Appendix 7

**Review of Drainage Impact Assessment** 

#### 1 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The Government identified the site at Long Bin, Yuen Long, for the development of public housing, school, public transport interchange and associated infrastructures (the Development site). The Development site is located at the west of Long Tin Road and north of Tong Yan San Tsuen Interchange in Yuen Long.
- 1.2 Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited (Arup) was commissioned by Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) to carry out the investigation, design and construction supervision for site formation and provision of essential infrastructures to support the housing development at the Development site, under Agreement No. CE 75/2017 (CE) Site Formation and Infrastructure Works for Public Housing Developments at Long Bin, Yuen Long – Investigation, Design and Construction.
- 1.3 A Drainage Impact Assessment (DIA) was carried out by CEDD / Arup and finalized for departmental retention in December 2020 under their submission of Revised Final DIA (ref. REP-012-05).
- 1.4 The latest site layout plan for the proposed housing development is shown in **Figure 1**.



#### Figure 1 – Location of the Site

1.5 Under the current Section 16 planning application, the development parameters of non-domestic facilities

for Phase 2 & 3 sites are mainly updated with an increase of non-domestic plot ratio from 0.5 to 0.8, for which the GFA involved covers the following:

- 1.5.1 A covered PTI of about 6,500 sq.m (note: this PTI was previously not covered in previous Section 16 planning application but now it is covered back and considered as non-domestic GFA in the current Section 16 planning application);
- 1.5.2 Four additional welfare facilities at 2/F and 3/F, about 4,200 sq.m;
- 1.5.3 Management offices at 6/F, about 1,444 sq.m; and
- 1.5.4 Other miscellaneous uses (e.g. covered walkway, staircase, lift shaft, etc.) at various floors, about 4,500 sq.m.
- 1.6 Based on the above updated development parameters, a review of DIA based on the approved Revised Final DIA (ref. REP-012-05) is carried out.

#### 2 <u>DIA REVIEW</u>

- 2.1 Based on the Revised Final DIA (ref. REP-012-05), a flood protection level of design return period of 1 in 50 years, 30% of greening ratio and the climate change scenario of mid-21<sup>st</sup> century have been adopted to assess the drainage impacts to the Yuen Long West Nullah due to the Long Bin development.
- 2.2 The catchment area and proposed drainage plan are extracted and shown in **Annex 1**. The proposed drainage connections are mainly 2 nos. of D1200 drainage connections for Phase 2 & 3 sites and a D900 drainage connection for the PTI, which will be connected to D1200 to D1350 drainage pipes constructed along Long Tin Road and to be discharged to Yuen Long West Nullah.
- 2.3 With these proposed drainage mitigation measures, it is concluded that there is no adverse drainage impact due to the Long Bin development.
- 2.4 By referencing to the above increase of non-domestic ratio (Cl. 1.5.1), the PTI was previously not covered in previous Section 16 planning application but now it is included back and considered as non-domestic GFA in the current Section 16 planning application. Since the PTI area has been considered in CEDD's Revised Final DIA (Annex 1), including back the PTI area in the current Section 16 planning application will not incur any additional drainage impact on DIA point of view.
- 2.5 Regarding the GFA in Cl. 1.5.2 to 1.5.4, the originally paved area at various floors will be utilized for various purposes (e.g. welfare facilities, management office, etc.). With the on-going detailed design in progress, ample greenings (e.g. planter, podium and roof plantings, vertical green walls, etc.) will be provided and the design principle of 30% greening for Phase 2 & 3 sites will still be followed. The catchment area plan and proposed drainage connections (i.e. pipe sizes, pipe connection locations, invert levels) for Phase 2 & 3 sites will still be followed and close liaison with CEDD will be maintained during construction period. Hence, there will be no change to the hydraulic model and no additional drainage impact to be incurred.
- 2.6 A preliminary assessment reviewing the impacts under the requirements of Corrigendum No. 1/2022 is added in Annex 2. Considering the requirements of Corrigendum No. 1/2022, the findings confirmed conclusion stated in the Revised Final DIA remain valid that, with proper implementation of drainage mitigation measures, there is no adverse drainage impact due to the project.

