



Date : 11<sup>th</sup> November, 2024  
Our Ref. : ADCL/PLG-10289/L010

The Secretary  
Town Planning Board  
15/F., North Point Government Offices  
333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong

By Email


Dear Sir/Madam,

**Re: Section 16 Planning Application for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Lot Nos. 1809 (Part), 1813, 1814, 1815 (Part), 1816, 1817 (Part), 1819, 1820, 1824, 1825, 1826, 1827, 1828, 1829, 1830, 1831 S.A, 1831 S.B, 1832, 1833, 1834, 1835, 1837, 1838, 1839 (Part), 1840, 1841, 1842 and 1843 in D.D. 129, Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories  
(Planning Application No. A/YL-LFS/522)**

We refer to the latest comments from Transport Department (dated 16.10.2024) and would like to enclose herewith our Responses-to-Comments Table and Revised Traffic Impact Assessment to address the abovementioned departmental comments for their consideration.

Thank you for your kind attention and should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact our Miss Isa YUEN or Mr. Thomas LUK at


Yours faithfully,  
For and on behalf of  
**Grandmax Surveyors Limited**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas Luk  
Planning Consultant

Encl.  
c.c. Client  
DPO/TM&YLW, PlanD (Attn: Mr. Wilfred CHU)

No.	Comments	Responses
<b>Comments from Transport Department</b>		
1	Our previous comment that 1 ha of open storage area for storing 25-30 tons of goods is over-provided in term of site area has not been addressed yet. Please clarify. You could provide photos / photomontage to aid your presentation.	According to the applicant, approximately 25 to 30 tons (5-6 LGVs) of goods would be transported to the application site daily, rather than storing 25 to 30 tons of goods in total, the estimated tons of goods align with the trip generation & attraction generation every day. A drawing with photo demonstration (drawing no.: 80108-01-01) is attached for your reference.
2	According to TPDM V2 Ch. 3.11.3.1, a single track road when provided with adequate passing places can accommodate 2-way flows of 100 vehicles per hour, which is different from the design capacity in this table. It is noted in Figures 5.1 and 5.2 that the traffic flow at Deep Bay Road exceed 200 pcu/hr, which exceed the capacity of the road. Please consider to provide physical road improvement works to mitigate the traffic impact arising from the proposed development, particularly near Lau Fau Shan Roundabout.	Please see section 3.4.1 in the updated TIA report for the determination of link capacities, the traffic flows at the concerned road links are provided in Figure 3.6, which the flow unit is unified as veh/hr for easy reference.  Based on the assessment results of the existing traffic condition in the vicinity of project site, control measures will be undertaken by the Applicant to avoid aggravating the existing condition of concerned traffic junction. To ensure the efficient delivery and reflect the actual operation need, the Applicant is committed to manage the delivery fleet to travel via a designated route which will not pass through Lau Fau Shan Roundabout. Therefore, the proposed development will not have impact on Lau Fau Shan Roundabout, please see section 3.5 in the updated TIA report for more details.
3	According to Figure 4.1, there are	Performance of existing link capacity of Tin Yuet Road is assessed and indicated in Table 3.2.

	different routes connecting to the site. Please also assess the performance of the road links along the alternative route (e.g. via Tin Yuet Road).	
4	It is noted that the DFC of Junction of Lau Fau Shan Road, Tin Wah Road and Ping Ha Road is higher than 1. The proposed development would increase the traffic loading to this junction. Please provide physical road improvement works to mitigate the traffic impact arising from the proposed development in addition to the mitigation measures listed in Section 5.6.3.	Based on the assessment results of the existing traffic condition in the vicinity of project site, control measures will be undertaken by the Applicant to avoid aggravating the existing condition of concerned traffic junction. To ensure the efficient delivery and reflect the actual operation need, the Applicant is committed to manage the delivery fleet to travel via a designated route which will not pass through Junction of Lau Fau Shan Road/Tin Wah Road/Ping Ha Road. Therefore, the proposed development will not have impact on concerned junction, please see section 3.5 in the updated TIA report for more details.
5	For Section 5.6.3 (a), assessment of the link and junction performance at the alternative route shall be provided.	With a delivery route designated via Route 1, the performance of future link capacity of Tin Yuet Road is assessed and provided in Table 5.3.



F:\8FM\8FM PROJECT\80108 LOT NOS. 1809 (PART), .....1843 IN D.D. 129, LAU FAU SHAN\DATA\DRAWING\80108-01-01.DWG

<b>Proposal - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories</b>			
<b>Drawing Title -</b>		<b>Storage Area Distribution Details</b>	
<b>Dwg. No. -</b> 80108-01-01	<b>Rev. -</b> ---	<b>Legend:</b> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; font-size: small;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; background-color: #f9e79f; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> Usable Area</li> <li><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; background-color: #4a90e2; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> Site Office/ Storeroom (12m x 3m)</li> <li><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; border-bottom: 2px dashed black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> Ingress/Egress (About 12m wide)</li> <li><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; border-bottom: 1px dashed black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> LUL Bay for LGV (m x 3.5m)</li> <li><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; border: 1px solid blue; margin-right: 5px;"></span> Private Car Parking Spaces (5m x 2.5m)</li> </ul> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; background-color: #c8e6c9; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> Green Area</li> </ul> </div> </div>	
<b>Scale -</b> 1:1000@A4	<b>Date -</b> Oct 2024		

## Construction Materials



Bricks



Ceramic Tiles



Cement Products



Metal/Steel Products

## Construction Equipment



Portable Cement Mixer



Handheld power tools  
(e.g. drills, saws, and grinders)



Compact skid-steer loaders



Compact excavators

**S.16 Planning Application for Proposed  
Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and  
Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at  
Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan,  
Yuen Long, New Territories**

**TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

Reference: 80108-R01-02

Date: October 2024

Prepared by: 8FM Consultancy Limited

## Content

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Study Objectives	1
1.3	Report Structure	1
<b>2</b>	<b>PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	The Site Location	3
2.2	The Development Schedule	3
2.3	Vehicle Access Arrangement	3
2.4	Internal Transport Facilities	4
<b>3</b>	<b>EXISTING TRAFFIC SITUATION</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Existing Road Network	5
3.2	Public Transport Facilities	5
3.3	Traffic Survey	6
3.4	Existing Traffic Condition	6
3.5	Control Measures	9
<b>4</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC GENERATION</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Estimated Development Flows	10
<b>5</b>	<b>FUTURE TRAFFIC SITUATION</b>	<b>13</b>
5.1	Design Year	13
5.2	Traffic Forecast Methodology	13



5.3	Regional Traffic Growth	13
5.4	Planned and Committed Development	15
5.5	2027 Traffic Flows	15
5.6	Future Traffic Impact Assessment	15
<b>6</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusion</b>	<b>17</b>
6.1	Summary	17
6.2	Conclusion	17

## **Appendix A – Junction Calculation Sheets**

## List of Table

Table 2.1	Key Development Parameters
Table 2.2	Internal Transport Facilities
Table 3.1	Franchised Bus and GMB Services Close to Project Site
Table 3.2	Existing Road Link Capacity Assessment
Table 3.3	Existing Junction Capacity Assessment
Table 4.1	Similar Application within the Same OZP
Table 4.2	Estimated Traffic Generation & Attraction Arising from Storage Area
Table 4.3	Traffic Rates for Office Development
Table 4.4	Estimated Traffic Generation & Attraction Arising from Office
Table 4.5	Estimated Development Flow
Table 5.1	AADT Extracted from Annual Traffic Census
Table 5.2	2019-Based TPDEM Data for Yuen Long District
Table 5.3	Future Year Link Capacity Assessment
Table 5.4	Future Year Junction Capacity Assessment

## List of Figure

Figure 1	Site Location
Figure 2.1	Layout of Project Site
Figure 2.2	Vehicle Access Arrangement
Figure 2.3	Swept Path Analysis
Figure 3.1	Public Transport Facilities
Figure 3.2	Key Junctions and Road Links
Figure 3.3	2024 Observed Traffic Flows during Peak Hours
Figure 3.4	Existing Condition of Deep Bay Road (L1)
Figure 3.5	Existing Condition of Tin Yuet Road (L4)
Figure 3.6	2024 Observed Link Flows during Peak Hours
Figure 3.7	Proposed Routings
Figure 5.1	2027 Reference Traffic Flows during Peak Hours
Figure 5.2	2027 Design Traffic Flows during Peak Hours

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

The Applicant intends to seek planning permission for the Section 16 Planning Application for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Lot Nos. 1809 (Part), 1813, 1814, 1815 (Part), 1816, 1817 (Part), 1819, 1820, 1824, 1825, 1826, 1827, 1828, 1829, 1830, 1831 S.A, 1831 S.B, 1832, 1833, 1834, 1835, 1837, 1838, 1839 (Part), 1840, 1841, 1842 and 1843 in D.D. 129, Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories ("Project Site").

The location of the Project Site is shown in **Figure 1**.

8FM Consultancy Limited was commissioned as the traffic consultant to carry out a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) Study in support of this planning application.

## 1.2 Study Objectives

The objectives of this TIA are listed as follows:

- To review the existing traffic conditions in the vicinity of the Project Site;
- To present and evaluate the internal transport facilities;
- To estimate the traffic forecasts of the adopted design year and assess the future traffic situation in the surrounding network;
- To evaluate the potential traffic impact of the proposed development; and
- To suggest traffic improvement proposals, if necessary.

## 1.3 Report Structure

The report is structured as follows:

- Chapter 2 - Proposed Development  
Describing the project site, vehicular access arrangement, development schedule and the proposed internal transport facilities;
- Chapter 3 - Existing Traffic Situation  
Presenting the existing traffic context, the traffic survey, and the traffic assessment of the existing traffic conditions; Proposing control measures based on assessment results.
- Chapter 4 - Development Traffic Generation

Estimating the traffic flows arising from the proposed development;

- Chapter 5 – Future Traffic Situation

Describing the traffic forecast methodology and presenting the traffic assessment results under reference and design scenarios;

- Chapter 6 - Summary and Conclusion

Summarizing the findings and conclusion of this traffic impact assessment study.

## 2 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 2.1 The Site Location

The Project Site is located in the Lau Fau Shan and Mong Tseng area, and it can be accessible from Deep Bay Road via a local track. The location of the Project Site is shown in **Figure 1**.

### 2.2 The Development Schedule

The project site is proposed to be utilised as the open storage for construction materials and equipment on a temporary basis of 3 years. Based on the planning statement, the operation hour of the proposed use is from 8:00a.m. to 6:00p.m. from Mondays to Saturdays and there will be no operation on Sundays and public holidays.

The project site has a total area of about 15,500m<sup>2</sup>, including open storage area, two one-storey storerooms (36m<sup>2</sup> x 2) and a one-storey site office (36m<sup>2</sup>). The layout of the project site is shown in **Figure 2.1**. Key development parameters of the proposed use are tabulated in **Table 2.1**.

