A/YL-MP/381 Responses to TD's Comments

Co (Co	mments from Transport Department ontact Person: Mr. Donald LEUNG at 2399 2778)	Responses						
a.	Please incorporate the reply of RtC, such as the operation mode of proposed car park, in the Report.	Noted. The revised report is attached in Annex A.						
b.	From the RtC, noted only 20% (~40 nos.) of the parking space in the captioned planning application are opened to public for hourly rent, it is not preferably to erect car park symbol directional sign in Fairview Park Interchange and Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi to guide the motorist to the subject site. Please rewrite para. 1.8 and update the figures in the Report.	Noted. The car park symbol directional sign will not be proposed and the para. 1.8 has been rewritten.						
C.	As per para. 1.8, car park users will be instructed to avoid using Fairview Park Boulevard for access, hence, the applicant should propose necessary signs or measures within the site to guide the car park users to follow the above-mentioned routing.	The parking ingress will be routed via Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi and Kam Pok Road, as Fairview Park Boulevard prohibits right turns onto Kam Pok Road. For egress, traffic signs will be installed near the site access within the boundary to direct vehicles to turn right when leaving. The proposed sign face is illustrated below:						
		Turn Right to Leave 請右轉離開						

A/YL-MP/381 Responses to TD's Comments

Annex A

1.1 Background

The Applicant proposes to convert the existing site at Lot 4822 (Part) in D.D. 104, Mai Po, Yuen Long, New Territories into a Temporary Public Vehicle Park (Excluding Container Vehicle), hereafter, "the Proposed Development", for a Period of 3 Years. The site location is depicted in **Figure 1**.

Under the Approved Mai Po & Fairview Park Outline Zoning Plan No. S/YL-MP/8, the application site is zoned as "Residential (Group D)". The uses for temporary public vehicle parking (excluding container vehicle) require planning permission from the Town Planning Board.

Based on the comments provided by Transport Department regarding the planning application, traffic assessment is required to demonstrate there is no adverse impact to the Kam Pok Road and the nearby signalized junction.

AXON Consultancy is therefore commissioned to prepare this traffic assessment report to support the subject Planning Application.

1.2 The Temporary Public Vehicle Park

The Proposed Development has site area of about 28,113m². The vehicular access will be provided at Kam Pok Road. The number of parking spaces are showing in **Table 1**.

Туре	No. of Parking Spaces					
Private Car	12					
Motocycle	6					
Light Goods Vehicle	23					
Medium Goods Vehicles	166					
Total	207					

 Table 1
 Proposed Development Parameters

1.3 Traffic Count Surveys

In order to appraise the existing traffic conditions, classified traffic count surveys have been carried out at the section of Kam Pok Road (L1) and the nearby signalised junction J1 (J/O Fairview Park Boulevard / Kam Pok Road), as presented in **Figure 2**, on 3 January 2025 from 7:00am to 10:00am and 5:00pm to 8:00pm.

The traffic counts were recorded in a 15-minutes interval; and to be converted into passenger car unit (pcu) values. The highest consecutive 15-minutes hourly traffic volume is adopted as the peak hour traffic flow.

The morning and afternoon peak hours of the road network have been identified as 8:15am to 9:15am and 5:00pm to 6:00pm respectively. The observed traffic flows in the traffic survey are presented in **Figure 3**.

1.4 Existing Link Capacity Assessment

The road link capacity assessment is summarised in **Table 2**. The Peak Hourly Flows/Design Flow Ratios (P/Df) ratio indicates the proportion of the road capacity being used by the peak hour traffic flow. Higher P/Df ratio of a road indicates heavier usage of the road link concerns. A P/Df ratio equal or less than 0.85 indicates that adequate capacity is available, and vehicles are not expected to experience significant queues and delays.

No.	Road Link	Direction	Observ (pcu	ed Flow u/hr)	P/Df Ratio			
			АМ	РМ	АМ	РМ		
1.1	Kam Bak Baad	NB	26	42	0.03	0.05		
	Kam Pok Road	SB	42	61	0.05	0.07		

 Table 2
 Existing Link Performance

Note: Assumed 900 pcu/hour for each direction, TPDM Volume 2 Chapter 2

It can be seen from **Table 2** that road link L1 perform satisfactorily with ample reserved capacity during the AM and PM peak hours.