# ANNEX 1

# Catchment area and proposed drainage plan

(Extracted from Revised Final DIA Report (ref, REP-012-05))





	Ground	Incoming Pipe		Outgoing Pipe					
Manhole Name	Level		Size	Invert Level	Size	Invert Level			
	(mPD)	NO.	(mm)	(mPD)	(mm)	(mPD)	to Manhole		
Proposed_MH_01	6.0	-	-	-	1350 Pipe	2.80	Proposed_MH_26		
Proposed_MH_02	6.0	-	-	-	1200 Pipe	2.31	Proposed_MH_20		
Proposed_MH_03	8.9	A1	1050 Pipe	4.47	1050 Pipe	4.47	Proposed_MH_04		
Proposed_MH_04	7.6	A1	1050 Pipe	4.33	1200 Pipe	4.33	Proposed_MH_05		
Proposed_MH_05	6.7	A1	1200 Pipe	3.50	1200 Pipe	3.50	Proposed_MH_06		
Proposed_MH_06	5.8	A1	1200 Pipe	3.02	1200 Pipe	3.02	SMH1026544 (Existing Manhole)		
Proposed_MH_07	9.7				600 Pipe	8.14	Proposed_MH_08		
Proposed_MH_08	7.8	A1	600 Pipe	6.20	750 Pipe	6.05	Proposed_MH_09		
Proposed_MH_09	7.8	A1	750 Pipe	5.52	750 Pipe	5.52	Proposed_MH_10		
Proposed_MH_10	6.9	A1	750 Pipe	4.52	900 Pipe	4.52	Proposed_MH_11		
Proposed_MH_11	7.0	A1	900 Pipe	4.14	900 Pipe	4.14	Proposed_MH_12		
Proposed_MH 12	6.7	A1	900 Pipe	3.79	900 Pipe	3.79	Proposed MH_13		
D			000 8:	202	600 Pipe	4.10	SMH1010595 (Existing Manhole)		
Proposed_MH_13	6.4		1 900 Pipe	3.33	300 Pipe	3.33	SMH1044253 (Existing Manhole)		
Proposed_MH_14	7.1	-	-	-	900 Pipe	4.76	Proposed_MH_15		
Proposed_MH_15	7.7	A1	900 Pipe	4.73	900 Pipe	4.73	Proposed_MH_16		
Proposed_MH_16	8.7	A1	900 Pipe	4.70	900 Pipe	4.70	Proposed_MH_17		
Proposed_MH_17	8.9	A1	900 Pipe	4.69	900 Pipe	4.69	Proposed_MH_18		
Proposed_MH_18	9.2	A1	900 Pipe	4.67	900 Pipe	4.67	Proposed_MH_19		
Proposed_MH_19	9.2	A1	900 Pipe	4.63	900 Pipe	4.63	Proposed_MH_19a		
Proposed_MH_19a	9.3	A1	900 Pipe	4.48	1050 Pipe	4.48	Proposed_MH_03		
Proposed_MH_20	6.0	A1	1200 Pipe	2.28	1200 Pipe	2.26	Proposed_MH_21		
Proposed_MH_21	6.0	A1	1200 Pipe	2.16	1200 Pipe	2.16	Proposed_MH_22		
Proposed_MH_22	6.0	A1	1200 Pipe	2.02	1200 Pipe	2.02	Proposed_MH_23		
Proposed_MH_23	6.0	A1	1200 Pipe	2.00	1200 Pipe	2.00	Proposed_MH_24		
Proposed MH 24	6.0	A1	1200 Pipe	1.88	1200 Pipe	1.88	Proposed_MH_25		
Proposed MH 25	6.0	A1	1200 Pipe	1.77	1200 Pipe	1.77	Proposed_MH_26		
	6.0			A1	1350 Pipe	2.76			
Proposed MH 26		A2	1200 Pipe	1.73	1950 Pipe 1	Pipe 1.68	Proposed_MH_27		
8488887 ' ''		A3	750 Pipe	2.93					
Proposed MH 27	5.6	A1	1950 Pipe	1.61	1950 Pipe	161	Proposed MH 28		
Proposed MH 28	53	A1	1950 Pine	156	1950 Pine	156	Yuen Long West Nullah		
Proposed MHA01	60	-	-	-	1200 Pine	3.02	Proposed MHA02		
Proposed MHA02	60	A1	1200 Pine	2.98	1200 Pine	2,98	Proposed MHA03		
Proposed MHA03	60	A1	1200 Pine	2.76	1200 Pine	2.76	Proposed MHA05		
Proposed MHA04	60		-		900 Pine	2.53	Proposed MHA05		
· ····································		A1	900 Pine	249			Proposed_MHA07		
Proposed_MHA05	6.0	A2	1200 Pine	243	1350 Pipe	2.43			
Proposed_MHA07	6.0	A1	1350 Pipe	2.26	2x 1350 Pipe (Existing Pipe)	2.26	SMH1011297 (Existing Manhole)		