**Table 2.1 Key Development Parameters**

<b>Proposed Use</b>	Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment
<b>Operation Hours</b>	8:00am-6:00pm (Monday – Sunday, Except Public Holiday)
<b>Total Site Area</b>	15,500m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Open Storage Area</b>	About 14,055m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Storeroom</b>	72m <sup>2</sup>
<b>Site Office</b>	36m <sup>2</sup>

### 2.3 Vehicle Access Arrangement

At present, there is an existing local access road to the project site. Access to the project site will be provided through an 12m-wide ingress/egress point located at the southwestern boundary, which is connected to a local track leading to Deep Bay Road. The vehicle access arrangement is presented in **Figure 2.2** for reference.

Swept path analysis is also conducted for the access point and the access road. **Figure 2.3** indicates the sufficient turning spaces for the 7m LGV.

## 2.4 Internal Transport Facilities

The internal transport facilities to be provided in the project site are summarized in **Table 2.2**. As there are no specific parking and loading/unloading requirements for temporary open storage development in accordance to HKPSG, ancillary transport facilities are provided based on the Applicant's requirements to meet operational needs.

**Table 2.2 Internal Transport Facilities**

Type of Ancillary Transport Facilities	Size	Provision based on Applicant's Operational Needs
Private Car Parking Spaces	5m(L) x 2.5m(W)	3
L/UL Bays	7m(L) x 3.5m(W)	6

## 3 EXISTING TRAFFIC SITUATION

### 3.1 Existing Road Network

As indicated in **Figure 2.2**, the project site is located at the east of Deep Bay Road, and it can be accessible from Deep Bay Road via a local unnamed road. The existing condition of the connecting carriageways are summarized as follows:

- Unnamed Road A is a single track access road connecting Deep Bay Road in the west to an unnamed road near Lam Hang Shan in the east. Acting as single carriageway with 1-lane-2 way operation, passing bays are generally identified along the carriageway.
- Deep Bay Road is served as a rural road connecting Lau Fau Shan in the northeast and Pak Nai in the southwest. Acting as single carriageway with 1-lane-2 way operation, passing bays are generally identified along the carriageway.
- Tin Yuet Road is as a rural road connecting Deep Bay Road in the east and Tin Ying Road in the west. Acting as single carriageway with 1-lane-2 way operation, passing bays are generally identified along the carriageway.
- Lau Fau Shan Road is served as a rural road which is mainly a single-two carriageway, connecting Deep Bay Road in the west and Tin Wah Road in the east.

### 3.2 Public Transport Facilities

The project site cannot be immediately accessible by taking the public transportation. The nearest franchised bus and GMB services are around 850m away from the site, operating along Lau Fau Shan Road. Details of these public transport services are presented in **Table 3.1** and **Figure 3.1**.

**Table 3.1 Franchised Bus and GMB Services Close to Project Site**

Route	Routing	Peak Frequency (minutes)
MTR K65	Lau Fau Shan ↔ Yuen Long Station	9-16
MTR K65A	Lau Fau Shan ↔ Tin Shui Wai Station	12-15
GMB 33	Yuen Long (Tai Fung St) ↔ Ha Pak Nai	20
GMB 34A	Ha Tsuen ↔ Lau Fau Shan	15-30
GMB 35	Hong Lee Court ↔ Cai Ha Village	6-7

### 3.3 Traffic Survey

In order to evaluate the existing traffic conditions in the vicinity, the classified traffic surveys were conducted on 10 September 2024 (Tuesday) from 7:30 to 10:30 in the morning and from 16:00 to 19:00 in the evening. The key junctions and road links of the study area are indicated **Figure 3.2**.

The traffic flows collected during the traffic surveys have been converted to passenger car unit (PCU) based on the PCU factors as indicated in Volume 2 of Transport Planning and Design Manual (TPDM).

The results of traffic survey identified that the AM and PM peak hours occur during 7:45am to 8:45am and 16:30pm to 17:30pm, respectively. The 2024 observed peak hours traffic flows in the study area are presented in **Figure 3.3**.

### 3.4 Existing Traffic Condition

Based on the observed traffic flows, the performance of the key junctions and traffic links in the vicinity of the project site during the AM and PM peak hours was assessed.

#### 3.4.1 Determination of Link Capacity

The link capacity of single track access road is referenced from Chapter 3.11, Volume 2 of TPDM. It is noted that the provision of passing places and laybys should be 1 at intervals of approximately 60m (measured from the end of one to the start of next), where each passing place / layby is around 30m long (with tapers length included), i.e. 1 passing bay is equivalent to around 90m in length. Hence, for a 500m-long single track access road, there should be about 5 passing places / laybys, the expected capacity is 100 vehicles per hour ("veh/hr").

The link capacity of Deep Bay Road (L1) is assumed to have 2-way design flows of 100 veh/hr as outlined in Volume 2 of TPDM.

Whereas, the critical section of Deep Bay Road (L2) identified is to the immediate north of Lau Fau Shan Roundabout. **Figure 3.4** shows the existing condition for this section of Deep Bay Road within 500m from Lau Fau Shan Roundabout.

As shown in **Figure 3.4**, although the section of Deep Bay Road (L2) is mainly a single track access road, there are about 10 passing places or laybys, i.e. 2 times more than the design requirement in TPDM, which allows



vehicles travelling in opposite direction to pass by. Therefore, it can be implied that the capacity of this section of Deep Bay Road(L2) is about 2 times more than the expected capacity, i.e.  $2 \times 100=200$  veh/hr.

Similarly, as shown in **Figure 3.5**, there are about 9 passing places or laybys in Tin Yuet Road(L4), it can be implied that the capacity is expected to be 180 veh/hr.

#### 3.4.2 Validation of Link Capacity

A traffic survey with observation was also conducted on 10 September 2024 to determine the validation of the assumed capacity of Deep Bay Road and Tin Yuet Road.

**Figure 3.6** refers, the survey recorded the 2-way traffic flow at Deep Bay Road (L2) and Tin Yuet Road (L4) during AM(PM) peak hour was 154(115) veh/hr and 137(120) veh/hr respectively. Observation found that traffic flow during peak hour was generally smooth with stream of multiple vehicles passing through at the same time in one direction. Minor disruptions with traffic queues of about 4-5 vehicles were observed when vehicles stopped within passing places or laybys to allow vehicles in opposite direction to pass by. However, disruptions were short and traffic queue dispersed quickly.

General description on the operation characteristic for different ranges of ratio of flow to capacity area referenced from Table 2.4.2.1 of Chapter 2.4 Volume 2 of TPDM. For range 0.5-0.75, the general description is as follow:

- 1) Generally easy flow conditions.
- 2) Travel speeds begin to be restricted by traffic conditions.
- 3) Ability to manoeuvre within traffic stream is noticeably restricted.
- 4) Minor disruptions may cause local congestion with short traffic queues

The observed traffic flow conditions at Deep Bay Road(L2) and Tin Yuet Road (L4) are found to be similar to the description above, which suggests that the observed traffic flow of 154(115) veh/hr at Deep Bay Road and traffic flow of 137(120) veh/hr at Tin Yuet Road would have a ratio of flow to capacity within the range of 0.5-0.75. In light of this, the actual capacity of Deep Bay Road in the immediate north of Lau Fau Shan Roundabout is more than 200veh/hr, and the actual capacity of Tin Yuet Road is more than 180veh/hr. Hence, it can be concluded that the traffic analysis which adopted the link capacity of 200 veh/hr for the same section of Deep Bay Road(L2) and of 180veh/hr for Tin Yuet Road(L4) are considered conservative.

### 3.4.3 Existing Road Link Capacity Assessment

The results of existing road link capacity are shown in **Table 3.2**.

**Table 3.2 Existing Road Link Capacity Assessment**

Link No.	Link Location	Peak	Design Capacity <sup>(i)</sup> (veh/hr)	Traffic Flow (veh/hr)	V/C Ratio <sup>(ii)</sup>
L1	Deep Bay Road (two-way)	AM	100	59	0.59
		PM	100	61	0.61
L2	Deep Bay Road (two-way)	AM	200	154	0.77
		PM	200	115	0.58
L3	Lau Fau Shan Road (EB)	AM	800	287	0.36
		PM	800	293	0.37
	Lau Fau Shan Road (WB)	AM	800	309	0.39
		PM	800	222	0.28
L4	Tin Yuet Road (two-way)	AM	180	137	0.78
		PM	180	120	0.67

Notes:

(i) Design capacity can be referred to TPDM Vol2 chapter 2.4.1.1 and chapter 3.11.3.1.

(ii) V/C Ratio = Volume / Design Capacity. A peak hour v/c ratio of 1.0 or less indicates a satisfactory level of traffic. A peak hour v/c ratio greater than 1.0 indicates an unsatisfactory level of traffic with overloaded traffic volume.

The results reveal that the key traffic links operate within capacity during peak hours.

### 3.4.4 Existing Junction Capacity Assessment

The results of junction performance are indicated in **Table 3.3** and detailed junction calculation sheets are given in **Appendix A**.

**Table 3.3 Existing Junction Capacity Assessment**

Jn No.	Junction Location	Type/ Capacity Index	AM Peak	PM Peak
A	Tin Ying Rd / Tin Wah Rd	Signal / RC <sup>(i)</sup>	26.6%	43.4%
B	Lau Fau Shan Rd / Tin Wah Rd / Ping Ha Rd	Priority / DFC <sup>(ii)</sup>	1.18	1.25
C	Lau Fau Shan Roundabout	Roundabout / DFC	0.45	0.40
D	Deep Bay Rd / Unnamed Rd A	Priority / DFC	0.02	0.02
E	Unnamed Rd A / Unnamed Rd B	Priority / DFC	0.05	0.11
F	Deep Bay Rd / Tin Yuet Rd	Priority / DFC	0.17	0.16

\*Notes:

(i) DFC - Design Flow / Capacity Ratio. The performance of a priority junction or roundabout is normally measured by its Design Flow / Capacity (DFC) ratio. A DFC ratio less than 1.0 indicates that the junction is operating within design capacity. A DFC ratio greater than 1.0 indicates that the junction is overloaded, resulting in traffic queues and longer delay time to the minor arm traffic.

- (ii) *RC =reserve capacity. The performance of a traffic signalised junction is indicated by its reserve capacity (RC). A positive RC ( $RC > 0$ ) indicates that the junction is operating with spare capacity. A negative RC ( $RC < 0$ ) indicates that the junction is overloaded; resulting in traffic queues and longer delay time.*

As shown in Table 3.3, it can be seen that the surveyed junctions perform satisfactorily during peak hours with adequate reserve capacities, except for Jn B, i.e. junction of Lau Fau Shan Rd/Tin Wah Rd/Ping Ha Rd, which is currently having inadequate junction capacity during the AM and PM peak hours.