1.5 Existing Junction Capacity Assessment

Based on the observed traffic flows, the junction performance analysis of the adjacent signalised junction J1 of the subject site during the morning and evening peak hours were assessed.

The performance of a traffic signalised junction is indicated by its reserve capacity ("RC"). A RC value of 15% or above is considered within an acceptable level without causing undue delay to motorists passing through the concerned junctions.

The results are summarised and presented in **Table 3** and the detailed calculation sheets are attached in **Appendix A**.

Junction	Location	Type / Capacity	Observed			
		macx	АМ	РМ		
J1	J/O Fairview Park Boulevard / Kam Pok Road	Signalised / RC	76.7%	70.9%		

Table 3 Existing Junction Performance

Notes: RC = reserved capacity

It can be seen from **Table 3** that junction J1 performs satisfactorily during the AM and PM peak hours.

1.6 2028 Design Year Road Network

The design year is the end of the planning approval. Therefore, year 2028 is used as the design year of the traffic assessment.

1.7 Development Traffic Generation & Attraction

Based on the existing and committed public vehicle parks, the traffic generation and attraction rates are outlined in **Table 4**. To account for the impact of LGV and MGV parking spaces on trip generation, PCU factors of 1.5 for LGVs and 2 for MGVs are assumed. These factors are applied to the proposed number of parking spaces to determine the equivalent number of car parking spaces, resulting in a more conservative assessment of traffic generation and attraction. It is important to note that LGVs and MGVs typically generate traffic during non-peak hours, making this trip generation assumption conservative.

Dublic Vehicle De	4	Gene	ration	Attraction			
	ĸ	АМ	РМ	АМ	РМ		
	No. of Spaces		Traffic flo	ow¹ (pcu)			
Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen Wan ¹	214	17	41	18	40		
Sze Mei Street, San Po Kong ²	300	44	25	7	59		
Wai Hong Road, Fanling ¹	63	9	12	7	4		
HZMB, Lantau ¹	163	21	39	42	33		
	Trip rate ¹ (pcu/hi	/parking spa	ace)				
Hoi Shing Road, Tsuen	Wan ¹	0.0794	0.1915	0.0841	0.1869		
Sze Mei Street, San Po	Kong ²	0.1475	0.0246	0.0820	0.1967		
Wai Hong Road, Fanli	ing ¹	0.1429	0.1905	0.1111	0.0635		
HZMB, Lantau ¹		0.1288	0.2393	0.2577	0.2025		
Average Trip Rate	e	0.1247	0.1615	0.1337	0.1624		
	Trip Generat	ion (pcu/hr)					
Proposed Parking Fac (385 equivalent car parking	ilities g spaces)	48	62	51	63		

Table 4	Peak Hours	Trip	Generation

1. Data referenced from the existing public vehicle parks.

2. Anticipated data reference from approved TIA of Planning Application No. A/K11/235.

On the other hand, it is estimated that over 80% of the parking spaces are designated for monthly parking. This high proportion of long-term parking indicates that the majority of vehicles are parked for extended periods, reducing the frequency of daily trips in and out of the parking facility. As a result, this arrangement minimizes the overall volume of traffic generated on a daily basis, as fewer vehicles enter and exit the area compared to facilities primarily serving short-term or hourly parking.

1.8 Development Traffic Routes

Users of the proposed car park will be instructed to avoid using Fairview Park Boulevard for access, ensuring that development traffic flows through Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi and Kam Pok Road instead.

In this traffic assessment, it is assumed that 20% of users will still utilize Fairview Park Boulevard for exiting the site as a sensitivity test. This assumption is made to ensure that, even with this scenario, the traffic impact remains at an acceptable level.

1.9 Adjacent Development

The light public housing project on Yau Pok Road is scheduled for completion in Q1 of 2025. The traffic impact resulting from this development has been evaluated and included in this report. The parameters of the development are shown in **Table 5**.

