Section 12A Rezoning Application - Request for Amendment to the approved Lung Yeuk Tau and Kwan Tei

South Outline Zoning Plan No. S/NE-LYT/19 from "Residential (Group C)" Zone and "Agriculture" Zone to

"Residential (Group A) 2" Zone at Various Lots in D.D. 83 and Adjoining Government Land, Lung Yeuk Tau,

New Territories (Y/NE-LYT/16)

Table | 1

Response-to-Comments

Request for Amendment to the Approved Lung Yeuk Tau and Kwan Tei South Outline Zoning Plan No.S/NE-LYT/19 from "Residential (Group C)" Zone and "Agriculture" Zone to "Residential (Group A)2" Zone at Various Lots in D.D. 83 and Adjoining Government Land in D.D. 83, Lung Yeuk Tau, New Territories

### **Responses-to-Comments Table**

Date	Department	Comments	Responses
22.9.2023	Transport	Comments from TENT:	Please refer to Enclosure 3 for the revised Traffic Impact
	Department (TD)	Traffic Impact Assessment Report	Assessment.
		Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 –	
		Please further substantiate the trip rates adopted which are suitable	Further substantiations on the trip rates adopted are provided in
		and conservative for the proposed development. Please provide	Chapter 4 of the Revised TIA.
		supporting data for reference.	
		Comments from TONT:	Please refer to Enclosure 3 for the revised Traffic Impact
		Traffic Impact Assessment Report	Assessment.
		Para. 2.22, 2.23 and Table 2.6 –	The population data presented in Table 2.6 of the Revised TIA is
		Please advise if the population has taken into account all parameters	referenced to the latest "2019-based Territorial Population and
		of the planned housing developments in North District, especially the	Employment Data Matrix" for Northeast New Territories published by
		intensification of FLN and KTN NDA.	the Planning Department available on its website:
			https://www.pland.gov.hk/pland_en/resources/info_serv/statistic/tpe
			dm19.html
		Table 2.8 and Table 2.9 –	
		Ø Apart from presenting the overall situation. Please present	Table 2.8 and Table 2.9 have been revised to present the information
		the figure by route number so as to facilitate our review on the	by route number.
		availability of public transport services.	
		Ø Taking into account the latest government policy on	

promoting the use of electric / new energy buses, it is foreseeable by	In addition, the carrying capacity of double-decker bus is also revised
the completion time of the proposed development; the bus fleet would	to become 90 passengers per vehicle. Please refer to the Revised
be gradually replaced by these new buses, of which their carrying	TIA.
capacity is lower than the existing buses. Similar to other studies,	
the carrying capacity of a franchised bus should be 120 for	
assessment in the TIA in order to reflect the above-mentioned	
government policy. In addition, in accordance with relevant	
guidelines on service improvement in bus route planning programme,	
if the occupancy rate of any bus route reaches 75% during any one	
hour, frequency enhancement shall be considered. In this regard,	
the carrying capacity of 90 (i.e. 120 x 0.75) should be adopted in your	
assessment.	
Para. 2.28, 2.29, 4.22, Table 2.10 and Table 4.7–	
Please also seek comments from Railway Monitor Division of TD for	Noted.
railway service related matters.	
Table 4.3 –	
While we noted that PlanD's comments have been sought, it seems	It is opined that Table 4.3 of the Revised TIA included a
that some of the new developments are found missing in the list	comprehensive list of other new developments identified within the
concerned. For instance, Fanling Area 48, Tong Hang, etc., which	AOI and the surrounding area, e.g. the Fanling North NDA, and
we mentioned in the last round of comments, were not	various public housing developments (including Fanling Area 48) etc.
incorporated.	

Request for Amendment to the Approved Lung Yeuk Tau and Kwan Tei South Outline Zoning Plan No.S/NE-LYT/19 from "Residential (Group C)" Zone and "Agriculture" Zone to "Residential (Group A)2" Zone at Various Lots in D.D. 83 and Adjoining Government Land in D.D. 83, Lung Yeuk Tau, New Territories

#### Para. 4.17 to 4.25 and Table 4.7 -

Similar to other studies, instead of making use of the data from Population By-census 2016, you should make use of the latest mode share from Population By-census 2021 (Table B203 and C204) to conduct assessment in order to better reflect the latest situation. Suitable adjustments should be made by taking into account the geographical location of the proposed development, type of housing development, etc, when estimating the mode share of transport services. You should provide a detailed breakdown of each transport mode for our information and review.

The relevant estimation on mode of transport to be associated with by the Proposed Development have been updated to in reference with the latest Population By-census 2021 (Table B203 and C204), and adjusted to take into account geographical location of the Proposed Development, such as access to public transport services and other nearby developments etc. The detailed breakdown is presented in Paragraphs 4.21 to 4.22 of the Revised TIA.

#### Para. 4.19 to 4.21 and Table 4.8 -

We disagree with your conclusion in para. 4.20 that the existing local road-based public transport services from / to Fanling or Sheung Shui Station is sufficient. You should conduct further analysis regarding the passenger split to / from Fanling / Shung Shui based on the survey results of different feeder services, instead of simply comparing the overall surplus capacity and aggregated passenger demand arising from the proposed development. In addition, it is noted that the occupancy of existing services has already reached above 70%. As mentioned in the above comments, frequency enhancement or introduction of new service should be considered when the hourly occupancy rate of any bus route reaches 75%. Therefore, we disagree with your conclusion in this section.

A comprehensive plan on public transport services associated with the Proposed Development is included in Paragraphs 4.23 to 4.36 of the Revised TIA, which includes review on new feeder service to MTR Station, and enhancements to existing local and regional bus routes. Please refer to the Revised TIA for detail.