### 3.5 Control Measures

Based on the assessment results of the existing traffic condition in the vicinity of project site, control measures are suggested to avoid aggravating the existing condition of concerned traffic junction.

In light of this, the Applicant is committed to the following control measures so as to ensure the efficient delivery and to reflect the actual operation need:

#### 3.5.1 Designated route

To ensure the efficient delivery, the project-related vehicles will travel to/from the project site via the designated Route 1 only (**Figure 3.7** refers), which will not pass through Junction B of Lau Fau Shan Rd/Tin Wah Rd/Ping Ha Rd, minimizing the traffic impact brought from project site.

#### 3.5.2 Regular trip schedule

Considering operational needs, the Applicant will manage the vehicle trips on a regular basis, with maximum one LGV per hour and maximum six LGV(s) per day. By regulating the operation schedule, the trip generation during peak hours is insignificant.

## 4 DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC GENERATION

### 4.1 Estimated Development Flows

With reference to the Planning Statement, the proposed development will only make use of light goods vehicle (LGV) and private cars to travel to/from the application site, and the Applicant manages a fleet of 6 LGV(s).

As the proposed development will be operated as the storage area and a build-up site office, the trip generation & attraction arising from the operational needs will be estimated respectively based on the different land use.

#### 4.1.1 Storage Area

The trip rates for storage area are not found in the TPDM, hence, the traffic generation & attraction will be estimated based on the operational needs. Reference is also made with the approved applications of similar use and the applications in operation within the same outline zoning plan (OZP) approved by the TPB in the recent years, which is tabulated in **Table 4.1**.

**Table 4.1 Similar Application within the Same OZP**

Case No.	Decision Date	Applied Use	District	Site Area (sqm)	Daily Trip (veh/day)	Peak Hour Generation [Attraction] (veh/hr)
A/YL-HTF/11/33	10/06/2022	Temporary Open Storage of New Vehicles (Private Cars), Construction Materials, Machineries, Equipment and Storage of Tools and Parts with Ancillary Site Office for a Period of 3 Years	Ha Tsuen, Yuen Long	83,668	32	8[8]
A/YL-PS/695	22/09/2023	Renewal of Planning Approval for Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years	Ping Shan, Yuen Long	17,994.8	4	0[3]
A/HSK/252	06/11/2020	Temporary Open Storage of Recyclable Materials (Plastic, Paper and Metal) with Ancillary Workshop for a Period of 3 Years	Ha Tsuen, Yuen Long	15,800	16	0[0]
A/YL-LFS/505	01/03/2024	Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Machineries with Ancillary Workshop, and Vehicle/Cargo Compartments Assembly and Repair Workshop for a Period of 3 years	Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long	4400	10	2[2]

Considering the limited fleet size provided by the Applicant, the development will not generate more than 6 LGV(s) per day. Although the entire LGV(s) fleet is unlikely to travel to / from the site in the same one hour due to the limitation of manpower and equipment, the traffic generation & attraction is estimated based six LGV(s) for conservative assessment. The calculated traffic generation & attraction arising from the operation of storage area during the identified peak hours are estimated in **Table 4.2**.

**Table 4.2 Estimated Traffic Generation & Attraction Arising from Storage Area**

Land Use	Daily Trip	AM Peak (pcu/hr)		PM Peak (pcu/hr)	
		Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction
Storage Area	6 LGV(s)	9	9	9	9

Notes: Traffic generation/attraction for LGV is calculated with pcu factor 1.5 based on the PCU factors as indicated in Table 2.3.1.1 of TPDM Vol2.

Given that (i) the comparable traffic flow of similar applications within the same OZP and (ii) the operational restriction and limited fleet size of the Applicant, the estimated traffic generation & attraction arising from storage area, as indicated in **Table 4.2**, is therefore deemed acceptable.

#### 4.1.2 Site Office

The trip generation & attraction of the build-up development is estimated with reference to the the trip rate tabulated in the TPDM Vol 1. **Table 4.3** shows the trip rates for office development, and the level of upper limit is adopted for conservative assessment.

**Table 4.3 Traffic Rates for Office Development**

Land Use	Unit	Upper Limit/ Mean/ Lower Limit	AM Peak		PM Peak	
			Generation Rate	Attraction Rate	Generation Rate	Attraction Rate
Office	(pcu/hr/100sqm GFA)	Upper Limit	0.2361	0.3257	0.1928	0.1510
		Mean	0.1703	0.2452	0.1573	0.1175
		Lower Limit	0.1045	0.1646	0.1217	0.084

The calculated traffic generation & attraction arising from the operation of site office during the identified peak hours are estimated in **Table 4.4**.

**Table 4.4 Estimated Traffic Generation & Attraction Arising from Office**

Land Use	Area	AM Peak (pcu/hr)		PM Peak (pcu/hr)	
		Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction
<b>Site Office</b>	36m <sup>2</sup>	1	1	1	1

#### 4.1.3 Estimated Development Flow

With the trip generation & attraction estimated for different land use, the development flow is summarized in **Table 4.5**.

**Table 4.5 Estimated Development Flow**

Land Use	AM Peak (pcu/hr)		PM Peak (pcu/hr)	
	Generation	Attraction	Generation	Attraction
Storage Area	9	9	9	9
Site Office	1	1	1	1
<b>total</b>	10	10	10	10

## 5 FUTURE TRAFFIC SITUATION

### 5.1 Design Year

The planning application for the Proposed Temporary Open Storage development involves a period of 3 years, it is assumed that the end year for the Project Site would be year 2027. Therefore, year 2027 is adopted as the design year of this study.

### 5.2 Traffic Forecast Methodology

To conduct the traffic forecast on the road networks in the vicinity of the project site, the existing traffic flows will be adjusted with the following factors considered:

- Historical traffic data from Annual Traffic Census (ATC) by Transport Department;
- The forecast population and employment from the 2019-based Territorial Population and Employment Data Matrices (TPEDM) planning data by Planning Department;
- Committed and planned developments adjacent the project site.

### 5.3 Regional Traffic Growth

#### 5.3.1 Annual Traffic Census (ATC)

Reference has been made to the ATC reports from year 2018 to 2022. The historical traffic data of the surrounding road links are based on the Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) extracted from ATC issued by Transport Department. The relevant AADT data from year 2018 to 2022 are summarized in **Table 5.1**.

**Table 5.1 AADT Extracted from Annual Traffic Census**

Station	Road	From	To	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Growth Rate p.a.
5858	Ping Ha Rd & Lau Fau Shan Rd	Tin Ha Rd	Deep Bay Rd	12,680	12,590	12,070	10,310	8,390	-9.81%
					-0.7%	-4.1%	-14.6	-18.7%	
6603	Deep Bay Rd	Lau Fau Shan Rd	Nam Sha Po	2,920	2,320	2,380	2,570	2,760	-1.40%
					-20.3%	2.3%	7.9%	7.7%	
5284	Tin Ying Rd	Tin Wah Rd	Ping Ha Rd	32,180	31,060	29,780	30,970	30,030	-1.71%
					-3.5%	-4.1%	4.0%	-3.0%	
<b>Total</b>				47,780	45,970	44,230	43,850	41,180	<b>-3.65%</b>

**Table 5.1** indicates that the overall average annual growth rate of the adjacent road network is -3.65%.

### 5.3.2 Projected Population Data

Reference has been made to the 2019-based Territorial Population and Employment Data Matrices (TPEDM) planning data provided by Planning Department. The population and employment data in Yuen Long District for year 2019, 2024 and 2031 are presented in **Table 5.2**.

**Table 5.2 2019-Based TPDEM Data for Yuen Long District**

Item	TPDEM Estimation/Projection			Annual Growth Rate		
	2019	2026	2031	2019 to 2026	2026 to 2031	2019 to 2031
<b>Population</b>	175,150	172,350	159,850	-0.2%3	-1.49%	-0.76%
<b>Employment</b>	68,100	70,700	70,250	<b>0.54%</b>	-0.13%	0.26%
<b>total</b>	243,250	243,050	230,100	-0.01%	-1.09%	-0.46%

*Source: 2019-based TPEDM by Planning Department*

**Table 5.2** indicates that the highest annual growth rate for population and employment is 0.54%.

Based on the findings of the above two tables, a conservative growth rate of 0.54% per annum was adopted to estimate the background traffic growth from 2024 to 2027.



## 5.4 Planned and Committed Development

Based on the published information from Town Planning Board, no planned/committed developments in the site vicinity are identified in design year 2027 in the vicinity of project site.

## 5.5 2027 Traffic Flows

The growth factor will be applied to the 2024 observed peak hours traffic flows to estimate the 2027 reference flows.

The reference and design flows of the design year 2027 are calculated from the following formula:

$$\text{2027 Reference Flows (Fig. 5.1)} = \text{2024 Observed Flows (Fig 3.3)} \times (1+0.54\%)^3$$

$$\text{2027 Design Flows (Fig. 5.2)} = \text{2027 Reference Flows (Fig. 5.1)} + \text{Net Change in Development Traffic Flows}$$

**Figure 5.1** shows the 2027 Reference Peak Hours Flows in the area. By adding the net development traffic, **Figure 5.2** shows the 2027 Design Peak Hours Traffic Flows.

## 5.6 Future Traffic Impact Assessment

With the control measures undertaken by the Applicant, the development traffic will travel via the Route 1 as indicated in **Figure 3.7**.

The traffic impact assessments for design year 2027 were conducted for the key junctions and road links identified along Route 1 for both Reference and Design scenarios.

### 5.6.1 Future Year Link Capacity Assessment

Based on the Reference Flows ( ) and Design Flows, link capacity assessments for design year 2027 are carried out and the results are presented in **Table 5.3**.

**Table 5.3 Future Year Link Capacity Assessment**

Link No.	Link Location	Design Capacity (veh/hr)	2027 Reference Scenario				2027 Reference Scenario			
			Traffic Flow (veh/hr)		Volume to Capacity Ratio (V/C)		Traffic Flow (veh/hr)		Volume to Capacity Ratio (V/C)	
			AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
L1	Deep Bay Road (two-way)	100	62	65	0.62	0.65	68	71	0.68	0.71
L4	Tin Yuet Road (two-way)	180	144	126	0.80	0.7	150	132	0.83	0.73

Notes: V/C Ratio = Volume / Design Capacity

- (i) \*A peak hour v/c ratio of 1.0 or less indicates a satisfactory level of traffic. A peak hour v/c ratio greater than 1.0 indicates an unsatisfactory level of traffic with overloaded traffic volume.
- (ii) Refer to Figure 3.2 for link location.

