return Period T (years)	2	5	10	20	50	100	200
a	1743.9	2183.2	2251.3	2159.2	1740.1	1307.3	1005.0
b	22.12	27.12	27.46	25.79	19.78	12.85	7.01
С	0.694	0.682	0.661	0.633	0.570	0.501	0.434

Table 3c – Storm Constants for Different Return Periods of West Lantau Area

Return Period T (years)	2	5	10	20	50	100	200
a	2047.9	1994.1	1735.2	1445.6	1107.2	909.1	761.8
b	24.27	24.23	21.82	18.36	13.01	8.98	5.40
С	0.733	0.673	0.619	0.561	0.484	0.428	0.377

Table 3d – Storm Constants for Different Return Periods of North District Area

Return Period T (years)	2	5	10	20	50	100	200
a	1004.5	1112.2	1157.7	1178.6	1167.6	1131.2	1074.8
b	17.24	18.86	19.04	18.49	16.76	14.82	12.47
С	0.644	0.614	0.597	0.582	0.561	0.543	0.523

Table 13 - Values of n to be used with the Manning equation

Source: Brater, E.F. & King, H.W. (1976)

Surface	Best	Good	Fair	Bad
Uncoated cast-iron pipe	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.015
Coated cast-iron pipe	0.011	0.012*	0.013*	
Commercial wrought-iron pipe, black	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.015
Commercial wrought-iron pipe, galvanized	0.013	0.014	0.015	0.017
Smooth brass and glass pipe	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.013
Smooth lockbar and welded "OD" pipe	0.010	0.011*	0.013*	
Riveted and spiral steel pipe	0.013	0.015*	0.017*	
Vitrified sewer pipe	0.010	0.013*	0.015	0.017
Common clay drainage tile	0.011	0.012*	0.014*	0.017
Glazed brickwork	0.011	0.012	0.013*	0.015
Brick in cement mortar; brick sewers	0.012	0.013	0.015*	0.017
Neat cement surfaces	0.010	0.011	0.012	0.013
Cement mortar surfaces	0.011	0.012	0.013*	0.015
Concrete pipe	0.012	0.013	0.015*	0.016
Wood stave pipe	0.010	0.011	0.012	0.013
Plank flumes - Planed	0.010	0.012*	0.013	0.014
- Unplaned	0.011	0.013*	0.014	0.015
- With battens	0.012	0.015*	0.016	
Concrete-lined channels	0.012	0.014*	0.016*	0.018
Cement-rubble surface	0.017	0.020	0.025	0.030
Dry-rubble surface	0.025	0.030	0.033	0.035
Dressed-ashlar surface	0.013	0.014	0.015	0.017
Semicircular metal flumes, smooth	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.015
Semicircular metal flumes, corrugated	0.0225	0.025	0.0275	0.030
Canals and ditches				
1. Earth, straight and uniform	0.017	0.020	0.0225*	0.025
2. Rock cuts, smooth and uniform	0.025	0.030	0.033*	0.035
3. Rock cuts, jagged and irregular	0.035	0.040	0.045	
4. Winding sluggish canals	0.0225	0.025*	0.0275	0.030
5. Dredged-earth channels	0.025	0.0275*	0.030	0.033
6. Canals with rough stony beds, weeds on earth banks	0.025	0.030	0.035*	0.040
7. Earth bottom, rubble sides	0.028	0.030*	0.033*	0.035
Natural-stream channels				
1. Clean, straight bank, full stage, no rifts or deep pools	0.025	0.0275	0.030	0.033
2. Same as (1) but some weeds and stones	0.030	0.033	0.035	0.040
3. Winding some pools and shoals, clean	0.033	0.035	0.040	0.045
Same as (3), lower stages, more ineffective slope and sections	0.040	0.045	0.050	0.055

Table 13 (Cont'd)

Surface	Best	Good	Fair	Bad
5. Same as (3) some weeds and stones	0.035	0.040	0.045	0.050
6. Same as (4) stony sections	0.045	0.050	0.055	0.060
7. Sluggish river reach, rather weedy or with very deep pools	0.050	0.060	0.070	0.080
8. Very weedy reaches	0.075	0.100	0.125	0.150

Notes: *Values commonly used for design.