P	Para. 4.23 to 4.25 and Table 4.9 –	
S	Similar to the comments above, you should conduct further analysis	A comprehensive plan on public transport services associated with
re	egarding the passenger split to / from different destinations based	the Proposed Development is included in Paragraphs 4.23 to 4.36 of
O	on the survey results of different long-haul routes, instead of simply	the Revised TIA, which includes review on new feeder service to
Co	comparing the overall surplus capacity and aggregated passenger	MTR Station, and enhancements to existing local and regional bus
d	demand arising from the proposed development.	routes. Please refer to the Revised TIA for detail.
A	Addition comments to Para. 4.17 to 4.25 –	
Ir	nstead of simply reviewing the availability of existing PT services,	Chapter 4 of the Revised TIA is referred. The Proposed Development
ye	ou should also conduct analysis on the availability of PT facilities,	will provide a 26m long layby to serve as bus terminus for the new
s	such as spaces for bus pick-up/ drop-off, passenger queuing spaces,	feeder service to and from Kwu Tung MTR Station.
e	etc. According your preliminary estimation on passenger demand	
(t	based on 2016 Population-by-Census) which needs to be further	The analyses on the passenger queuing area of the proposed bus
re	evised to address our comments above, it is noted that there will be	terminus within the Proposed Development, and the existing bus
a	about 1,500 passengers during the peakiest one hour. Please	laybys at Sha Tau Kok Road considered in the Revised TIA, found
d	demonstrate how the existing passenger facilities could	that the new and existing facilities have capacity to cope with the
a	accommodate these 1,500 passengers, if no public transport	expected passenger demand associated with the Proposed
te	erminus will be provided in the boundary of the proposed	Development.
d	development.	
<u>s</u>	Supporting Planning Statement	
G	General comments	
-	The supporting planning statement should be further revised by	Noted.
ta	aking into account the above comments in the TIA Report.	

Date	Department	Comments	Responses
29.9.2023	Antiquities and	Section 5.11.1	
	Monuments Office	(1) Although there is a buffer distance of about 10m between SAI and	While it is reiterated that no building works or constructions of the
	(AMO)	the proposed development, please still provide the works details near	proposed development would be erected on the SAI, and the small
		the SAI, such as the construction of emergency vehicular access	portion of application site encroaching the SAI will remain as existing
		(EVA) and the triangular area between the EVA and Dao Yang Road;	public road without any additional building or construction works.
		and confirm there would be no disturbance to the SAI.	Please be advised that the 10m buffer distance between the SAI and
			the proposed development will be utilized for the EVA, landscape
			area, loading and unloading bay, and bicycle parking area as shown
			in the Master Layout Plan. The buffer area is already paved and
			currently used as maneuvering and planting area. Only minor
			furnishing works are required for constructing the proposed EVA,
			landscaping, parking and L/UL area. The construction works will
			ensure no disturbance to the adjacent SAI.
			Regarding the triangular area between the EVA and Dao Yang Road,
			four trees will be transplanted onto the area, and landscape treatment
			will be implemented. It is also confirmed that there would be no
			disturbance to the SAI.
			The applicant is committed to maintaining the encroached area to
			preserve its existing use. Furthermore, the applicant is willing to allow
			access to staff from the AMO at any time for investigation and

T		
		inspection purposes. Any necessary follow-up actions as determined
		by the AMO will be undertaken.
	Section 5.11.2	
	(2) Please cite the source of the "previous Archaeological Review	Noted. Please refer to <b>Enclosure 1</b> , section 5.11.
	Report" quoted in this section.	
	(3) Please provide a plan to show the assessment area and	Noted. Please see Illustration 7 for the assessment areas of the
	archaeological works conducted of the "previous Archaeological	archeological study.
	Review", the subject application site, and Queen's Hill SAI.	
	(4) The 2nd and 3rd sentences mentioned the "previous	As all the three stages of the aforesaid CEDD's archaeological review
	Archaeological Review Report" concluded the structures surrounding	have identified no archaeological material nor cultural layer, it is
	Queen's Hill SAI with no distinct architectural merits or values, and	believed that the archaeological potential within the study area is
	some of them have already been demolished, demonstrating that	small. Besides, considering the small portion of the application site
	their relatively low historical importance. Apart from the	encroaching the SAI and there will be no buildings
	architectural structures, please also supplement with an evaluation	works/constructions erected on the SAI, the proposed development
	on the archaeological impact to the SAI, if any, and recommend	is unlikely to damage valuable antiquities. Given the application site
	appropriate measure(s) with reference to the findings and	is located at the fringe of the previous assessment areas, it is
	recommendations of the previous archaeological review.	believed that the findings from the study are also applicable to the
		current application and the proposed development is considered
		unlikely to have significant impact on the SAI.
	(5) Please make reference to the archaeological report, "古物古蹟辦	According to the archaeological report conducted by AMO in 1999
	事處:《粉嶺皇后山考古調查報告》·2000年", and supplement the	for facilitating the drainage channel works at Ma Liu Shui Sun Tsuen,
	findings and suggestions for the area between Ma Liu Shui San	no archaeological relics were identified in the surveyed area. Hence,