**Table 5.3** reveals that the key road links identified along the delivery Route 1 will operate within capacity during peak hours for both Reference and Design Scenarios.

## 5.6.2 Future Year Junction Capacity Assessment

Based on the Reference Flows and Design Flows, junction capacity assessments for design year 2027 are carried out and the results are presented in **Table 5.4**, with detailed calculation sheets given in **Appendix A**.

**Table 5.4 Future Year Junction Capacity Assessment**

Jun No.	Junction Location	Type/ Capacity Index	2027 Reference Scenario		2027 Design Scenario	
			AM	PM	AM	PM
D	Deep Bay Rd / Unnamed Rd A	Priority / DFC	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
E	Unnamed Rd A / Unnamed Rd B	Priority / DFC	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.11
F	Deep Bay Rd / Tin Yuet Rd	Priority / DFC	0.17	0.16	0.20	0.19

\*Notes: RC = reserve capacity; DFC - Design Flow / Capacity Ratio

- (i) The performance of a priority junction or roundabout is normally measured by its Design Flow / Capacity (DFC) ratio. A DFC ratio less than 1.0 indicates that the junction is operating within design capacity. A DFC ratio greater than 1.0 indicates that the junction is overloaded, resulting in traffic queues and longer delay time to the minor arm traffic.
- (ii) The performance of a traffic signalised junction is indicated by its reserve capacity (RC). A positive RC (RC>0) indicates that the junction is operating with spare capacity. A negative RC (RC<0) indicates that the junction is overloaded; resulting in traffic queues and longer delay time.
- (iii) Refer to Figure 3.2 for junction location.

**Table 5.4** reveals that all the key junctions identified along the delivery Route 1 will operate satisfactorily with ample junction capacity in both 2027 reference and 2027 design scenarios during peak hours.

## 6 Summary and Conclusion

### 6.1 Summary

The Applicant intends to seek the Town Planning Board permission to utilise the Project Site as the open storage for construction materials and equipment on a temporary basis of 3 years.

In order to appraise the existing traffic conditions, classified turning movement count surveys have been carried out at the key junctions and road links in the vicinity of project site on 10 September 2024 from 7:30 to 10:30 in the morning and 16:00 to 19:00 in the evening. The morning and evening peak hours of the road network have been identified as 7:45am to 8:45am and 16:30pm to 17:30pm, respectively.

Year 2027 is used as the design year for the traffic impact assessment. Based on the historical data, an annual growth rate of 0.54% was adopted for this study. This growth factor has been applied to the observed traffic flows in 2024 to determine the anticipated traffic flows in design year 2027.

Based on the assessment results of existing traffic conditions in the vicinity of project site, control measures will be undertaken by Applicant to minimize the traffic impact. Specifically, a delivery Route 1 will be designated for the development operation, ensuring the delivery efficiency. The future traffic situation will be assessed based on the control measures committed by the Applicant.

The assessment results reveal that the key junctions and road links identified along Route 1 will operate satisfactorily with sufficient capacity in both 2027 reference and 2027 design scenarios during peak hours.

The proposed development, as with most other open storage sites, is not a high traffic generating use. With the traffic management undertaken by the Applicant, it is believed that the proposed development would not generate significant impact to the adjacent road network.

### 6.2 Conclusion

The findings of this study show that the development traffic will not cause adverse traffic impact onto the local road network. The proposed development is therefore supported from the traffic engineering point of view at this stage.

# Figures



2000m

1000m

800m

600m

400m

200m

100m

100m 200m 400m 600m 800m 1000m 1200m 1400m 1600m 1800m



PROPOSAL - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories


DRAWING TITLE - Site Location

Dwg. No. - Figure 1      Rev. - ---

Scale - 1:10000@A4      Date - Sep 2024



F:\8FM\8FM PROJECT\PS0108 LOT NOS. 1809 (PART).....1843 IN D.D. 129; LAU FAU SHAN\DATA\DRAWING\FIGURE 1.DWG



F:\8FM\8FM PROJECT\p80108 LOT NOS. 1809 (PART), .....1843 IN D.D. 129, LAU FAU SHAN\DATA\DRAWING\FIGURE 2.1.DWG


**PROPOSAL - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories**


**DRAWING TITLE - Layout of Project Site**

<b>Dwg. No. -</b> Figure 2.1	<b>Rev. -</b> ---
<b>Scale -</b> 1:1000@A4	<b>Date -</b> Sep 2024

**Legend:**

- Application Site
- Site Office/ Storeroom (12m x 3m)
- Ingress/Egress (About 12m wide)
- LUL Bay for LGV (m x 3.5m)
- Private Car Parking Spaces (5m x 2.5m)
- Maneuvering Circle About 20m(D)





F:\8FM\8FM PROJECT\PROJECT\880108 LOT NOS. 1809 (PART), .....1843 IN D.D. 129, LAU FAU SHAN\DATA\DRAWING\FIGURE 2.2.DWG

**PROPOSAL - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories**


**DRAWING TITLE - Vehicle Access Arrangement**

Dwg. No. - Figure 2.2	Rev. - ---
Scale - 1:500@A4	Date - Sep 2024

**Legend:**

- Application Site
- Ingress/Egress (About 12m wide)





F:\8FM\8FM PROJECT\p80108 LOT NOS. 1809 (PART), .....1843 IN D.D. 129, LAU FAU SHAN\DATA\DRAWING\FIGURE 2.3.DWG

<b>PROPOSAL - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories</b>				
<b>DRAWING TITLE -</b>		<b>Swept Path Analysis for 12m Fire Appliance</b>		
Dwg. No. -	Figure 2.3	Rev. -	---	
Scale -	1:500@A4	Date -	Sep 2024	
		<b>Legend:</b> <span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; background-color: #90EE90; border: 1px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> Application Site <span style="display: inline-block; width: 15px; height: 10px; border: 2px solid black; margin-right: 5px;"></span> Ingress/Egress (About 12m wide)		





2000m

1000m

800m

600m

400m

200m

100m

100m 200m

400m

600m

800m

1000m

1200m

1400m

1600m

1800m

PROPOSAL - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories

DRAWING TITLE - Public Transport Facilities

Dwg. No. - Figure 3.1


Rev. - ---

Legend:

Scale - 1:10000@A4

Date - Sep 2024

 BUS STOP
  GMB STOP
  8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED





2000m

1000m

800m


600m

400m

200m

100m

100m 200m 400m 600m 800m 1000m 1200m 1400m 1600m 1800m



Proposal - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories



Drawing Title - Key Road Links and Junctions

Dwg. No. - Figure 3.2


Rev. - A


Scale - 1:10000@A4

Date - Oct 2024

Legend:  
 Key Junction  
 Key Road Link






<p>Proposal - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories</p>			
<p>Drawing Title -</p>		<p>2024 Observed Flows During AM &amp; PM Peak Hours</p>	
<p>Dwg. No. -</p>	<p>Figure 3.3</p>	<p>Rev. -</p>	<p>A</p>
<p>Scale -</p>	<p>--</p>	<p>Date -</p>	<p>Oct 2024</p>
<p>Legend:</p>		<p>Traffic Flows at AM Peak Hr (PCU/HR) _____ 100</p> <p>Traffic Flows at PM Peak Hr (PCU/HR) _____ (100)</p>	
			



Lau Fau Shan Roundabout

Deep Bay Road



Proposal - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories

Drawing Title - Existing Condition of Deep Bay Road within 500m Northeast of the Junction of the Lau Fau Shan Roundabout






Dwg. No. - Figure 3.4

Rev. - ---

Scale - 1:1600 @ A3

Date - Oct 2024

Legend -

-  2-Lane Road Section
-  1-Lane Road Section
-  Road Section with Passing Place / Layby
-  Road Section with Passing Place on Adjacent Concrete Pavement
-  Concrete Pavement Accessible by Vehicles



Proposal -  
 S.16 Planning Application  
 For Proposed Temporary  
 Open Storage of  
 Construction Materials and  
 Construction Equipment for  
 a Period of 3 Years at  
 Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau  
 Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New  
 Territories


Drawing Title -  
 Existing Condition of Tin  
 Yuet Road within 500m  
 East of the Junction of  
 Deep Bay Road, Tin Yuet  
 Road


Dwg. No. - Figure 3.5

Rev. - ---

Scale - 1:1700 @ A3

Date - Oct 2024





Proposal - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories			
Drawing Title - 2024 Observed Link Flow (Veh/hr) During AM & PM Peak Hours			
Dwg. No. - Figure 3.6	Rev. - ---	Legend:	
Scale - --	Date - Oct 2024	Traffic Flows at AM Peak Hr (PCU/HR) _____ 100 Traffic Flows at PM Peak Hr (PCU/HR) _____ (100)	



2000m

1000m

800m


600m

400m

200m

100m

100m 200m 400m 600m 800m 1000m 1200m 1400m 1600m 1800m



Proposal - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories

Drawing Title - Proposed Routings

Dwg. No. - Figure 3.7


Rev. - ---

Scale - 1:10000@A4

Date - Oct 2024





F:\8FM\8FM PROJECT\P80108 LOT NOS. 1809 (PART), .....1843 IN D.D. 129, LAU FAU SHAN\DATA\DRAWING\FIGURE 3.7.DWG



Proposal - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories			
Drawing Title -		2027 Reference Traffic Flows during Peak Hours	
Dwg. No. -	Figure 5.1	Rev. -	A
Scale -	--	Date -	Oct 2024
Legend:			
Traffic Flows at AM Peak Hr (PCU/HR) _____ 100		Traffic Flows at PM Peak Hr (PCU/HR) _____ (100)	





Proposal - S.16 Planning Application For Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Materials and Construction Equipment for a Period of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129 Lau Fau Shan, Yuen Long, New Territories			
Drawing Title -		2027 Design Traffic Flows during Peak Hours	
Dwg. No. - Figure 5.2	Rev. - A	<b>Legend:</b> Traffic Flows at AM Peak Hr (PCU/HR) _____ 100 Traffic Flows at PM Peak Hr (PCU/HR) _____ (100)	
Scale - --	Date - Oct 2024		

# Appendix A

## Junction Calculation Sheets

**8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED**

**TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION**

INITIALS

DATE

Various Lots in DD129, Lau Fau Shan

Tin Wah Road / Tin Ying Road

2024 Observed - AM Peak

Project No.: 80108

Prepared By: FF

FF

Sep-24

Checked By: MM


MM

Sep-24


Reviewed By: FM

FM

Sep-24



No. of stages per cycle	N = 4
Intergreen Period	I = 31 sec
Cycle time	C = 120 sec
Sum(y)	Y = 0.444
Loss time	L = 45 sec
Total Flow	= 4877.6 pcu
Co = (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)	= 130.4 sec
Cm = L/(1-Y)	= 81.0 sec
Yult	= 0.563
R.C.ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100%	= 26.6 %
Cp = 0.9*L/(0.9-Y)	= 88.9 sec
Ymax = 1-L/C	= 0.625
R.C.(C) = (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%	= 26.6 %