 Table 5
 Traffic Generation and Attraction from adjacent development

Adjacent Development	Parameters
Yau Pok Road LPH Development	No. of Units: About 2100 units Public Transport: 3 routes (assumed 6 franchised bus services for each route per hour during peak hours) Public Transport Termini: Two, each in the northern and southern positions of the site.

1.10 Annual Traffic Growth

For the estimation of traffic flows in the design year of 2028, it is proposed to adjust the existing traffic flows by considering the natural traffic growth which is related to the increase in car usage.

The traffic forecasts were developed using existing traffic flows from 2025, obtained from traffic surveys, and applying an appropriate annual growth factor to project the background traffic for 2028.

According to the "2019-based Territorial Population and Employment Data Matrix," the population growth in Northwest New Territories (Other Area) from the base year 2019 to 2031 is presented in **Table 6.**

2	019	2	031	Growth Rates p.a. (%)				
Population	Employment	Population	Employment	31/19	31/19			
				Population	Employment			
222.000	00.005	252,000	140 150	1 69/	2.5%			

 Table 6
 Population Estimation from 2019 Base TPEDM (NWNT Other Area)

The TPEDM data shows that the population is projected to grow at an annual rate of 1.6%, while employment is expected to increase at a rate of 2.5% per year from 2019 to 2031.

After comparing historical data with future planning data, a conservative assessment led to the adoption of an annual growth rate of 2.5%. This growth factor will be applied to the observed traffic flows from 2025.

1.11 Reference and Design Flows

The growth factor will be applied to the 2025 observed traffic flows to estimate the 2028 reference flows.

The reference and design flows for the year 2028 are calculated from the following formulae:

2028 Reference Flows (Figure 4) =	2025 Observed Flows (Figure 3) x (1 + 2.5%) ³ + Adjacent Development Flows
2028 Design Flows (Figure 6) =	2028 Reference Flows (Figure 4) + Total Development Flows (Figure 5)

Based on the observed traffic flows and the patterns of the existing road network, the 2028 peak hour Reference and Design traffic flows at the concerned road link and junction are shown in **Figures 4** and **6**, respectively.

1.12 Link Capacity Assessment

The link capacity assessment results with reference to the development traffic are summaries in **Table 7**.

No.	Road Link	Direction	Refer Flow (j	rence ocu/hr)	Refei P/Df	rence Ratio	Desigi (pcu	n Flow ı/hr)	Design P/Df Ratio		
			АМ	PM	АМ	РМ	АМ	PM	АМ	РМ	
1.1	Kom Dok Bood	NB	47	66	0.05	0.07	85	116	0.09	0.13	
L1	Ram FOK ROAD	SB	66	87	0.07	0.10	117	150	0.13	0.17	

Table 7 Link Capacity Assessment

Note: Assumed 900 pcu/hour for each direction, TPDM Volume 2 Chapter 2

As shown in **Table 7** the capacity of road link L1 will maintain ample reserved capacity during peak periods for both Reference and Design Scenarios.

1.13 Junction Capacity Assessment

The results of the junction capacity assessment concerning the development traffic are summarized in **Table 8**, with detailed calculation sheets provided in **Appendix A**.

		Type /	2028							
Junction	Location	Capacity	Image: Point of the sector of the s	Design						
		Index	АМ	РМ	АМ	РМ				
J1	J/O Fairview Park Boulevard / Kam Pok Road	Signalised / RC	56.2%	51.1%	54.2%	48.9%				

 Table 8
 2028 Junction Capacity Assessments

Notes: RC = reserved capacity

Table 8 indicates that junction J1 will operate within its capacity during peak hours for both the Reference and Design Scenarios.

1.14 Traffic Management Plan

The parking ingress will be routed via Castle Peak Road – Tam Mi and Kam Pok Road, as Fairview Park Boulevard prohibits right turns onto Kam Pok Road. For egress, traffic signs will be installed within the site boundary to direct vehicles to turn right when exiting. The proposed sign face is illustrated below:



1.15 Conclusion

The traffic assessment findings suggest that the road network surrounding the site can accommodate the traffic generated by the proposed development without causing any adverse impacts from a traffic perspective.

