Extracted page from Revise DIA report (ref. REP-012-0	ed Fir 5)	nal				
A FIRST ISSUE Rev Description	JW By	10/20 Dote				
	Consultant					
Project title Agreement No. CE 75/2017 (CE) Site Formation and Infrastructure						
Works for Public Housing Development at Long Bin, Yuen Long - Investigation, Design and Construction						
DRAINAGE AND SEWERAGE MANHOLES SCHEDULE						
Drawing no. 261044/C1/06/1071 A Drawn Date Checked Approved RY 10/20 EH KKC						
N.T.S. Stotus PREL	IMIN	ARY				
土木工程拓 CEDD 土木工程拓 Civil Engineerin Development D	展 署 g and eparti	ment				





### ANNEX 2

Preliminary assessment of water levels and freeboards with

Corrigendum No. 1/2022

also conflict with the Phase 1 Development and will be diverted north outside Phase 1 boundary on Ping Shan Section of Castle Peak Road.

- **3.9.18** Various sustainable drainage system (SuDS) measures to reduce peak surface runoff, improve surface runoff quality, minimize impact to existing drainage system, and improve aesthetics and living environment could be applied in the proposed development. The benefit of providing sustainable drainage system and increasing the greening area of the Long Bin development on the local drainage system and the proposed connecting pipes to the Yuen Long West Nullah will be further studied in the detailed design stage.
- **3.9.19** It is proposed to provide new D600 to D900 drainage pipes to convey the runoff from the school site to the Yuen Long West Nullah along future road at south of Site. The proposed drainage pipes are recommended to connect to the existing manholes SMH1044253 and SMH1010595 and utilize the existing drainage pipes crossing Long Tin Road to convey the flow to Yuen Long West Nullah.
- **3.9.20** The simulation results of the proposed drainage pipe is summarized in the **Table 3.9.2** below:-

Location	Node ID of Hydraulic Model	Ground Level	Maximum Water Level of 50-year (mPD)*		
	(Size of Downstream Pipe)	(mPD)	Only Phase 1 Developed **	Both Phase 1 and Phase 2 Developed **	
Phase 1	Proposed_MH_01 (D1350)	6.00	<mark>4.91</mark> [1.09m]	<mark>4.99</mark> [1.01m]	
	Proposed_MH_26 (D1950)	6.00	<mark>4.89</mark> [1.11m]	<mark>4.97</mark> [1.03m]	
	Proposed_MH_27 (D1950)	5.56	4.88 [0.68m]	<mark>4.94</mark> [0.62m]	
	Proposed_MH_28 (D1950)	5.32	4.87 [0.45m]	<mark>4.91</mark> [0.41m]	
Phase 2	Proposed_MHA01 (D1200)	6.00	-	<mark>5.52</mark> [0.48m]	
	Proposed_MHA02 (D1200)	6.00	-	<mark>5.49</mark> [0.51m]	
	Proposed_MHA03 (D1200)	6.00	-	<mark>5.31</mark> [0.69m]	
	Proposed_MHA04 (D900)	6.00	-	<mark>5.17</mark> [0.83m]	
	Proposed_MHA05 (D1350)	6.00	-	<mark>5.13</mark> [0.87m]	

# Table 3.9.2 – Change of Predicted Maximum Water Level of Proposed Drainage Pipes

Extracted page from Revised Final DIA report (ref. REP-012-05)