Pedestrian Phase	Width (m)	Stage	Green Time Required		Green Time Provided (s)		Check
			SG	FG	SG	FG	

Movement	Stage	Lane Width m.	Phase	No. of lane	Radius m.	O	N	Straight-Ahead Sat. Flow	m			Total Flow pcu/h	Proportion of Turning Vehicles	Sat. Flow pcu/h	Flare lan Length m.	Flare lane Effect	Revised Sat. Flow pcu/h	y	Greater y	L sec	g (required) sec	g (input) sec	Degree of Saturation X	Queue Length (m/lane)	Average Delay (sec)
									Left pcu/h	Straight pcu/h	Right pcu/h														
3	1,2,3,4	3.40		1	20		N	1955	890			890	1.00	1819			0.489		27	83	19	3.126	890	2018	
2	3	3.40		2				4190		390		390	0.00	4190			0.093			16	19	0.594	55	48	
1	3	3.40		1	25			2095			33	33	1.00	1976			0.016	0.016	9	3	12	0.168	5	51	
								0																	
6	1,2	4.80		1	25		N	2095	637			637	1.00	1976			0.322			54	42	0.911	84	56	
5	2	3.40		1				2095		281		281	0.00	2095			0.134	0.134		23	23	0.711	39	50	
4,5	2	3.40		1	35			2095		0	329	329	1.00	2009			0.164			28					
4	2	3.40		1	30			2095			327	327	1.00	1995			0.164			28	23	0.868	53	68	
8,9	1	3.40		1	25		N	1955	89	334		423	0.21	1931			0.219	0.219		37	45	0.584	44	32	
7,8	1	3.30		1	28			2085		40	417	457	0.91	1988			0.230			39	45	0.613	48	32	
7	1	3.30		1	25			2085			431	431	1.00	1967			0.219			37	37	0.711	50	40	
12	3,4	3.30		1	25		N	1945	43			43	1.00	1835			0.024			4	22	0.131	6	42	
11	4	3.30		2				4170		486		486	0.00	4170			0.117			20	22	0.650	67	47	
10	4	3.30		1	40			2085			149	149	1.00	2010			0.074	0.074	9	13	22	0.414	20	45	
																				0	0				

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn B - Lau Fau Shan Rd / Tin Wah Rd / Ping Ha Rd

2024 Observed - AM Peak

Project No.: 80108


Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

FM

Sep-2024



NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- Vi<sub>b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr<sub>b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr<sub>b-c</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr<sub>c-b</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

**GEOMETRIC DETAILS:**

MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 8.9 (metres)  
 W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
 q<sub>a-b</sub> = 560 (pcu/hr)  
 q<sub>a-c</sub> = 136 (pcu/hr)

MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 3.5 (metres)  
 Vr<sub>c-b</sub> = 150 (metres)  
 q<sub>c-a</sub> = 170 (pcu/hr)  
 q<sub>c-b</sub> = 352 (pcu/hr)

MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 4.2 (metres)  
 W<sub>b-c</sub> = 4.2 (metres)  
 Vi<sub>b-a</sub> = 200 (metres)  
 Vr<sub>b-a</sub> = 200 (metres)  
 Vr<sub>b-c</sub> = 50 (metres)  
 q<sub>b-a</sub> = 527 (pcu/hr)  
 q<sub>b-c</sub> = 505 (pcu/hr)

**GEOMETRIC FACTORS :**

D = 1.161  
 E = 0.985  
 F = 1.013  
 Y = 0.693

**THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :**

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 445 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 645 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 577 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 701 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 2250 (pcu/hr)

**COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:**

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 1.1843  
 DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 0.7829  
 DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.6107  
 DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.2426

**CRITICAL DFC = 1.18**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# ROUNDBABOUT JUNCTION ANALYSIS

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn C - Lau Fau Shan Rd / Deep Bay Rd / Shan Tung Str / Lau Fau Shan Main Str 2024 Observed - AM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



## GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

	ARM	A	B	C	D	
V	=	Approach half width (m)	1.9	1.5	3.2	1.9
E	=	Entry width (m)	1.9	4.1	4.2	3.7
L	=	Effective length of flare (m)	1.0	2.3	1.5	1.8
R	=	Entry radius (m)	14.0	46.0	7.4	7.5
D	=	Inscribed circle diameter (m)	38.0	38.0	38.0	38.0
A	=	Entry angle (degree)	42.0	52.0	20.0	51.0
Q	=	Entry flow (pcu/h)	23	204	457	192
Qc	=	Circulating flow across entry (pcu/h)	412	302	18	241

## OUTPUT PARAMETERS:

S	=	Sharpness of flare = $1.6(E-V)/L$	0.00	1.81	1.07	1.60
K	=	$1-0.00347(A-30)-0.978(1/R-0.05)$	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.85
X2	=	$V + ((E-V)/(1+2S))$	1.90	2.06	3.52	2.33
M	=	$EXP((D-60)/10)$	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
F	=	$303 \times X2$	576	625	1066	706
Td	=	$1+(0.5/(1+M))$	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45
Fc	=	$0.21 \times Td(1+0.2 \times X2)$	0.42	0.43	0.52	0.45
Qe	=	$K(F-Fc \times Qc)$	377	471	1006	506
DFC	=	Design flow/Capacity = $Q/Q_e$	0.06	0.43	0.45	0.38

TOTAL FLOW = 1847 (pcu/hr)  
CRITICAL DFC = 0.45

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn D - Deep Bay Rd / Unnamed Access

2024 Observed - AM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



**NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )**

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- VI b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

**GEOMETRIC DETAILS:**

**GENERAL**

W = 3.90 (metres)  
W cr = 0 (metres)

Y = 0.865

**MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)**

W a-d = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr a-d = 120 (metres)  
q a-b = 3 (pcu/hr)  
q a-c = 49 (pcu/hr)  
q a-d = 1 (pcu/hr)

**MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)**

W c-b = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr c-b = 60 (metres)  
q c-a = 39 (pcu/hr)  
q c-b = 9 (pcu/hr)  
q c-d = 1 (pcu/hr)

**MINOR ROAD (ARM B)**

W b-a = 3.3 (metres)  
W b-c = 3.3 (metres)  
VI b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-c = 80 (metres)  
q b-a = 0 (pcu/hr)  
q b-c = 10 (pcu/hr)  
q b-d = 3 (pcu/hr)

**MINOR ROAD (ARM D)**

W d-c = 6.0 (metres)  
W d-a = 6.0 (metres)  
VI d-c = 22 (metres)  
Vr d-c = 60 (metres)  
Vr d-a = 90 (metres)  
q d-c = 4 (pcu/hr)  
q d-a = 2 (pcu/hr)  
q d-b = 2 (pcu/hr)

**GEOMETRIC FACTORS :**

X b = 0.818  
X c = 0.799  
Z b = 0.928  
M b = 0.860

X a = 0.845  
X d = 1.066  
Z d = 1.188  
M d = 1.097

**PROPORTION OF MINOR STRAIGHT AHEAD TRAFFIC :**

r b-a = 0  
ql b-d = 1.5 (pcu/hr)  
qr b-d = 1.5 (pcu/hr)

r d-c = 0.007  
ql d-b = 0.7550628 (pcu/hr)  
qr d-b = 0.7449372 (pcu/hr)

**CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :**

Q b-a = 489 (pcu/hr)  
Q b-c = 677 (pcu/hr)  
Q c-b = 582 (pcu/hr)  
Ql b-d = 515 (pcu/hr)  
Qr b-d = 490 (pcu/hr)

Q d-c = 637 (pcu/hr)  
Q d-a = 869 (pcu/hr)  
Q a-d = 615 (pcu/hr)  
Ql d-b = 659 (pcu/hr)  
Qr d-b = 641 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 122.7 (PCU/HR)

**COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY :**

DFC b-a = 0.0000  
DFC b-c = 0.0154  
DFC c-b = 0.0160  
DFCI b-d = 0.0029  
DFCr b-d = 0.0031  
DFC d-c = 0.0068  
DFC d-a = 0.0017  
DFC a-d = 0.0016  
DFCI d-b = 0.0011  
DFCr d-b = 0.0012

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.02**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn E - Unnamed Access to Subject Site / Unnamed Access

2024 Observed - AM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- Vl b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

**GEOMETRIC DETAILS:**

MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 5.2 (metres)  
 W cr = 0 (metres)  
 q a-b = 0 (pcu/hr)  
 q a-c = 41 (pcu/hr)

MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W c-b = 2.5 (metres)  
 Vr c-b = 22 (metres)  
 q c-a = 49 (pcu/hr)  
 q c-b = 11 (pcu/hr)

MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W b-a = 2.5 (metres)  
 W b-c = 2.5 (metres)  
 Vl b-a = 22 (metres)  
 Vr b-a = 24 (metres)  
 Vr b-c = 22 (metres)  
 q b-a = 17 (pcu/hr)  
 q b-c = 6 (pcu/hr)

**GEOMETRIC FACTORS :**

D = 0.752  
 E = 0.813  
 F = 0.813  
 Y = 0.821

F for (Qb-ac) = 0.263

**THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :**

Q b-a = 452 (pcu/hr)  
 Q b-c = 596 (pcu/hr)  
 Q c-b = 596 (pcu/hr)  
 Q b-ac = 483 (pcu/hr)  
 Q c-a = 1768 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 59.1 (pcu/hr)

**COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:**

DFC b-a = 0.0372  
 DFC b-c = 0.0101  
 DFC c-b = 0.0178  
 DFC b-ac = 0.0472  
 (Share Lane)  
 DFC c-a = 0.0274

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.05**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

## PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn F - Deep Bay Rd / Tin Yuet Rd

2024 Observed - AM Peak

Project No.: 80108


Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

FM

Sep-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- V<sub>l</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- V<sub>r</sub> c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 4.8 (metres)  
W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
q<sub>a-b</sub> = 4 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>a-c</sub> = 24 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 2.1 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> c-b = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>c-a</sub> = 34 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>c-b</sub> = 82 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
W<sub>b-c</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
V<sub>l</sub> b-a = 22 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-a = 24 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-c = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>b-a</sub> = 22 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>b-c</sub> = 72 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D = 0.752  
E = 0.826  
F = 0.791  
Y = 0.834

F for (Q<sub>b-ac</sub>) = 0.766

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 434 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 609 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 583 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-ac</sub> = 556 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 1547 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 82 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 0.0509  
DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 0.1186  
DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.1407  
DFC<sub>b-ac</sub> = 0.1695  
(Share Lane)  
DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.0222