Junction Analysis

AXON CONSULTANCY LIMITED										TRAFFIC SIGNAL CALCULATION											INITIALS		DATE				
	Propose J/O Fairv	ed Tei view Pa	mporai ark Boul	ry Car levard /	Park a Kam Po	at DD1(ok Road	04 Lot I (J1)	4822,	Fairvie	w Park		2025 (Observed	AM				Project No	D.:	31052		Prepared Checked	By: By:			Jan-25 Jan-25	
		Fairvie	w Park B	Boulevard	Kam Pok Road [1] 78 [2] 780 [2] 780 [2] 780 [2] 780 [2] 780 [2] 780 [3] $[4]$ $[5]Kam Pok Road$							► Fairview Park Boulevard				No. of stages per cycle Intergreen Period Stage 1 - 2 Stage 2 - 3 Stage 3 - 4 Stage 4 - 1 Cycle time Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co = $(1.5*L+5)/(1-Y)$ Cm = $L/(1-Y)$ Yult R.C.ult = (Yult-Y)/Y*100% Cp = $0.9*L/(0.9-Y)$ Ymax = $1-L/C$ R.C.(C) = $(0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100\%$ Pedestriar Width Green Time Required				- 2 - 3 - 4 - 1	N = 4 $I = 7 sec$ $I = 7 sec$ $I = 11 sec$ $I = 2 sec$ $C = 140 sec$ $Y = 0.346$ $L = 45 sec$ $= 1627 pcu$ $= 110.8 sec$ $= 68.8 sec$ $= 0.563$ $= 62.7 %$ $= 73.1 sec$ $= 0.679$ $= 76.7 %$						
	Stage 1							← ↓ ↓ ↓ P1		P4 P2 Stage 4	Ρ3		Pedestriar Phase P1 P2 P3 P4	ar Width (m) Stage 13.2 4 13.2 4 13.2 4 13.2 4 13.2 4		Green Tir SG 7 7 7 7 7	Ime Required FG 11 11 11 11 11	Green Time Prov SG 10 10 10 10		ed (s) FG 11 11 11 11 11	Check OK OK OK						
	Move- ment	Stage	Lane Width m	Phase	No. of lane	Radius	0	Ν	Straight- Ahead Sat Flow	Left S	m Straight	Right	Total Flow	Proportion of Turning Vehicles	Sat. Flow	lare lan Length	Flare lane Effect	Revised Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	g (required) sec	g (input)	Degree of Saturation X	Queue Length (m/lane)	Average Delay (sec)	
₽~ (<u>)</u> >\$	1,2 2 3,4,5 6,7 7 8,9,10	1 1 2 1 1 3	3.40 3.30 3.70 3.30 3.20 5.50		1 1 1 1 1	10 15 19 20		N N N	1955 2085 1985 1945 2075 2165	78 8 152 28	331 449 61 90 271 12	49 98	409 449 118 242 271 138	0.19 0.00 0.48 0.63 0.00 0.91	1901 2085 1894 1853 2075 2026			1901 2085 1894 1853 2075 2026	0.215 0.215 0.062 0.131 0.131 0.068	0.215 0.062 0.068	24	59 59 17 36 36 36	59 59 17 36 36 36	0.509 0.509 0.509 0.509 0.509 0.509	46 50 20 35 39 23	31 31 60 46 46 46 58	
•		4		Ped										ViDanissi	21052				Faireita	Detto	21	21	21	ulouose 1/2	Del/Del-sta-		