Tsuen and Queen's Hill, which are within Queen's Hill SAI as the application site is close to the SAI.	no mitigation measure was suggested in the report. Another AMO's field survey in 2001 surrounding the Queen's Hill Camp also reflected that no archaeological material was found in the area. The survey suggested further archaeological investigation by future project proponent if potential development fall within the identified area.
Section 5.11.4 and Illustration 6-III  (6) Regarding the "areas / villages with archaeological potential", please clarify whether they are archaeological resources identified by the archaeological study/ survey. If negative, revise this section and the legend of the plan where appropriate.	Section 5.11.4 and Illustration 6-III are removed to avoid confusion.
Section 5.11.5  (7) Pursuant to the Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance (Section 11(1), Cap.53), "Any person who discovers, or knows of the discovery of an antiquity or supposed antiquity shall forthwith report the discovery to the Authority or to a designated person". The reporting of discovery of antiquities / supposed antiquities should not be confined to 50m of Queen's Hill SAI. Please revise.	Noted. The 50m buffer is referred to recommendations made in the Infrastructures for Housing Development at Queen's Hill – Feasibility Study, which suggested that AMO should be informed in case of discovery of antiquities or supposed antiquities in the course of excavation works in proposed works falling within 50 meters from the SAI boundary. Nonetheless, the applicant is willing to promptly report any discovery of antiquities or supposed antiquities to AMO during the whole span of the proposed development.

Date	Department	Comments	Responses
6.10.2023	Lands	For the 1st Further Information	
	Department	i. Given it aims at addressing comment from departments other	Noted.
	(LandsD)	than LandsD, this office has no particular comments.	
		For the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Further Information	
		ii. It is noted that the applicant proposed to provide a footpath with	Noted. The proposed pedestrian footpath with a minimum width of
		a minimum width of 1.6m for access to and from Lot No. 782 RP	1.6m for access to and from Lot No. 782 RP would be uninterrupted
		in D.D.83 ("the Access"). The Access should be uninterrupted	and free of charge at all times (please refer to Illustration 4), and
		and free of charge at all times. The alignment and width of the	detailed alignment and implementation conditions will be subject to
		Access should also be clearly delineated on the Master Layout	further liaison with Lands Department during the land exchange
		Plan. PlanD should impose suitable planning conditions for the	stage.
		implementation of the Master Layout Plan including the	
		provision, management, and maintenance of the Access.	
		iii. Please request the applicant to confirm whether a public	It is clarified that the existing public pedestrian access with a
		pedestrian and vehicular (including emergency vehicles)	minimum width of 1.6m would be maintained (Illustration 4 refers),
		access road(s) will be provided within the application site	the detailed alignment and implementation conditions will be subject
		connecting the existing local track, Hai Wing Road and Sha Tau	to further liaison with Lands Department during the land exchange
		Kok Road – Lung Yeuk Tau and advise its absolute or minimum	stage.
		width which should not derive the development rights of the	
		adjoining lots. The public access road(s) to be provided by the	
		applicant shall be uninterrupted and free of charge and its./their	
		alignment and width should be clearly delineated on the Master	

Request for Amendment to the Approved Lung Yeuk Tau and Kwan Tei South Outline Zoning Plan No.S/NE-LYT/19 from "Residential (Group C)" Zone and "Agriculture" Zone to "Residential (Group A)2" Zone at Various Lots in D.D. 83 and Adjoining Government Land in D.D. 83, Lung Yeuk Tau, New Territories

Further Information (4) Responses-to-Comments Table 22 November 2023

	Layout Plan. PlanD should impose suitable planning conditions
	for the implementation of the Master Layout Plan. PlanD should
	impose suitable planning conditions for the implementation of
	the Master Layout Plan including the provision, management,
	and maintenance of the public access road(s).

Date	Department	Comments	Responses
10.10.2023	Environmental	1. Please highlight the changes in next submission for ease of	Noted.
	Protection	checking.	
	Department	Environmental Assessment Report	Please refer to <b>Enclosure 4</b> for the revised Environment
	(EPD)		Assessment.
		Air Quality Perspective	
		2. Table 2-4	2. The SO2 and NO2 data is Table 2-4 has been switched.
		Please check all the data for SO2 and NO2.	
		3. Table 2-5	3. The 10-minute resolution SO2 concentration data is estimated
		Please check the data for 4th highest 10-min SO2 in both grids.	from the 1-hour resolution Path output by assuming a stability class
			of A and conversion factor of 2.45 (very unstable)
			in accordance to Guidelines on the Estimation of 10-minute
			Average SO2 Concentration for Air Quality Assessment in Hong
			Kong. The Table 2-5 has been revised.
		4. Section 2.4.8	4. Sha Tau Kok Road should be classified as primary distributer. A
		Please provide justifications (e.g. making reference to TPDM) for	buffer distant of 20m is adopted in Section 2.4.8.
		adopting the buffer distance requirement for local distributor for Sha	
		Tau Kok Road (Lung Yeuk Tau).	
			5. Temperature, humidity and wind data of Ta Kwu Ling Station has
		5. Section 2.4.12	been added.

	Discourse of the facility of the second of	
	Please supplement the details of the site visits, e.g. time,	
	temperature, route.	
	6. Sections 2.4.15 to 2.4.17	6. The design of the STP will not follow that guideline because its
	Please discuss whether the design of the sewage treatment plant will	serving population has exceeded 10,000. The removal efficiency is
	follow "Guidelines for the Design of Small Sewage Treatment Plants".	proposed to be 80%.
	Please also specify the odour removal efficiency of the deodourizing	
	unit.	
	7. Figure 2-2	7. Figure 2-2 has been revised.
	Please show the location of the ASRs in this figure in order to confirm	
	that no ASRs would fall within the buffer areas.	
	Water Quality Perspective	
	8. Section 4	
	Please indicate the water control zone that the Project falls within,	8. Water Quality Objectives for Deep Bay Water Control Zone Indus
	and supplement information of baseline water quality in the area with	Subzone has been added in Table 4-1.
	reference to EPD Annual Reports on e.g. river water quality.	
	9. Table 4-1	
	(a) Please provide the nearest approx. distance of the water sensitive	9. The distant has been added in Table 4-2. That watercourse should
	receivers (WSRs) to the Site.	be part of W5. To avoid confusion, it is now counted as another water
		sensitive receiver.