Location	Node ID of Hydraulic Model	Ground Level	Maximum Water Level of 50-year (mPD)*		
	(Size of Downstream Pipe)	(mPD)	Only Phase 1 Developed **	Both Phase 1 and Phase 2 Developed **	
	Proposed_MHA07 (Existing 2xD1350)	6.00	-	5.02 [0.98m]	
	Proposed_MH_02 (D1200)	6.00	-	5.31 [0.69m]	
	Proposed_MH_20 (D1200)	6.00	-	5.29 [0.71m]	
	Proposed_MH_21 (D1200)	6.00	-	5.23 [0.77m]	
	Proposed_MH_22 (D1200)	6.00	-	5.17 [0.83m]	
	Proposed_MH_23 (D1200)	6.00	-	5.14 [0.86m]	
	Proposed_MH_24 (D1200)	6.00	-	<mark>5.07</mark> [0.93m]	
	Proposed_MH_25 (D1200)	6.00	-	<mark>5.01</mark> [0.99m]	
	Proposed_MH_03 (D1050)	8.93	5.37 [3.56m]	5.71 [3.22m]	
Drainage Diversion of Phase 1	Proposed_MH_04 (D1200)	7.55	<mark>5.16</mark> [2.39m]	5.33 [2.22m]	
	Proposed_MH_05 (D1200)	6.66	<mark>5.09</mark> [1.57m]	5.24 [1.42m]	
	Proposed_MH_06 (D1200)	5.81	<mark>5.03</mark> [0.78m]	5.14 [0.67m]	
School Site	Proposed_MH_07 (D600)	9.74	-	8.50 [1.24m]	
	Proposed_MH_08 (D750)	7.80	-	<mark>6.54</mark> [1.26m]	
	Proposed_MH_09 (D750)	7.75	-	6.13 [1.62m]	
	Proposed_MH_10 (D900)	6.89	-	5.93 [0.96m]	
	Proposed_MH_11 (D900)	7.02	-	5.85 [1.17m]	
	Proposed_MH_12 (D900)	6.65	-	5.79 [0.86m]	
	Proposed_MH_13	6.42	-	5.74	

Extracted page from Revised Final DIA report (ref. REP-012-05)

Location	Node ID of Hydraulic Model	Ground Level	Maximum Water Level of 50-year (mPD)*		
	(Size of Downstream Pipe)	(mPD)	Only Phase 1 Developed **	Both Phase 1 and Phase 2 Developed **	
	(D900, D600)			[0.68m]	
Reprovision of Drainage Pipe at West of Phase 1	Proposed_MH_14 (D900)	7.10	5.88 [1.22m]	6.72 [0.38m]	
	Proposed_MH_15 (D900)	7.67	5.87 [1.80m]	6.69 [0.98m]	
	Proposed_MH_16 (D900)	8.71	5.85 [2.86m]	6.63 [2.08m]	
	Proposed_MH_17 (D900)	8.88	5.83 [3.05m]	6.58 [2.30m]	
	Proposed_MH_18 (D900)	9.22	5.82 [3.40m]	6.53 [2.69m]	
	Proposed_MH_19 (D900)	9.15	5.80 [3.35m]	<mark>6.47</mark> [2.68m]	
	Proposed_MH_19a (D1050)	9.30	5.40 [3.90m]	<mark>5.80</mark> [3.50m]	

Notes:-

\* the Design Water Level is the maximum water level comparing (i) 50-year events [case 1 & 2 in SDM] \*\* the value in bracket represent the freeboard, positive value is shown if ground level is higher than water level.

The simulation results showed that it has sufficient freeboard of the proposed drainage pipes. The location of the proposed manholes is shown in **Drawing Nos. 261044/C1/06/1011-1013** in **Appendix C.** 

**3.9.21** All the proposed drainage mitigation measure/drainage works mentioned above are shown in **Drawing No. 261044/C1/06/1011-1013** in **Appendix C**.