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.17**



**8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED**

**TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION**

INITIALS

DATE

Various Lots in DD129, Lau Fau Shan

Project No.: 80108

Prepared By: FF

FF

Sep-24

Tin Wah Road / Tin Ying Road

2024 Observed - PM Peak

Checked By: MM


MM

Sep-24


Reviewed By: FM

FM

Sep-24



No. of stages per cycle	N = 4
Intergreen Period	I = 31 sec
Cycle time	C = 120 sec
Sum(y)	Y = 0.392
Loss time	L = 45 sec
Total Flow	= 4223 pcu
Co	= (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) = 119.3 sec
Cm	= L/(1-Y) = 74.1 sec
Yult	= 0.563
R.C.ult	= (Yult-Y)/Y*100% = 43.4 %
Cp	= 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) = 79.8 sec
Ymax	= 1-L/C = 0.625
R.C.(C)	= (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100% = 43.4 %



Pedestrian Phase	Width (m)	Stage	Green Time Required		Green Time Provided (s)		Check
			SG	FG	SG	FG	

Movement	Stage	Lane Width m.	Phase	No. of lane	Radius m.	O	N	Straight-Ahead Sat. Flow	m			Total Flow pcu/h	Proportion of Turning Vehicles	Sat. Flow pcu/h	Flare lan Length m.	Flare lane Effect	Revised Sat. Flow pcu/h	y	Greater y	L sec	g (required) sec	g (input) sec	Degree of Saturation X	Queue Length (m/lane)	Average Delay (sec)
									Left pcu/h	Straight pcu/h	Right pcu/h														
3	1,2,3,4	3.40		1	20		N	1955	543			543	1.00	1819		1819	0.298		27	57	18	1.955	418	946	
2	3	3.40		2				4190		238		238	0.00	4190		4190	0.057			11	18	0.372	34	46	
1	3	3.40		1	25			2095			24	24	1.00	1976		1976	0.012	0.012	9	2	11	0.129	4	51	
								0																	
6	1,2	4.80		1	25		N	2095	983			983	1.00	1976		1976	0.498			95	45	1.314	409	342	
5	2	3.40		1				2095		286		286	0.00	2095		2095	0.137	0.137		26	26	0.628	37	45	
4,5	2	3.40		1	35			2095		0	287	287	1.00	2009		2009	0.143			27					
4	2	3.40		1	30			2095			285	285	1.00	1995		1995	0.143			27	26	0.658	37	46	
8,9	1	3.40		1	25		N	1955	106	280		386	0.28	1923		1923	0.201	0.201		38	47	0.510	39	29	
7,8	1	3.30		1	28			2085		0	428	428	1.00	1979		1979	0.216			41	47	0.549	43	30	
7	1	3.30		1	25			2085			404	404	1.00	1967		1967	0.205			39	39	0.628	45	36	
12	3,4	3.30		1	25		N	1945	39			39	1.00	1835		1835	0.021			4	17	0.149	6	46	
11	4	3.30		2				4170		233		233	0.00	4170		4170	0.056			11	17	0.391	33	47	
10	4	3.30		1	40			2085			86	86	1.00	2010		2010	0.043	0.043	9	8	17	0.299	12	47	

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn B - Lau Fau Shan Rd / Tin Wah Rd / Ping Ha Rd

2024 Observed - PM Peak

Project No.: 80108


Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

FM

Sep-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- Vi<sub>b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr<sub>b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr<sub>b-c</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr<sub>c-b</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 8.9 (metres)  
W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
q<sub>a-b</sub> = 516 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>a-c</sub> = 173 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 3.5 (metres)  
Vr<sub>c-b</sub> = 150 (metres)  
q<sub>c-a</sub> = 142 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>c-b</sub> = 499 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 4.2 (metres)  
W<sub>b-c</sub> = 4.2 (metres)  
Vi<sub>b-a</sub> = 200 (metres)  
Vr<sub>b-a</sub> = 200 (metres)  
Vr<sub>b-c</sub> = 50 (metres)  
q<sub>b-a</sub> = 480 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>b-c</sub> = 662 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D = 1.161  
E = 0.985  
F = 1.013  
Y = 0.693

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 383 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 640 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 578 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 246 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 2471 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 1.2533  
DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 1.0336  
DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.8633  
DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.5772

**CRITICAL DFC = 1.25**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# ROUNDBABOUT JUNCTION ANALYSIS

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn C - Lau Fau Shan Roundabout

2024 Observed - PM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

	ARM	A	B	C	D	
V	=	Approach half width (m)	1.9	1.5	3.2	1.9
E	=	Entry width (m)	1.9	4.1	4.2	3.7
L	=	Effective length of flare (m)	1.0	2.3	1.5	1.8
R	=	Entry radius (m)	14.0	46.0	7.4	7.5
D	=	Inscribed circle diameter (m)	38.0	38.0	38.0	38.0
A	=	Entry angle (degree)	42.0	52.0	20.0	51.0
Q	=	Entry flow (pcu/h)	19	178	359	200
Qc	=	Circulating flow across entry (pcu/h)	411	308	34	247

### OUTPUT PARAMETERS:

S	=	Sharpness of flare = $1.6(E-V)/L$	0.00	1.81	1.07	1.60
K	=	$1-0.00347(A-30)-0.978(1/R-0.05)$	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.85
X2	=	$V + ((E-V)/(1+2S))$	1.90	2.06	3.52	2.33
M	=	$EXP((D-60)/10)$	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11
F	=	$303 \times X2$	576	625	1066	706
Td	=	$1+(0.5/(1+M))$	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45
Fc	=	$0.21 \times Td(1+0.2 \times X2)$	0.42	0.43	0.52	0.45
Qe	=	$K(F-Fc \times Qc)$	378	469	998	504
DFC	=	Design flow/Capacity = $Q/Qe$	0.05	0.38	0.36	0.40

TOTAL FLOW = 1757 (pcu/hr)  
CRITICAL DFC = 0.40

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn D - Deep Bay Rd / Unnamed Access

2024 Observed - PM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



**NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )**

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- VI b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

**GEOMETRIC DETAILS:**

**GENERAL**

W = 3.90 (metres)  
W cr = 0 (metres)

Y = 0.865

**MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)**

W a-d = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr a-d = 120 (metres)  
q a-b = 0 (pcu/hr)  
q a-c = 61 (pcu/hr)  
q a-d = 0 (pcu/hr)

**MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)**

W c-b = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr c-b = 60 (metres)  
q c-a = 29 (pcu/hr)  
q c-b = 11 (pcu/hr)  
q c-d = 3 (pcu/hr)

**MINOR ROAD (ARM B)**

W b-a = 3.3 (metres)  
W b-c = 3.3 (metres)  
VI b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-c = 80 (metres)  
q b-a = 1 (pcu/hr)  
q b-c = 11 (pcu/hr)  
q b-d = 0 (pcu/hr)

**MINOR ROAD (ARM D)**

W d-c = 6.0 (metres)  
W d-a = 6.0 (metres)  
VI d-c = 22 (metres)  
Vr d-c = 60 (metres)  
Vr d-a = 90 (metres)  
q d-c = 0 (pcu/hr)  
q d-a = 0 (pcu/hr)  
q d-b = 0 (pcu/hr)

**GEOMETRIC FACTORS :**

X b = 0.818  
X c = 0.799  
Z b = 0.928  
M b = 0.860

X a = 0.845  
X d = 1.066  
Z d = 1.188  
M d = 1.097

**PROPORTION OF MINOR STRAIGHT AHEAD TRAFFIC :**

r b-a = 0.001567  
ql b-d = 0 (pcu/hr)  
qr b-d = 0 (pcu/hr)

r d-c = 0.000  
ql d-b = 0 (pcu/hr)  
qr d-b = 0 (pcu/hr)

**CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :**

Q b-a = 488 (pcu/hr)  
Q b-c = 673 (pcu/hr)  
Q c-b = 580 (pcu/hr)  
Ql b-d = 513 (pcu/hr)  
Qr b-d = 488 (pcu/hr)

Q d-c = 638 (pcu/hr)  
Q d-a = 874 (pcu/hr)  
Q a-d = 617 (pcu/hr)  
Ql d-b = 660 (pcu/hr)  
Qr d-b = 642 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 115.5 (PCU/HR)

**COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY :**

DFC b-a = 0.0020  
DFC b-c = 0.0160  
DFC c-b = 0.0195  
DFCI b-d = 0.0000  
DFCr b-d = 0.0000  
DFC d-c = 0.0000  
DFC d-a = 0.0000  
DFC a-d = 0.0000  
DFCI d-b = 0.0000  
DFCr d-b = 0.0000

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.02**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn E - Unnamed Access to Subject Site / Unnamed Access

2024 Observed - PM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- Vi b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

**GEOMETRIC DETAILS:**

MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 5.2 (metres)  
 W cr = 0 (metres)  
 q a-b = 0 (pcu/hr)  
 q a-c = 35 (pcu/hr)

MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W c-b = 2.5 (metres)  
 Vr c-b = 22 (metres)  
 q c-a = 50 (pcu/hr)  
 q c-b = 22 (pcu/hr)

MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W b-a = 2.5 (metres)  
 W b-c = 2.5 (metres)  
 Vi b-a = 22 (metres)  
 Vr b-a = 24 (metres)  
 Vr b-c = 22 (metres)  
 q b-a = 45 (pcu/hr)  
 q b-c = 4 (pcu/hr)

**GEOMETRIC FACTORS :**

D = 0.752  
 E = 0.813  
 F = 0.813  
 Y = 0.821

F for (Qb-ac) = 0.072

**THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :**

Q b-a = 450 (pcu/hr)  
 Q b-c = 597 (pcu/hr)  
 Q c-b = 597 (pcu/hr)  
 Q b-ac = 458 (pcu/hr)  
 Q c-a = 1735 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 71.8 (pcu/hr)

**COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:**

DFC b-a = 0.0996  
 DFC b-c = 0.0059  
 DFC c-b = 0.0363  
 DFC b-ac = 0.1054  
 (Share Lane)  
 DFC c-a = 0.0289

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.11**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn F - Deep Bay Rd / Tin Yuet Rd

2024 Observed - PM Peak

Project No.: 80108


Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

FM

Sep-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- V<sub>l</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- V<sub>r</sub> c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 4.8 (metres)  
W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
q<sub>a-b</sub> = 8 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>a-c</sub> = 13 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 2.1 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> c-b = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>c-a</sub> = 29 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>c-b</sub> = 50.3 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
W<sub>b-c</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
V<sub>l</sub> b-a = 22 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-a = 24 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-c = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>b-a</sub> = 13 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>b-c</sub> = 78 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D = 0.752  
E = 0.826  
F = 0.791  
Y = 0.834