Government Land in D.D. 63, Lun	g reak rau, new remiones	
	(b) Please clarify whether the watercourse above W5 in Figure 4-1 is considered as WSR.	
	10. Sections 4.3.3 to 4.3.5  Please evaluate the water quality impacts associated with accidental spillage of chemicals during construction phase, and provide relevant mitigation measures as appropriate under Section 4.4.	10. Impact of accidental spilled chemicals is discussed in Section 4.3.6.
	11. Sections 4.3.6 to 4.3.8  Please evaluate the water quality impacts associated with surface run-off (including potential application of fertilisers and pesticides at the landscaping area indicated in Figure 3-1 of the SIA Report) during	11. Water quality impact related to surface runoff is described at Section 4.3.7. Corresponding mitigation measures is discussed at the last bullet point in Section 4.4.3.
	operation phase, and provide relevant mitigation measures as appropriate under Section 4.4.  12. Sections 4.3.6, 4.3.7, 4.4.4 and 4.5.3	12. These sections has been deleted as they are no longer relevant.
	With reference to Section 2.1.1 of the SIA Report, please review these sections concerning connections to public foul sewers/public sewerage/municipal sewerage system, given that there is no available capacity in the existing sewerage system to cope with the sewage generated from the proposed development.	
	13. Section 4.4.2	13. Section 4.4.2 has been revised as suggested.

Please consider to revise as "by a special licensed contractor."	
Waste Management and Land Contamination Perspective	
14. Response-to-Comment (15) – Section 5.1	14. These guidelines has been added in Section 5.1.1.
The previous comments have not been duly addressed. The	
Consultant is advised to review and incorporate all relevant	
Ordinances, Regulations, Guidelines, and Technical Circulars on	
waste management for this Project. For instance, (i) WBTC No. 2/93	
Public Dumps; (ii) WBTC No. 2 /93B Public Filling Facilities; (iii)	
WBTC No.12/2000 Fill Management; and (iv) Hong Kong Planning	
Standards and Guidelines (2021).	
15. Section 5.3.1	15. Updated as suggested in Section 5.3.1.
Asbestos-containing materials (ACM) shall be considered a potential	
waste type during the construction phase. Please review and update	
as appropriate.	
16. Section 5.3.3	16. Re profiling refers to demolition and site formation. Section 5.3.3
(a) Please clarify the meaning and extent of re-profiling works.	has been revised to removing the confusing "re-profiling" wording.
(b) The Consultant is advised to review whether soil excavation shall	
be considered the major source of inert C&D materials instead.	
	17. USEPA estimation method does not provide the estimation on
17. Section 5.3.4	waste volume.

Please provide the estimated waste volume generated from building demolition.

- 18. Table 5-1
- (a) Footnote [3] states that the GFA of existing buildings is estimated on the topographical maps. The Consultant shall graphically present the locations of these buildings and provide the site area, floor height, and number of floors for further review and vetting.
- (b) Please clarify whether footnote [2] represents the approximate generation rates for non-residential buildings instead of residential ones.
- (c) Please clearly define the conversion values "0.4536kg/lb" and "10.76ft²/m²" in footnotes [1] and [2].
- (d) Please tally the decimal place and presentation format under the column of "Waste Quantity".
- 19. Section 5.3
- (a) For clarity and consistency, the Consultant is advised to present both the waste volume (in m³) and quantity (in tonnes) for each type of waste and material.

18. The information will be provided once available. At current stage, the planning applicant does not own the whole site area, the detailed information about the existing building on site is currently inaccessible. Besides, the warehouses can be confirmed to be single story. Footnote 2 has been revised. The conversion factor converts imperial units to international units. The last column has been revised.

19. The elaboration on excavated soil estimation is provided in Section 5.3.12 and table 5-2.

(b) The Consultant shall briefly elaborate on the estimation of inert C&D materials generated from soil excavation.

These are conclusion. The mitigation measures are provided in section 5.3.15, 5.3.16, 5.3.23, an 5.3.24, 5.3.32, and 5.3.33.

(c) The Consultant shall note that, without the recommendation of good site practices and mitigation measures, identification and evaluation of waste management implications could not conclude that no adverse waste impacts

from the handling, transportation, and disposal of wastes/material. Please review and update Sections 5.3.16, 5.3.24, 5.3.30 and 5.3.35 as appropriate.

The title has been revised.

(d) Please revise the sub-title "Other Chemical Waste" to "Chemical Waste".

The waste from STP is discussed in Section 5.3.13. The property management team will register as chemical waste producer.

(e) The Consultant shall clarify whether chemical waste is anticipated during the operation phase. Subject to operational needs, if chemical waste is to be produced, the property management company should register with EPD as a chemical waste producer as appropriate in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation.

Yes. There will be chemical and sludge. Subsequent mitigation measures will be applied. Please refer to the revised EA.