	AXC)N (CONS	SULI	ΓΑΝΟ	Y LI	MITE	D				TR	AFFIC	SIGNAL C	ALCUL	ΑΤΙΟ	N									DATE		
	Propose J/O Fairv	ed Tei view Pa	mporai ark Boul	ry Car levard /	Park a Kam Po	at DD1 ok Road	04 Lot 1 (J1)	4822,	Fairvie	w Park	(2025 (Observed	PM				Project No	0.:	31052		Prepared Checked	By: By:			Jan-25 Jan-25		
		Fairview Park Boulevard $ \begin{array}{c} $												N Fairview Par	K Boulevard			No. of sta Intergreer Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax R.C.(C)	ges per c n Period = (1.5*L- = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y) = 0.9*L/(= 1-L/C = (0.9*Y)	ycle Stage 1 Stage 2 Stage 3 Stage 4 +5)/(1-Y))/Y*100% 0.9-Y) max-Y)/Y*	- 2 - 3 - 4 - 1	Reviewed N = I = I = C = Y = L = = = = = = = = =	4 7 7 11 2 140 0.357 45 1366 112.8 70.0 0.563 57.4 74.6 0.679 70.9	sec sec sec sec pcu sec sec %				
						Stage 2			Stage 3				P1	P4 P2 Stace 4	Ρ3			r Width (m) 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	(m) Stage SG 13.2 4 7 13.2 4 7 13.2 4 7 13.2 4 7 13.2 4 7 13.2 4 7 13.2 4 7		ne Required FG 11 11 11 11 11	Green Tir SG 10 10 10 10	ne Provic	led (s) FG 11 11 11 11 11	OK OK OK OK		1	
	Move- ment	Stage	Lane Width	Phase	No. of lane	Radius	0	N	Straight- Ahead Sat Flow	Left	m Straight	Right	Total Flow	Proportion of Turning Vehicles	Sat. Flow	Flare lan Length	Flare lane Effect	Revised Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	g (required)	g (input)	Degree of Saturation	Queue Length (m/lane)	Average Delay	l	
\$	1,2 2 3,4,5 6,7	1 1 2 1	3.40 3.30 3.70 3.30		1 1 1	10 15 19		N N N	1955 2085 1985 1945	12 48 18	163 189 21 279	172	175 189 241 297	0.07 0.00 0.91 0.06	1935 2085 1819 1936			1935 2085 1819 1936	0.091 0.091 0.132 0.154	0.154 0.132	24	24 24 35 41	41 41 35 41	0.311 0.311 0.527 0.527	24 26 35 41	40 40 47 43		
Â A	7 8,9,10	7 1 3.20 1 2075 3 ⁻¹ 8,9,10 3 5.50 1 20 N 2165 69 1 4 Ped 1 20 1 1 20 1						319 19	57	319 145	0.00 0.87	2075 2033			2075 2033	0.154	0.071	21	41 19 21	41 19 21	0.527 0.527	44 24	43 58					

