

<p>Comments from the Director of Environmental Protection (Contact Person: Mr. William WONG)</p>	
<p>Apart from our previous comments on the Noise Impact Assessment requesting the applicant/consultant to provide the relevant road traffic noise model files and the calculation spreadsheet(s), please find our comments below from the air quality perspective. For ease of our review, the applicant/consultant is requested to highlight the changes/amendments in the coming revision(s).</p>	
<p><u>Air Quality Impact Assessment</u></p>	
<p>(a) Table 2.1 –</p> <p>(i) The separation distances of ASR04 and ASR05 are different from those shown in Figure 2.1. Please rectify the discrepancies.</p> <p>(ii) ASR06 and ASR07 should be located to the south and to the southeast of the project site respectively. Please check and revise. Similar amendment should be applied to the table in Figure 2.1.</p>	<p>(i) Noted. The separation distances of ASR04 and ASR05 shown in Table 2.1 have been revised.</p> <p>(ii) Noted. The location of ASR06 and ASR07 have been revised.</p>
<p>(b) Section 2.2 and R-to-C #c(i) and c(ii) –</p> <p>(i) Please incorporate R-to-C #c(i) and c(ii) in the section.</p> <p>(ii) Please clarify the scale of development (e.g. project/consultation site area etc.) at the “Residential (Group A) 6” (“R(A)6”) site and show its project site boundary in Figure 2.1.</p> <p>(iii) Apart from development at the “R(A)6” site, please clarify if there are any other projects within 500m from the project site boundary and their cumulative impacts (if any) should be addressed in this section.</p>	<p>(i) Noted. Please refer to S.2.2.1 to S.2.2.6.</p> <p>(ii) Noted. Please refer to S.2.2.1 to S.2.2.6.</p> <p>(iii) Noted. Please refer to S.2.2.1 to S.2.2.6.</p>
<p>(c) Section 2.3.6 – Please revise “trip” in line 3 to “strip”.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>(d) Section 2.3.7 –</p>	
<p>(i) The 2nd sentence is incorrect since part of the</p>	<p>(i) Noted. The Sentence has been deleted.</p>

<p>project site is located within the buffer zone from Tai Shu Ha Road East. Please revise or delete it.</p> <p>(ii) Please state clearly if Tai Shu Road East shall be considered as feeder road and provide its source of reference.</p> <p>(iii) It is noted that Transport Department (TD) was consulted for the road types of surrounding roads but the road type of Tai Tong Road are not provided in TD's endorsement. Please obtain TD's agreement to confirm Tai Tong Road could be considered as LD or provide the peak traffic flow of Tai Tong Road to justify it can be considered as a LD with low traffic. Otherwise, please consider Tai Tong Road as DD and allow a 10m buffer as a conservative approach.</p>	<p>(ii) It is confirmed with TD the Tai Shu Road East would be considered as feeder road; the confirmation letter please refer to App 2.2. Detailed discusses please refer to S.2.3.7 to 2.3.8</p> <p>(iii) It is confirmed with TD the Tai Tong Road would be considered as a local distributor. Thus, the Tai Tong Road would be considered as LD and allow with 5m buffer distance. The confirmation letter please refer to App 2.2. Detailed discusses please refer to S.2.3.7 to 2.3.8</p>
<p>(e) Section 2.4.3 – Please revise “active recreational uses” in line 2 to “recreational uses in open space”.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>(f) Section 3.1.3 – Suggest to revise the whole section to “Both constructional and operational air quality impacts are reviewed in this qualitative assessment study”.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>(g) Section 3.1.4 – Please review “dust” to “air quality” in line 1.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>(h) Section 3.1.6 – The duplicated “fresh air intake” in line 2 shall be removed.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>(i) Figures 2.1 and 2.2 – Please show the project site boundary in the map with blue colour.</p>	<p>Noted. Please refer to Fig 2.2 and 2.3</p>
<p>(j) Figure 2.1 –</p>	

- (i) Please revise the separation distances of all ASRs in Figure 2.1 and Table 2.1 since the distance shall be measured from the closest project site boundary.
- (ii) ASR05 should be located to the southwest of the project site. Please check and revise the table since the directions for ASR05 to ASR07 are incorrect.
- (iii) Please review if those structures (as highlighted in green in the below figure) located to the northwest of the proposed residential tower are ASRs and supplement in Table 2.1, Section 2.2.11 and Figure 2.1 if they are ASRs.

- (i) Noted.
- (ii) Noted.
- (iii) Noted. ASR08 has been added.