(f) According to Section 1.3, a sewage treatment plant will be constructed within the Project Site. Please review whether chemicals

Government	Land in D.D. 83, Lun	g Yeuk Tau, New Territories	
		will be applied and wastewater sludge will be generated during the	
		operation phase.	
		20. Section 5.3.6	20. It is presented in Appendix 2.
		(a) Appendix 1 of the Supporting Planning Statement was not	
		enclosed in this submission. Please supplement all relevant	
		information in the subsequent submission.	
		(b) Please graphically indicate the site areas that require deep	
		excavation and provide the vertical extent of the soil excavation	
		works.	
		21. Response-to-Comment (18) - Section 5.3.8 and Appendix F	21.(a) The waste index of government housing index has been
		(a) Inconsistency in the waste index for "Government Housing	changed to 0.175m3/m2 GFA to align with Appendix F.
		Projects" is spotted between Section 5.3.8 and Appendix F, please	
		review and update as appropriate.	
		(b) According to page 4 of Appendix F, it is stated that the generation	(b) the range of 0.125m <sup>3</sup> -0.175m <sup>3</sup> seems to be current the updated
		rate of construction waste is in the range of 0.125m³ to 0.175m³.	one.
		Nevertheless, among the three building categories, the smallest	
		waste index equals to 0.175m <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> , please clarify whether there are	
		other waste indexes available from the reference source that could	
		more representatively define the generation rate for non-domestic	
		uses and sewage treatment plant as stated in Section 1.3.	

- 22. Response-to-Comment (19) Sections 5.3.10, 5.3.19 and 5.3.20
- (a) The Consultant shall note that (i) some of the construction activities would generate more inert C&D materials / non-inert C&D materials; and (ii) some inert C&D materials were re-used in the same development project or disposed of at sorting facilities (excluded in the preparation of waste statistics). The current practice (i.e., assuming 93% of construction wastes are inert C&D materials) may not accurately reflect the corresponding portions of inert / non-inert C&D materials generated from infrastructural works. The Consultant shall review whether the estimation approach would lead to overestimation or
- (b) The Consultant shall clarify whether inert C&D materials that were re-used on-site are covered in the waste statistics compilation in Plate 2.12 of Monitoring of Solid Waste in Hong Kong Waste Statistics for 2021.
- 23. Response-to-Comment (20) Section 5.3.11

underestimation, and update as appropriate.

Please clarify whether the GFA for the basement levels (i.e., 3 storeys in total) and the sewage treatment plant has been incorporated in the calculation.

24. Section 5.3.12

22. Noted. The 93% used in the section is the most updated number from the government reporting. However, if there is any further updated information before the construction stage, an updated figure should be systematically applied by the project team for better accuracy.

(b) Yes.

23. They are excluded from the GFA calculation.

24. Section 5.3.12 has been revised.

Please revise the paragraph as follows:

"The total estimated inert C&D material generated during construction is summarized in Table 5-2: Total Estimated Inert C&D Materials Generated During Construction."

- 25. Response-to-Comment (21) Table 5-2
- (a) The footnote is confusing, please clarify whether it refers to the assumed density of waste material generated from building demolition, paving, soil excavation, and building waste instead.
- (b) According to the previously approved EIA Report of Hong Kong Zhuhai Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities (AEIAR-145/2019), different soil and rock materials densities were adopted. Applying 1.8 tonnes/m³ as a universal conversion factor in this Project may not be appropriate. The Consultant is advised to review and update the figures in this chapter as appropriate.

26. Section 5.3.13

The first sentence of Section 5.3.13 "As such, the inert C&D materials may be generated from the site clearance and formation works which is expected to last for about 6 months" is confusing and incomplete, please review and update the paragraph as appropriate.

25. The density of 1.8 tonne/cubic is not used as an universal conversion factor. However, it is the most updated factor that can be tentatively applied.

26. This sentence has been rewritten.

Request for Amendment to the Approved Lung Yeuk Tau and Kwan Tei South Outline Zoning Plan No.S/NE-LYT/19 from "Residential (Group C)" Zone and "Agriculture" Zone to "Residential (Group A)2" Zone at Various Lots in D.D. 83 and Adjoining Government Land in D.D. 83, Lung Yeuk Tau, New Territories

- 27. Response-to-Comment (22) Section 5.3.14
- (a) Please briefly elaborate on the rationale for the 10% recovery rate assumption for inert C&D materials.
- (b) Please clarify the difference between (i) off-site reuse/recycle of inert C&D materials and (ii) disposal at designated Public Fill Reception Facilities, and briefly elaborate on the arrangement for off-site reuse/recycling.
- (c) Previous comment has not been duly addressed. To assess the impacts on the capacity of waste collection, transfer, and disposal facilities, the Consultant shall review the transportation arrangement for disposal of inert C&D materials, such as the maximum number of dump trucks required daily.
- 28. Response-to-Comment (21) Section 5.3.15
- (a) Please refer to the latest edition of CEDD's Project Administrative Handbook for Civil Engineering Works.
- (b) Please specify the definition of "C&DMMP".
- 29. Response-to-Comment (23) Section 5.3.16

The Consultant is advised to individually elaborate on the appropriate design, general layout, construction methods, and programme considered in this Project for minimizing the generation of public fill/inert C&D materials and maximizing the use of public fill/inert C&D materials for other construction works.

- 27. (a) Actually, 10% recovery rate represents the target of project team to be achieved. All strategies including flexible construction methodology, waste sorting and management plan should be detailed and consolidated in the environmental management plan at the construction stage.
- (b) the off-site reuse and recycling means reuse and recycle in other projects. The disposal at designated Public Fill Reception Facilities is the arrangement at the last resort after exploring off-site reuse/recycling (in other projects).

The transportation arrangement based on the maximum number of dump truck required daily has been provided in the revised Section 5.3.15.

- 28. The sentence in Section 5.3.13 has been revised. Justification is given in Section 5.3.18. 28. The PAH edition has been changed to 5.3.15, the definition of C&DMMP is Construction and Demolition Materials Management Plan, this has been added to Section 5.3.15.
- 29. In addition to waste mitigation measures proposed in the report, all strategies in terms of construction method, general layout, on site sorting etc., should be provided in detail in the project environmental management plan in the project team in the construction stage.