	AXC)N (CONS	SUL	ΓΑΝΟ	Y LI	MITE	Ð				TR/	AFFIC	SIGNAL C	ALCUL	ATIO	N					•		INITIALS		DATE	
	Propose J/O Fairv	ed Tei view Pa	mpora ark Boul	ry Car levard /	Park a Kam Po	at DD1 ok Road	04 Lot 1 (J1)	4822,	Fairvie	w Park	(2028 F	Reference	AM				Project No	D.:	31052		Prepared Checked	By: By:			Jan-25 Jan-25	
		Fairview Park Boulevard																No. of sta Intergreer Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax R.C.(C)	ges per c period = (1.5*L+ = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y) = 0.9*L/(0 = 1-L/C = (0.9*Yr	ycle Stage 1 - Stage 2 - Stage 3 - Stage 4 - -5)/(1-Y))/Y*100% 0.9-Y) max-Y)/Y*	- 2 - 3 - 4 - 1	Reviewed N = I = I = C = Y = L = = = = = = = =	4 7 7 11 2 140 0.391 45 1840 119.1 73.9 0.563 43.8 79.6 0.679 56.2	sec sec sec sec sec pcu sec sec sec %		Jan-25	
						Stage 2			-	Stage 3	↓ └╺		P1	P4 P3 P2 Stage 4			Pedestria Phase P1 P2 P3 P4	Width (m) 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	Stage 4 4 4 4	Green Tir SG 7 7 7 7 7	ne Required FG 11 11 11 11	Green Tir SG 10 10 10 10	ne Provic	ded (s) FG 11 11 11 11 11	Chec OK OK OK	:k	
	Move- ment	Stage	Lane Width	Phase	No. of lane	Radius	0	N	Straight- Ahead Sat Flow	Left	m Straight	Right	Total Flow	Proportion of Turning Vehicles	Sat. Flow	Flare lan Length	Flare lane Effect	Revised Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	g (required)	g (input)	Degree of Saturation	Queue Length (m/lane)	Average Delay	
₹ ↑	1,2 2 3,4,5	m. m. m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pc ,2 1 3.40 1 10 N 1955 88 3 2 1 3.30 1 1 2085 5 4,5 2 3.70 1 15 N 1985 9 0							375 507 69	55	463 507 133	0.19 0.00 0.48	1901 2085 1894			1901 2085 1894	0.243 0.243 0.070	0.243 0.070	24	59 59 17	59 59 17	0.576 0.576 0.576	52 57 23	33 32 61			
€ ↑ ↑	6,7 7 8,9,10	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							102 306 14	111	274 306 157	0.63 0.00 0.91	1853 2075 2027			1853 2075 2027	0.148 0.148 0.077	0.077	21	36 36 19 21	36 36 19 21	0.576 0.576 0.576	40 44 26	48 47 60			
	X:\Project\31052 Temp Car Park at												k at DD10	4 Lot 4822.	Fairview	Park\Data	a\Calculation	\[J1Fairvie	ewParkBo	oulevard_Kam	BokRd.xlsm	IREF AM					

	AXC)N (CONS	SULI	ΓΑΝΟ	Y LI	MITE	D				TR/	AFFIC	SIGNAL C	ALCUL	ΑΤΙΟ	N					•		INITIALS		DATE	
	Propos J/O Fairv	ed Tei view Pa	mpora ark Boul	ry Car evard /	Park a Kam Po	at DD1 ok Road	04 Lot I (J1)	4822,	Fairvie	v Park		2028 F	Reference	PM				Project No	D.:	31052		Prepared Checked	By: By:			Jan-25 Jan-25	
		Fairview Park Boulevard $fairview Park Boulevard $ $fairview Park Boulevard$																No. of sta Intergreer Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax R.C.(C)	ges per c p Period = (1.5*L+ = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y) = 0.9*L/((= 1-L/C = (0.9*Yr	ycle Stage 1 - Stage 2 - Stage 3 - Stage 4 - -5)/(1-Y))/Y*100% 0.9-Y) nax-Y)/Y*	2 3 4 1	Reviewed N = I = I = C = Y = L = = = = = = = = =	4 7 7 11 2 140 0.404 45 1545 121.7 75.5 0.563 39.2 81.7 0.679 51.1	sec sec sec sec sec pcu sec sec %		Jan-25	
		Stage	← ▼			Stage 2	` ['	•	Stage 3				P1	P4 P3 P2 Stage 4			Pedestria Phase P1 P2 P3 P4	Width (m) 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	Stage 4 4 4 4	Green Tir SG 7 7 7 7 7	ne Required FG 11 11 11 11	Green Tir SG 10 10 10 10	ne Provic	led (s) FG 11 11 11 11 11	Chec OK OK OK	:k	
	Move- ment	Stage	Lane Width	Phase	No. of lane	Radius	0	Ν	Straight- Ahead	Left S	m Straigh	Right	Total Flow	Proportion of Turning Vehicles	Sat. Flow	Flare lan Length	Flare lane Effect	Revised Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	g (required)	g (input)	Degree of Saturation	Queue Length (m/lane)	Average Delay	
\$∢ ↔	1,2 2 3,4,5	m. m. Sat. Flow pcu/h pcu/h ,2 1 3.40 1 10 N 1955 14 184 2 1 3.30 1 1 2085 214 214 4,5 2 3.70 1 15 N 1985 54 24							195	198 214 273	0.07 0.00 0.91	1935 2085 1819			1935 2085 1819	0.103 0.103 0.150	0.174 0.150	24	24 24 35	41 41 35	0.351 0.351 0.595	27 29 40	40 40 48				
\$~ <♪	6,7 7 8,9,10	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						316 361 21	64	336 361 163	0.06 0.00 0.87	1936 2075 2032			1936 2075 2032	0.174 0.174 0.080	0.080	21	41 41 19 21	41 41 19 21	0.595 0.595 0.595	46 50 27	44 44 60				
	X-\Project\31052 Temp Car Park at DC												k at DD10	4 Lot 4822,	Fairview	Park\Data	a\Calculation	h\[J1Fairvie	ewParkBo	oulevard_Kan	1BokRd.xlsm	REF PM					