# 4 **CONCLUSIONS**

- **4.1.1** The drainage impact associated with the development site and infrastructure work area has been properly assessed in this report.
- **4.1.2** The development site are currently served by some existing drainage systems which involved existing channel, underground drainage pipe underneath Long Tin Road discharging to the Yuen Long West Nullah.
- **4.1.3** A comparison of the planned land use scenario under DMP Review completed in 2012 and the drainage condition of the site after development has been considered in this report.
- **4.1.4** The climate change scenario of Mid 21<sup>st</sup> Century has been considered in this DIA.
- **4.1.5** It is noted that the planned landuse of the DMP Review has already considered the development at Long Bin therefore all proposed drainage improvement works under the DMP Review has catered the drainage impact by Long Bin development. The hydraulic analysis under this project found that the changing of the landuse due to the Long Bin development will increase the water level of Yuen Long West Nullah by maximum 4mm at the location of the DMP Review proposed floodwall, the water level increase will not cause overbank flow from the nullah with the DMP Review proposed floodwall. Therefore, the drainage impact to this regional drainage system is considered minimal and the resultant drainage condition after development is considered satisfactory.
- **4.1.6** Providing more greening area within the Long Bin Development will generate less runoff to the Yuen Long West Nullah. In the view of minimizing the impact to the existing drainage system and the planned drainage improvement works under the DMP Review, it is recommended that 30% of greening ratio stated in the Table 1 of the TC (Works) No.3/2012 "Site Coverage of Greenery for Government Building Projects" should be provided within the Long Bin Development.
- **4.1.7** The existing drainage pipe under Long Tin Road discharging to Yuen Long West Nullah has been assessed. Apart from retaining the existing drainage pipes underneath Long Tin Road, additional drainage pipes of sizes D900 to D1950 are proposed in order to accommodate the flow from the sites of Phase 1, Phase 2 and PTI. Moreover, D1050 to D1200 pipes are proposed at northern side of the Phase 1 to divert the existing drain which conflict with proposed development.
- **4.1.8** D600 to D900 drainage pipes are proposed to convey the runoff from the school site to the Yuen Long West Nullah along future road at south of Site (to be widened). The proposed drainage pipes are recommended to connect to the existing manholes SMH1044253 and SMH1010595

Extracted page from Revised Final DIA report (ref. REP-012-05)

and utilize the existing drainage pipes crossing Long Tin Road to convey the flow to Yuen Long West Nullah.

**4.1.9** With proper implementation of drainage mitigation measures, it is concluded that there is no adverse drainage impact due to this Project.







#### Preliminary Assessment of Water Level and Freeboard with Corrigendum No. 1/2022.

		SDM		Corrigendum No. 1/2022		
Location	Ground Level (mPD)	Max. water level of 50-year (mPD) <sup>1</sup>	Freeboard (m) <sup>2</sup>	Max. water level of 50-year (mPD) <sup>3</sup>	Freeboard $(m)^2$	Reduction in freeboard (Corrigendum-SDM) (m)
Proposed_MHA01 (D1200)	6.00	5.52	0.48	5.56	0.45	-0.03
Proposed_MHA02 (D1200)	6.00	5.49	0.51	5.52	0.48	-0.03
Proposed_MHA03 (D1200)	6.00	5.31	0.69	5.34	0.66	-0.03
Proposed_MHA04 (D900)	6.00	5.17	0.83	5.20	0.80	-0.03
Proposed_MHA05 (D1350)	6.00	5.13	0.87	5.16	0.84	-0.03
Proposed_MHA07 (Existing 2xD1350)	6.00	5.02	0.98	5.05	0.95	-0.03
Proposed_MH_02 (D1200)	6.00	5.31	0.69	5.34	0.66	-0.03
Proposed_MH_20 (D1200)	6.00	5.29	0.71	5.32	0.68	-0.03
Proposed_MH_21 (D1200)	6.00	5.23	0.77	5.26	0.74	-0.03
Proposed_MH_22 (D1200)	6.00	5.17	0.83	5.20	0.80	-0.03
Proposed_MH_23 (D1200)	6.00	5.14	0.86	5.17	0.83	-0.03
Proposed_MH_24 (D1200)	6.00	5.07	0.93	5.10	0.90	-0.03
Proposed_MH_25 (D1200)	6.00	5.01	0.99	5.04	0.96	-0.03

Note:

1. Max. water levels of 50-year are extracted from the Revised Final DIA report (ref. REP-012-05).

2. Positive value is shown if ground level is higher than water level.

3. Updated factor of rainfall increase due to climate change in Corrigendum No. 1/2022 is applied to update the water level calculation.

Rainfall increase due to Climate Change: Mid Century: 10.4% (SDM) & 11.1% (Corrigendum)

Example: Max. water level of Proposed\_MHA01 = 5.52 / (1+10.4%) \* (1+11.1%) = 5.56 mPD

Minimum freeboard occurs at Proposed\_MHA01 = 0.45m. All manholes could still maintain sufficient freeboard not less than 300mm.