20	Section	·~ E	ຳ າ	10
งบ.	Secu	มเว		ıο

- (a) The Consultant shall graphically present the location and extent of the singlestorey temporary structures and provide the site area for further review and vetting.
- (b) Please review whether adopting the same generation rate for buildings in different materials (i.e., concrete and metal plates) is appropriate.
- 31. Response-to-Comment (24) Section 5.3.21

The previously approved EIA Report of Hong Kong - Zhuhai - Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities (AEIAR-145/2019) does not state that the density of 1.0 tonnes/m³ was assumed in the assessment and evaluation. The Consultant is advised to provide accurate reference sources and review the entire submission thoroughly.

#### 32. Section 5.3.22

Given that the Project site is predominantly rural with ground trees and vegetation, a significant amount of non-inert C&D materials, such as timber and woody materials, are anticipated during site clearance. The Consultant is advised to review whether such materials will be sent to the Yard Waste Recycling Centre in Y-Park for recycling prior to disposal at the designated landfill site.

- 30 (a) The temporary structures are still under review and will be completed by the project team before construction.
- (b) Yes. It is appropriate.

31. The 1.0 tonne/m3 density is assumed from the common density range of organic. Section 5.3.18 and 5.3.22 has been revised accordingly.

32. The site is covered with little vegetation. Suggestion about recycling on Y-Park has been added to Section 5.3.23.

22	Section	5	2	23
	Section	:)	. 7	. 🗸 🤄

- (a) Please briefly elaborate on the rationale for no more than 10% recovery rate assumption for non-inert C&D materials.
- (b) Please briefly elaborate on the arrangement for on-site and offsite re-use/recycling of non-inert C&D materials.
- (c) To assess the impacts on the capacity of waste collection, transfer, and disposal facilities, the Consultant shall review the transportation arrangement for the disposal of no-inert C&D materials, such as the maximum number of dump trucks required daily.

- 34. Response-to-Comment (26) Sections 5.3.25 and 5.3.27 General refuse from the construction workforce is incomparable to domestic waste generated from residential buildings, please consider removing Section 5.3.25 and the first sentence of Section 5.3.27.
- 35. Response-to-Comment (24) Section 5.3.27

  The previous comment has not been duly addressed. Please clearly state the reference source for the assumption of the 1.0 tonne/m³ conversion value.

- 33. (a) Actually, 10% recovery rate represents the target of project team to be achieved. All strategies including flexible construction methodology, waste sorting and management plan should be detailed and consolidated in the environmental management plan at the construction stage.
- (b) The arrangement for on-site and off-site re-use/recycling of non-inert C&D materials will detailed in Project Environmental Management Plan in terms of waste sorting, waste reduction form construction methodology, reuse on site for back filing, recycling, or reuse in other projects(off site).
- (c) As mentioned above, the transportation arrangement is given in section 5.3.15.
- 34. These sentences have been removed.

35. This has been clarified at section 5.3.18.

36. Response-to-Comment (27) - Section 5.3.29	36. Food waste generated by construction worker on site is
Please clarify whether food waste shall be considered as recyclables.	expected to be at extremely small amount and can be neglected.
If affirmative, please incorporate it into the second sentence.	Recycling is not feasible.
37. Section 5.3.30	37. Noted. The text has been removed in Section 5.3.29.
Please remove "non-inert C&D materials", which is irrelevant in this	
sub-section.	
38. Section 5.3.32	38. Section 5.3.31 has been revised.
The first sentence, "The RAC shall request the RAC to conduct a	
visual inspection upon the completion of asbestos removal for each	
working area identified in the AAP" is confusing, please review and	
update as appropriate.	
39. Response-to-Comment (28) - Section 5.3.34	39. It is not relevant for this study.
The previous comment has not been duly addressed. Please clarify	
whether the "Code of Practices and Guidelines for Asbestos Control	
and Handling" is relevant to this Study. If affirmative, please	
appropriately incorporate it into the relevant part of the	
submission.	
40. Response-to-Comment (29) - Section 5.3.36	40. Section 5.3.35 has been revised by specifying contractor to
	register as chemical waste producer.

- (a) According to Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation (Cap.354), any person who produces or causes to be produced chemical waste is required to register with EPD. Please specify that the applicant or its contractor will duly follow the requirement and register with EPD as a chemical waste producer.
- (b) The requirement for chemical waste disposal shall follow the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. The Consultant shall review and update the last sentence as appropriate.
- 41. Section 5.3.38

Please revise the paragraph as follows: "Based on the above assessments, Table 5-3: Summary of Waste Generation and Management Options During Construction summarises the generation of waste during the construction phase and identifies the appropriate management options for treatment and disposal of each waste type."

- 42. Table 5-3
- (a) Please update the figures in Table 5-3 per the above-mentioned comments.

41. Section 5.3.37 has been revised.

42. Table 5-3 has been revised as suggested.

- (b) Please revise the last column of the row of "Inert C&D Materials" as follows: "Residual Inert C&D Materials Waste to Public Fill Reception Facilities"
- (c) Please revise the last column of the row of "Non-inert C&D Materials" as follows: "Residual Non-inert C&D Materials Waste to Public Fill Reception Facilities"
- 43. Section 5.3.40

Please review the relevance of asbestos-containing materials in this paragraph.

44. Response-to-Comment (31) - Section 5.3.42

The first sentence, "As advised by Project Applicant, the estimated maximum number of residents of the Domestic (Flat) is 3,305 and it is estimated to accommodate a residential population of 9,915 persons" is confusing, please review whether the 3,305 represents the maximum number of residential flats instead.