	AXC)N (CONS	SULI	FANC	Y LI	MITE	Ð				TR	AFFIC	SIGNAL C	ALCUL	ATIO	N	1						INITIALS		DATE			
	Propose J/O Fairv	ed Tei view Pa	mporai ark Boul	ry Car evard /	Park a Kam Po	t DD10 ok Road	04 Lot I (J1)	4822,	Fairvie	w Park		2028 E	Design AN	1				Project No).: 	31052		Prepared Checked	By: By:			Jan-25 Jan-25			
		Fairview Park Boulevard $ \begin{array}{c} $													k Boulevard			No. of star Intergreen Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax R.C.(C)	ges per c Period = (1.5*L4 = L/(1-Y) = (Yult-Y) = 0.9*L/(= 1-L/C = (0.9*Yr	s per cycle eriod Stage 1 - 2 Stage 2 - 3 Stage 3 - 4 Stage 4 - 1 (1.5*L+5)/(1-Y) L/(1-Y) (Yult-Y)/Y*100% 0.9*L/(0.9-Y) 1-L/C (0.9*Ymax-Y)/Y*100%			$N = 4$ $I = 7 \sec $ $I = 7 \sec $ $I = 11 \sec $ $I = 2 \sec $ $C = 140 \sec $ $Y = 0.396 $ $L = 45 \sec $ $I = 120.0 \sec $ $I = 120.0 \sec $ $I = 74.5 \sec $ $I = 0.563 $ $I = 42.0 \% $ $I = 80.4 \sec $ $I = 0.679 $ $I = 54.2 \%$						
			Stage 2			Stage 3				P1	P4 P3 P2 Stage 4			Pedestriar Phase P1 P2 P3 P4	Width (m) 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	Stage 4 4 4 4	Green Tir SG 7 7 7 7 7	ne Required FG 11 11 11 11	Green Tir SG 10 10 10 10	ne Provid	led (s) FG 11 11 11 11 11	Cheo OK OK OK	:k						
	Move- ment	Stage	Lane Width	Phase	No. of lane	Radius	0	N	Straight- Ahead Sat Flow	Left	m Straight	Right	Total Flow	Proportion of Turning Vehicles	Sat. Flow	Flare lan Length	Flare lane Effect	Revised Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	g (required)	g (input)	Degree of Saturation	Queue Length (m/lane)	Average Delay			
\$∢ ↔	1,2 2 3,4,5	m. m. Sat. Flow pcu/h p 2 1 3.40 1 10 N 1955 88 3 2 1 3.30 1 10 N 1955 88 3 4,5 2 3.70 1 15 N 1985 9						375 507 69	55	463 507 133	0.19 0.00 0.48	1901 2085 1894			1901 2085 1894	0.243 0.243 0.070	0.243 0.070	24	58 58 17	58 58 17	0.584 0.584 0.584	52 58 23	33 33 62						
\$~ ↔	6,7 7 8,9,10	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						102 306 14	111	274 306 167	0.63 0.00 0.92	1853 2075 2026			1853 2075 2026	0.148 0.148 0.082	0.082	21	35 35 20 21	35 35 20 21	0.584 0.584 0.584	40 45 28	48 48 59						
	X:\Project\31052 Temp Car Park at [cat DD104	4 Lot 4822,	Fairview	Park\Data	a\Calculation	\[J1Fairvie	ewParkBo	oulevard_Karr	1BokRd.xlsm	JDES AM							