F for (Q<sub>b-ac</sub>) = 0.857

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 447 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 611 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 584 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-ac</sub> = 580 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 1645 (pcu/hr)  
TOTAL FLOW = 50.3 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 0.0293  
DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 0.1282  
DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.0861  
DFC<sub>b-ac</sub> = 0.1575  
(Share Lane)  
DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.0174

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.16**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn D - Deep Bay Rd / Unnamed Access

2027 Reference - AM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- Vi b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### GENERAL

W = 3.90 (metres)  
W cr = 0 (metres)

Y = 0.865

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W a-d = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr a-d = 120 (metres)  
q a-b = 4 (pcu/hr)  
q a-c = 50 (pcu/hr)  
q a-d = 2 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W c-b = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr c-b = 60 (metres)  
q c-a = 40 (pcu/hr)  
q c-b = 10 (pcu/hr)  
q c-d = 2 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W b-a = 3.3 (metres)  
W b-c = 3.3 (metres)  
Vi b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-c = 80 (metres)  
q b-a = 0 (pcu/hr)  
q b-c = 11 (pcu/hr)  
q b-d = 4 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM D)

W d-c = 6.0 (metres)  
W d-a = 6.0 (metres)  
Vi d-c = 22 (metres)  
Vr d-c = 60 (metres)  
Vr d-a = 90 (metres)  
q d-c = 5 (pcu/hr)  
q d-a = 2 (pcu/hr)  
q d-b = 2 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

X b = 0.818  
X c = 0.799  
Z b = 0.928  
M b = 0.860

X a = 0.845  
X d = 1.066  
Z d = 1.188  
M d = 1.097

### PROPORTION OF MINOR STRAIGHT AHEAD TRAFFIC :

r b-a = 0  
ql b-d = 2 (pcu/hr)  
qr b-d = 2 (pcu/hr)

r d-c = 0.008  
ql d-b = 1.007874 (pcu/hr)  
qr d-b = 0.992126 (pcu/hr)

### CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q b-a = 487 (pcu/hr)  
Q b-c = 676 (pcu/hr)  
Q c-b = 581 (pcu/hr)  
Ql b-d = 514 (pcu/hr)  
Qr b-d = 489 (pcu/hr)

Q d-c = 635 (pcu/hr)  
Q d-a = 868 (pcu/hr)  
Q a-d = 614 (pcu/hr)  
Ql d-b = 657 (pcu/hr)  
Qr d-b = 639 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 132 (PCU/HR)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY :

DFC b-a = 0.0000  
DFC b-c = 0.0163  
DFC c-b = 0.0172  
DFCI b-d = 0.0039  
DFCr b-d = 0.0041  
DFC d-c = 0.0079  
DFC d-a = 0.0023  
DFC a-d = 0.0033  
DFCI d-b = 0.0015  
DFCr d-b = 0.0016

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.02**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn E - Unnamed Access to Subject Site / Unnamed Access

2027 Reference - AM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- V<sub>l</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- V<sub>r</sub> c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 5.2 (metres)  
 W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
 q<sub>a-b</sub> = 0 (pcu/hr)  
 q<sub>a-c</sub> = 42 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
 V<sub>r</sub> c-b = 22 (metres)  
 q<sub>c-a</sub> = 50 (pcu/hr)  
 q<sub>c-b</sub> = 11 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
 W<sub>b-c</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
 V<sub>l</sub> b-a = 22 (metres)  
 V<sub>r</sub> b-a = 24 (metres)  
 V<sub>r</sub> b-c = 22 (metres)  
 q<sub>b-a</sub> = 18 (pcu/hr)  
 q<sub>b-c</sub> = 7 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D = 0.752  
 E = 0.813  
 F = 0.813  
 Y = 0.821

F for (Q<sub>b-ac</sub>) = 0.28

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 452 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 596 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 596 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>b-ac</sub> = 485 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 1767 (pcu/hr)  
 TOTAL FLOW = 61 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 0.0398  
 DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 0.0117  
 DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.0185  
 DFC<sub>b-ac</sub> = 0.0516  
 (Share Lane)  
 DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.0283

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.05**



# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

## PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn F - Deep Bay Rd / Tin Yuet Rd

2027 Reference - AM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- V<sub>l</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- V<sub>r</sub> c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 4.8 (metres)  
W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
q<sub>a-b</sub> = 5 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>a-c</sub> = 25 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 2.1 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> c-b = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>c-a</sub> = 35 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>c-b</sub> = 84 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
W<sub>b-c</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
V<sub>l</sub> b-a = 22 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-a = 24 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-c = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>b-a</sub> = 23 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>b-c</sub> = 74 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D = 0.752  
E = 0.826  
F = 0.791  
Y = 0.834

F for (Q<sub>b-ac</sub>) = 0.763

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 433 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 609 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 582 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-ac</sub> = 555 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 1540 (pcu/hr)  
TOTAL FLOW = 84 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 0.0531  
DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 0.1215  
DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.1443  
DFC<sub>b-ac</sub> = 0.1746  
(Share Lane)  
DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.0227

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.17**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn B - Lau Fau Shan Rd / Tin Wah Rd / Ping Ha Rd

2027 Reference - PM Peak

Project No.: 80108


Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

FM

Sep-2024



NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- Vi<sub>b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr<sub>b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr<sub>b-c</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr<sub>c-b</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

**GEOMETRIC DETAILS:**

MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 8.9 (metres)  
 W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
 q<sub>a-b</sub> = 525 (pcu/hr)  
 q<sub>a-c</sub> = 176 (pcu/hr)

MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 3.5 (metres)  
 Vr<sub>c-b</sub> = 150 (metres)  
 q<sub>c-a</sub> = 145 (pcu/hr)  
 q<sub>c-b</sub> = 508 (pcu/hr)

MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 4.2 (metres)  
 W<sub>b-c</sub> = 4.2 (metres)  
 Vi<sub>b-a</sub> = 200 (metres)  
 Vr<sub>b-a</sub> = 200 (metres)  
 Vr<sub>b-c</sub> = 50 (metres)  
 q<sub>b-a</sub> = 488 (pcu/hr)  
 q<sub>b-c</sub> = 673 (pcu/hr)

**GEOMETRIC FACTORS :**

D = 1.161  
 E = 0.985  
 F = 1.013  
 Y = 0.693

**THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :**

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 376 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 639 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 575 (pcu/hr)  
 Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 210 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 2515 (pcu/hr)

**COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:**

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 1.2979  
 DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 1.0532  
 DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.8835  
 DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.6913

**CRITICAL DFC = 1.30**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn E - Unnamed Access to Subject Site / Unnamed Access

2027 Reference - PM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Sep-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- V<sub>l b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r b-c</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- V<sub>r c-b</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 5.2 (metres)  
W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
q<sub>a-b</sub> = 0 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>a-c</sub> = 36 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
V<sub>r c-b</sub> = 22 (metres)  
q<sub>c-a</sub> = 51 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>c-b</sub> = 23 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
W<sub>b-c</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
V<sub>l b-a</sub> = 22 (metres)  
V<sub>r b-a</sub> = 24 (metres)  
V<sub>r b-c</sub> = 22 (metres)  
q<sub>b-a</sub> = 46 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>b-c</sub> = 4 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D = 0.752  
E = 0.813  
F = 0.813  
Y = 0.821

F for (Q<sub>b-ac</sub>) = 0.08

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 449 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 597 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 597 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-ac</sub> = 458 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 1731 (pcu/hr)  
TOTAL FLOW = 74 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 0.1024  
DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 0.0067  
DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.0385  
DFC<sub>b-ac</sub> = 0.1092  
(Share Lane)  
DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.0295

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.11**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Sep-2024

Jn F - Deep Bay Rd / Tin Yuet Rd

2027 Reference - PM Peak

Project No.: 80108


Checked By: MM

Sep-2024

Reviewed By: FM

FM

Sep-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- V<sub>l</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- V<sub>r</sub> c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 4.8 (metres)  
W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
q<sub>a-b</sub> = 9 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>a-c</sub> = 14 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 2.1 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> c-b = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>c-a</sub> = 30 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>c-b</sub> = 52 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
W<sub>b-c</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
V<sub>l</sub> b-a = 22 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-a = 24 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-c = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>b-a</sub> = 14 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>b-c</sub> = 80 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D = 0.752  
E = 0.826  
F = 0.791  
Y = 0.834

F for (Q<sub>b-ac</sub>) = 0.851

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 446 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 611 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 584 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-ac</sub> = 579 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 1640 (pcu/hr)  
TOTAL FLOW = 52 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 0.0314  
DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 0.1309  
DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.0890  
DFC<sub>b-ac</sub> = 0.1623  
(Share Lane)  
DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.0183

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.16**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Oct-2024

Jn D - Deep Bay Rd / Unnamed Access

2027 Design - AM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Oct-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Oct-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- VI b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### GENERAL

W = 3.90 (metres)  
W cr = 0 (metres)

Y = 0.865

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W a-d = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr a-d = 120 (metres)  
q a-b = 14 (pcu/hr)  
q a-c = 50 (pcu/hr)  
q a-d = 2 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W c-b = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr c-b = 60 (metres)  
q c-a = 40 (pcu/hr)  
q c-b = 10 (pcu/hr)  
q c-d = 2 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W b-a = 3.3 (metres)  
W b-c = 3.3 (metres)  
VI b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-c = 80 (metres)  
q b-a = 10 (pcu/hr)  
q b-c = 11 (pcu/hr)  
q b-d = 4 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM D)

W d-c = 6.0 (metres)  
W d-a = 6.0 (metres)  
VI d-c = 22 (metres)  
Vr d-c = 60 (metres)  
Vr d-a = 90 (metres)  
q d-c = 5 (pcu/hr)  
q d-a = 2 (pcu/hr)  
q d-b = 2 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

X b = 0.818  
X c = 0.799  
Z b = 0.928  
M b = 0.860

X a = 0.845  
X d = 1.066  
Z d = 1.188  
M d = 1.097

### PROPORTION OF MINOR STRAIGHT AHEAD TRAFFIC :

r b-a = 0.015798  
ql b-d = 2.031596 (pcu/hr)  
qr b-d = 1.968404 (pcu/hr)

r d-c = 0.008  
ql d-b = 1.0078989 (pcu/hr)  
qr d-b = 0.9921011 (pcu/hr)

### CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q b-a = 486 (pcu/hr)  
Q b-c = 672 (pcu/hr)  
Q c-b = 579 (pcu/hr)  
Ql b-d = 513 (pcu/hr)  
Qr b-d = 488 (pcu/hr)

Q d-c = 633 (pcu/hr)  
Q d-a = 868 (pcu/hr)  
Q a-d = 614 (pcu/hr)  
Ql d-b = 655 (pcu/hr)  
Qr d-b = 637 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 152 (PCU/HR)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY :

DFC b-a = 0.0206  
DFC b-c = 0.0164  
DFC c-b = 0.0173  
DFCI b-d = 0.0040  
DFCr b-d = 0.0040  
DFC d-c = 0.0079  
DFC d-a = 0.0023  
DFC a-d = 0.0033  
DFCI d-b = 0.0015  
DFCr d-b = 0.0016

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.02**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Oct-2024

Jn E - Unnamed Access to Subject Site / Unnamed Access

2027 Design - AM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Oct-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Oct-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- V<sub>l b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r b-a</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r b-c</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- V<sub>r c-b</sub> = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 5.2 (metres)  
W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
q<sub>a-b</sub> = 0 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>a-c</sub> = 52 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
V<sub>r c-b</sub> = 22 (metres)  
q<sub>c-a</sub> = 60 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>c-b</sub> = 11 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
W<sub>b-c</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
V<sub>l b-a</sub> = 22 (metres)  
V<sub>r b-a</sub> = 24 (metres)  
V<sub>r b-c</sub> = 22 (metres)  
q<sub>b-a</sub> = 18 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>b-c</sub> = 7 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D = 0.752  
E = 0.813  
F = 0.813  
Y = 0.821

F for (Q<sub>b-ac</sub>) = 0.28

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 448 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 593 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 593 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-ac</sub> = 481 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 1767 (pcu/hr)  
TOTAL FLOW = 71 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 0.0402  
DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 0.0118  
DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.0185  
DFC<sub>b-ac</sub> = 0.0520  
(Share Lane)  
DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.0340

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.05**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Oct-2024

Jn F - Deep Bay Rd / Tin Yuet Rd

2027 Design - AM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Oct-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Oct-2024



**NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )**

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- V<sub>l</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- V<sub>r</sub> c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

**GEOMETRIC DETAILS:**

**MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)**

W = 4.8 (metres)  
W<sub>cr</sub> = 0 (metres)  
q<sub>a-b</sub> = 15 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>a-c</sub> = 25 (pcu/hr)

**MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)**

W<sub>c-b</sub> = 2.1 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> c-b = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>c-a</sub> = 35 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>c-b</sub> = 84 (pcu/hr)

**MINOR ROAD (ARM B)**

W<sub>b-a</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
W<sub>b-c</sub> = 2.5 (metres)  
V<sub>l</sub> b-a = 22 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-a = 24 (metres)  
V<sub>r</sub> b-c = 38 (metres)  
q<sub>b-a</sub> = 33 (pcu/hr)  
q<sub>b-c</sub> = 74 (pcu/hr)

**GEOMETRIC FACTORS :**

D = 0.752  
E = 0.826  
F = 0.791  
Y = 0.834

F for (Q<sub>b-ac</sub>) = 0.692

**THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :**

Q<sub>b-a</sub> = 432 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-c</sub> = 608 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-b</sub> = 580 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>b-ac</sub> = 540 (pcu/hr)  
Q<sub>c-a</sub> = 1539 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 84 (pcu/hr)

**COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:**

DFC<sub>b-a</sub> = 0.0764  
DFC<sub>b-c</sub> = 0.1217  
DFC<sub>c-b</sub> = 0.1448  
DFC<sub>b-ac</sub> = 0.1981  
(Share Lane)  
DFC<sub>c-a</sub> = 0.0227

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.20**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

# PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Oct-2024

Jn D - Deep Bay Rd / Unnamed Access

2027 Design - PM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Oct-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Oct-2024



**NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )**

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- VI b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

**GEOMETRIC DETAILS:**

**GENERAL**

W = 3.90 (metres)  
W cr = 0 (metres)

Y = 0.865

**MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)**

W a-d = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr a-d = 120 (metres)  
q a-b = 10 (pcu/hr)  
q a-c = 62 (pcu/hr)  
q a-d = 0 (pcu/hr)

**MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)**

W c-b = 2.0 (metres)  
Vr c-b = 60 (metres)  
q c-a = 30 (pcu/hr)  
q c-b = 12 (pcu/hr)  
q c-d = 3 (pcu/hr)

**MINOR ROAD (ARM B)**

W b-a = 3.3 (metres)  
W b-c = 3.3 (metres)  
VI b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-a = 28 (metres)  
Vr b-c = 80 (metres)  
q b-a = 12 (pcu/hr)  
q b-c = 11 (pcu/hr)  
q b-d = 0 (pcu/hr)

**MINOR ROAD (ARM D)**

W d-c = 6.0 (metres)  
W d-a = 6.0 (metres)  
VI d-c = 22 (metres)  
Vr d-c = 60 (metres)  
Vr d-a = 90 (metres)  
q d-c = 0 (pcu/hr)  
q d-a = 0 (pcu/hr)  
q d-b = 0 (pcu/hr)

**GEOMETRIC FACTORS :**

X b = 0.818  
X c = 0.799  
Z b = 0.928  
M b = 0.860

X a = 0.845  
X d = 1.066  
Z d = 1.188  
M d = 1.097

**PROPORTION OF MINOR STRAIGHT AHEAD TRAFFIC :**

r b-a = 0.018898  
ql b-d = 0 (pcu/hr)  
qr b-d = 0 (pcu/hr)

r d-c = 0.000  
ql d-b = 0 (pcu/hr)  
qr d-b = 0 (pcu/hr)

**CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :**

Q b-a = 487 (pcu/hr)  
Q b-c = 669 (pcu/hr)  
Q c-b = 577 (pcu/hr)  
Ql b-d = 511 (pcu/hr)  
Qr b-d = 486 (pcu/hr)

Q d-c = 635 (pcu/hr)  
Q d-a = 873 (pcu/hr)  
Q a-d = 616 (pcu/hr)  
Ql d-b = 657 (pcu/hr)  
Qr d-b = 639 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 140 (PCU/HR)

**COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY :**

DFC b-a = 0.0246  
DFC b-c = 0.0164  
DFC c-b = 0.0208  
DFCI b-d = 0.0000  
DFCr b-d = 0.0000  
DFC d-c = 0.0000  
DFC d-a = 0.0000  
DFC a-d = 0.0000  
DFCI d-b = 0.0000  
DFCr d-b = 0.0000

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.02**



# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

## PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Oct-2024

Jn E - Unnamed Access to Subject Site / Unnamed Access

2027 Design - PM Peak


Project No.: 80108

Checked By: MM

Oct-2024

Reviewed By: FM

Oct-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W cr = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W b-a = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W b-c = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W c-b = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- Vl b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- Vr b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- Vr c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W = 5.2 (metres)  
 W cr = 0 (metres)  
 q a-b = 0 (pcu/hr)  
 q a-c = 46 (pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W c-b = 2.5 (metres)  
 Vr c-b = 22 (metres)  
 q c-a = 61 (pcu/hr)  
 q c-b = 23 (pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W b-a = 2.5 (metres)  
 W b-c = 2.5 (metres)  
 Vl b-a = 22 (metres)  
 Vr b-a = 24 (metres)  
 Vr b-c = 22 (metres)  
 q b-a = 46 (pcu/hr)  
 q b-c = 4 (pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D = 0.752  
 E = 0.813  
 F = 0.813  
 Y = 0.821

F for (Qb-ac) = 0.08

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q b-a = 445 (pcu/hr)  
 Q b-c = 595 (pcu/hr)  
 Q c-b = 595 (pcu/hr)  
 Q b-ac = 454 (pcu/hr)  
 Q c-a = 1730 (pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 84 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC b-a = 0.1034  
 DFC b-c = 0.0067  
 DFC c-b = 0.0387  
 DFC b-ac = 0.1101  
 (Share Lane)  
 DFC c-a = 0.0353

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.11**

# 8FM CONSULTANCY LIMITED

## PRIORITY JUNCTION CALCULATION

INITIALS

DATE

Traffic Impact Assessment for Proposed Temporary Open Storage of Construction Material and Equipment of 3 Years at Various Lots in D.D.129, Lau Fau Shan

Prepared By: FF

Oct-2024

Jn F - Deep Bay Rd / Tin Yuet Rd

2027 Design - PM Peak

Project No.: 80108


Checked By: MM

Oct-2024

Reviewed By: FM

FM

Oct-2024



### NOTES : ( GEOMETRIC INPUT DATA )

- W = MAJOR ROAD WIDTH
- W<sub>cr</sub> = CENTRAL RESERVE WIDTH
- W<sub>b-a</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- W<sub>b-c</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- W<sub>c-b</sub> = LANE WIDTH AVAILABLE TO VEHICLE WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- V<sub>l</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE LEFT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-a = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-a
- V<sub>r</sub> b-c = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM b-c
- V<sub>r</sub> c-b = VISIBILITY TO THE RIGHT FOR VEHICLES WAITING IN STREAM c-b
- D = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-A
- E = STREAM-SPECIFIC B-C
- F = STREAM-SPECIFIC C-B
- Y = (1-0.0345W)

### GEOMETRIC DETAILS:

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM A)

W =	4.8	(metres)
W <sub>cr</sub> =	0	(metres)
q <sub>a-b</sub> =	19	(pcu/hr)
q <sub>a-c</sub> =	14	(pcu/hr)

#### MAJOR ROAD (ARM C)

W <sub>c-b</sub> =	2.1	(metres)
V <sub>r</sub> c-b =	38	(metres)
q <sub>c-a</sub> =	30	(pcu/hr)
q <sub>c-b</sub> =	52	(pcu/hr)

#### MINOR ROAD (ARM B)

W <sub>b-a</sub> =	2.5	(metres)
W <sub>b-c</sub> =	2.5	(metres)
V <sub>l</sub> b-a =	22	(metres)
V <sub>r</sub> b-a =	24	(metres)
V <sub>r</sub> b-c =	38	(metres)
q <sub>b-a</sub> =	24	(pcu/hr)
q <sub>b-c</sub> =	80	(pcu/hr)

### GEOMETRIC FACTORS :

D =	0.752
E =	0.826
F =	0.791
Y =	0.834

F for (Q<sub>b-ac</sub>) = 0.769

### THE CAPACITY OF MOVEMENT :

Q <sub>b-a</sub> =	445	(pcu/hr)
Q <sub>b-c</sub> =	610	(pcu/hr)
Q <sub>c-b</sub> =	582	(pcu/hr)
Q <sub>b-ac</sub> =	562	(pcu/hr)
Q <sub>c-a</sub> =	1639	(pcu/hr)

TOTAL FLOW = 52 (pcu/hr)

### COMPARISON OF DESIGN FLOW TO CAPACITY:

DFC <sub>b-a</sub> =	0.0539
DFC <sub>b-c</sub> =	0.1311
DFC <sub>c-b</sub> =	0.0893
DFC <sub>b-ac</sub> =	0.1851
(Share Lane)	
DFC <sub>c-a</sub> =	0.0183

**CRITICAL DFC = 0.19**