45. Section 5.3.45

Please review whether the collection of domestic waste by private waste collectors and disposal at landfill could conclude that no adverse waste impacts are anticipated from handling, transportation, or disposal during the operation phase. The Consultant is advised to

- 43. Asbestos-containing materials is relevant in Section 5.3.39 because this is a summary paragraph, And asbestos-containing materials has been discussed in Section 5.3.30 to 5.3.33.
- 44. Sentence has been revised by deleting "of residents" in section 5.3.42.

45. Revise the sentence by mentioning the waste segregation in section 5.3.44.

review and update the first sentence "Since domestic waste will be collected on a regular basis by private waste collectors, and since domestic waste will be disposed at a landfill managed by EPD, no adverse waste impacts from handling, transportation or disposal are anticipated." as appropriate.

46. Section 5.4.5

Please clarify the meaning of "recyclable construction materials" and consider using "inert C&D materials" instead.

47. Response-to-Comment (27) - Section 5.4.8

The Consultant is reminded not to copy the comment directly to the submission and is advised to review the submission thoroughly. Please update the paragraph "In addition, food waste is the main source of generating unpleasant odour and causing environmental hygiene concerns. Please consider to separate the food waste from other waste to facilitate the recycling of food waste on-site or off-site. Recycling bins should be placed in prominent places to promote waste separation at-source" as appropriate.

- 48. Response-to-Comment (27) Section 5.4.9
- (a) The first sentence needs to be completed and clarified, please review and update as appropriate. "For chemical waste, the

46. The wording has been changed in section 5.4.5.

47. Section 5.4.8 has been revised.

48. Section 5.4.9 has been revised.

Contractor should follow the "trip-ticket" system of which the arrangement of production, collection and disposal in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation".

(b) The Consultant is reminded not to simply copy the comment and is advised to elaborate on the second paragraph as appropriate.

49. Sections 5.5.2 and 7.1.17

The paragraph is incomplete and confusing, please clarify the difference between "these kinds of waste" and "domestic waste". "During the operation phase, the major type of waste generated will be domestic wastes generated from residents of the Proposed Development. Since these kinds of waste will be collected on a regular basis by waste collectors and will be disposed of at landfill, and domestic waste will be collected on a regular basis by FEHD or licenced collector, and will be disposed at a landfill managed by EPD, no adverse waste impacts from handling, transportation or disposal are anticipated during operation"

50. Section 6

The Consultant shall incorporate an additional sub-section (after the existing Section 6.2) for describing the environment of the Project Site and further supplement with the information of land use in the vicinity. Please make reference to Section 2 of the previously

49. Section 5.5.2 and 7.1.17 has been revised by replacing "these kinds of waste" with "domestic waste".

50. The description has been added to section 6.3.1.

Request for Amendment to the Approved Lung Yeuk Tau and Kwan Tei South Outline Zoning Plan No.S/NE-LYT/19 from "Residential (Group C)" Zone and "Agriculture" Zone to "Residential (Group A)2" Zone at Various Lots in D.D. 83 and Adjoining Government Land in D.D. 83, Lung Yeuk Tau, New Territories

submitted Planning Statement describes the Project Site: "The application is a flat land, being occupied for the use of workshop, storage and warehouses. The northern portion of the application site is currently occupied by one permanent domestic structure, some temporary structures for open storage yards, storage of construction materials and workshops, open carparks and vacant land with little vegetation cover. The southern portion of the application site is currently occupied by the Applicant using as warehouse purposes. There is a total of 4 warehouses currently in operation. Overall, the application site is featured by warehouses and brownfield undertakings and observed with little vegetation cover".

51. Response-to-Comment (38) - Table 6-1

One reference number of historical aerial photos is missing for the period between Year 2013 and Year 2022, please review and update as appropriate.

52. Appendix G

Figures G-4 to G-8 are distorted, please review and update as appropriate.

51. The reference number (E093906C) has been added for aerial photo in Year 2020 in Table 6-1.

52. All the figure in Appendix G are directly cut from the Aerial Photos downloaded from Hong Kong Map Service. The look the same like the original photos. (Left is G-6, right is from CW102122)

Request for Amendment to the Approved Lung Yeuk Tau and Kwan Tei South Outline Zoning Plan No.S/NE-LYT/19 from "Residential (Group C)" Zone and "Agriculture" Zone to "Residential (Group A)2" Zone at Various Lots in D.D. 83 and Adjoining Government Land in D.D. 83, Lung Yeuk Tau, New Territories

53. Response-to-Comment (39) - Section 6.3.2

Please clarify whether the applicant is the land owner or previous occupier of the entire Project Site.

54. Section 6.3.3

In addition to the potential open area storage and vehicle maintenance workshop, please clarify whether the suspected warehouses are anticipated.

- 55. Response-to-Comment (39) Appendix H
- (a) Photos taken in the site walkover did not show the site conditions and locations with potential land contamination concerns. According to Section 6.3.4, site walkover identified warehouses, open storage yards, storage of

construction materials and equipment, and vehicle maintenance workshops as potential land contamination sources. The Consultant is advised to supplement photos showing the aforementioned operation and activities.

(b) Photographs are mostly in low quality and blurry, please supplement with photos with better resolution in the subsequent submission.