	AXC)N c	CONS	SULI	ΓΑΝΟ	Y LI	MITE	D				TR	AFFIC	SIGNAL C	ALCUL	ATIO	N	-						INITIALS		DATE	
	Propos J/O Fairv	ed Ter view Pa	mporai ark Boul	ry Car evard /	Park a Kam Po	at DD1(ok Road	04 Lot I (J1)	4822,	Fairvie	v Park		2028 E	Design PN	Μ				Project No).:	31052		Prepared Checked	By: By:			Jan-25 Jan-25	
		Fairviev	w Park B	Soulevard	[1] [2] d	14 398 1 54 [3]	Ka 	m Pok 195 [5] Kam Po	Road [10] 64	[9] 21 -677 [- 20 [[8] 90 [7] [6]	+		N Fairview Par	k Boulevard			No. of star Intergreen Sum(y) Loss time Total Flow Co Cm Yult R.C.ult Cp Ymax R.C.(C)	of stages per cycle rgreen Period Stage 1 - 2 Stage 2 - 3 Stage 3 - 4 Stage 4 - 1 ele time n(y) s time al Flow $= (1.5^*L+5)/(1-Y)$ $= L/(1-Y)$. therefore the state of the			N = I = I = C = Y = L = = = = = = =	4 7 11 2 140 0.410 45 1557 122.9 76.3 0.563 37.2 82.7 0.679 48.9	sec sec sec sec sec pcu sec sec %			
	_		ب			•		-	Stage 2				P1	P4 	P4 P3 P2			Width (m) 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	Stage 4 4 4 4	Green Tir SG 7 7 7 7 7	ne Required FG 11 11 11 11	Green Tin SG 10 10 10 10	ne Provic	led (s) FG 11 11 11 11 11	Cheo OK OK OK	sk	
	Move- ment	Stage	Lane Width	Phase	No. of lane	Radius	0	N	Straight- Ahead	Left	m Straigh	Right	Total Flow	Proportion of Turning	Sat. Flow	Flare lan Length	Flare lane Effect	Revised Sat. Flow	у	Greater	L	g (required)	g (input)	Degree of Saturation	Queue Length	Average Delay	
€≁	1,2 2 3,4,5	m. m. m. Anead Sat. Flow Len pcu/h Star ,2 1 3.40 1 10 N 1955 14 14 2 1 3.30 1 1 2085 2 4,5 2 3.70 1 15 N 1985 54 2						184 214 24	195	198 214 273	0.07 0.00 0.91	1935 2085 1819	m.		1935 2085 1819	0.103 0.103 0.150	y 0.174 0.150	24	24 24 25	40 40 35	× 0.356 0.356 0.604	(m/iane) 27 30 40	(sec) 41 41 49				
\$≪ ↔	6,7 7 8,9,10	6,7 1 3.30 1 19 N 1945 20 3 7 1 3.20 1 1 19 N 1945 20 3 ,9,10 3 5.50 1 20 N 2165 90 2 4 Ped 1 <td>316 361 21</td> <td>64</td> <td>336 361 175</td> <td>0.06 0.00 0.88</td> <td>1936 2075 2031</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1936 2075 2031</td> <td>0.174 0.174 0.086</td> <td>0.086</td> <td>21</td> <td>40 40 20 21</td> <td>40 40 20 21</td> <td>0.604 0.604 0.604</td> <td>47 50 29</td> <td>45 45 59</td> <td></td>						316 361 21	64	336 361 175	0.06 0.00 0.88	1936 2075 2031			1936 2075 2031	0.174 0.174 0.086	0.086	21	40 40 20 21	40 40 20 21	0.604 0.604 0.604	47 50 29	45 45 59				
	X:\Project\31052 Temp Car F											Car Par	at DD104	4 Lot 4822,	Fairview	Park\Data	Calculation	JJ1Fairvie	ewParkBo	oulevard_Kam	1BokRd.xlsm	IDES PM					