53. The ownership of the site area is described in Section 6.3.2.

54. What stored is construction materials. That should not cause land contamination. Discussed in Section 6.4.3.

55. More photos are added to Appendix H. The ownership of the site is described in Section 6.3.2.

- (c) Please clarify whether the Project Site is entirely owned by Carlton Woodcraft Manufacturing Ltd. If not, the Consultant shall clarify whether other site owners have been approached and graphically and individually present sites in different natures and owners.
- (d) It is noted that lubricating oil is used regularly for PME maintenance; please graphically indicate the storage location and supplement site photos for evaluating the site conditions and existence of hotspots such as oil stains and potential spillage and leakage of chemicals.
- (e) According to the site walkover checklist, chemical waste is regularly generated from Carlton Woodcraft Manufacturing Ltd, please review and clarify whether they have registered with the EPD as a chemical waste producer. If affirmative, please seek clarification with the relevant parties of EPD on the registry of chemical waste producers (as mentioned in Section 6.3.6.).

56. Section 6.3.4

Forklifts and crawler cranes were identified outside the warehouse, of which loading, unloading, and storage of goods, fuel storage, and transfer and maintenance of equipment and vehicles may be considered potential land contamination sources. The Consultant is advised to elaborate on the site conditions near these locations. Please also tabulate and graphically indicate the site areas and locations of these potential land contamination sources, respectively,

Based on our research, we did not find any record demonstrating that Carlton Woodcraft Manufacturing Ltd has been registered as chemical waste producer.

56. Such detailed description and investigation should be prepared in the later Contamination Assessment Plan.

Government	Land in D.D. 83, Lung	y Yeuk Tau, New Territories	
		so as to evaluate the extent and sampling arrangement for the site	
		investigation works in the subsequent stage.	
		57. Section 6.3.5	57. Section 6.4.5 has been revised.
		Please consider removing the 5th to 9th sentences, which are	
		duplicated with Section 6.4.1.	
		58. Section 6.3.6	58. The sub-heading has been modified as requested.
		(a) Please revise the sub-heading of Section 6.3.6 as "Review of	Based on our background research on this project area, there is no
		Information from Relevant Government Departments".	chemical spillage, incident, accidental chemical issues. Also, we did
		(b) Please note that the Regional Office (North) of EPD was not	receive the confirmation from EPD and FSD of chemical incident.
		responsible for the incident records of accidental spillage and	(c) The sentence has been revised.
		leakage of dangerous goods.	
		(c) Please revise "accident spillage / leakage of dangerous or	
		chemical" as "accidental accident-spillage / leakage of dangerous or	
		chemical".	
		(d) No record of valid/invalid chemical waste producers was found at	(d) Based on our research, we did not find any record
		the Project Site according to the register of chemical waste	demonstrating that Carlton Woodcraft Manufacturing Ltd has been
		producers, which contradicts the questionnaire taken in the site	registered as chemical waste producer.
		walkover checklist. Please clarify whether Carlton Woodcraft	
		Manufacturing Ltd has registered as a chemical waste producer with	
		the EPD.	

59. Response-to-Comment (41) - Sections 6.4.1 and 7.1.19 59. Land use change and additional hotspot is not expected. Further According to the latest programme, the land clearance and investigation will be carried. resumption works for the Project Site are expected to commence in 2026. Please specify that there could be land use changes / additional hotspots within the Project Site prior to the development. Given the accessibility issues and the potential land use changes / additional hotspots, further site appraisal should be carried out within the whole Project Site prior to site clearance, and when site access is available, confirm/update the land uses / activities and identify the presence of any additional potential contamination sources. Intrusive SI works and, if necessary, remediation works would need to be carried out on the identified potentially contaminated sites. 60. Response-to-Comment (39) - Section 6.3.4 60. Described in section 6.4.4, the periphery of the project site can The previous comment has not been duly addressed. The Consultant very unlikely cause off site contamination. shall review whether there are potential land contamination activities on the periphery of the Project Site that may cause off-site contamination and determine if there may be potential migration of pollutants. Shun Cheong Electrical Products Factory Ltd. was identified adjacent to the northern part of the Project Site, which could be involved in potential contaminating activities. Noise Perspective

61. Fixed noise section, Section 1.3.2	61. The noise from STP discussed in Section 3.4.8. It will not be
It was noted from Section 1.3.2 that one sewage treatment plant	considered because it is confined indoor.
would be involved in the proposed development. Please review the	
noise impact of this planned noise source to the existing / nearby	
NSRs.	
	62. Description on the representative sensitive receiver has been
62. Planned fixed noise source impact	added in Section 3.4.12.
Please describe the representative NSRs chosen for estimating the	
maximum SWLs. There is no information to indicate whether it is	
planned NSR / existing NSR.	
63. Section 3.4.2	63.No tonality characteristics is found.
Could the site visit confirm if any tonality characteristics of the all	
noise sources.	
64. Section 3.4.13	64. Has been added in 3.4.13.
To further reduce the noise nuisance to the residents, please	
consider to add "the residual noise impact shall also be subject to the	
practicable noise mitigation measures", and "the openings of noise	
sources shall be oriented away from the nearby NSRs".	
65. Traffic noise part	65. Added a Section 3.5.4 to discuss the introduced traffic flow.
	Quantitive assessment has been conducted by taking the increased
	Sha Tau Kok Road into NoiseMap model input.

	-
Please also assess if the induced traffic noise impact from the	
proposed development. Please consider to take qualitative approach	
if appropriate.	66. Typo in Table 3-4 has been revised.
66. Section 3.2.9, Table 3-4	
The location name of the two background noise measurement	
locations are different from each other. Please clarify.	67. "guideline" has been changed to "HKPSG" in section 3.4.10.
67. Section 3.4.9	
Please consider to revise guidelines as "HKPSG".	68. All comments for NoiseMap model has been addressed.
68. Please address our comments on Noise Model shown in separate	The unmatching between the assigned flow and the road segment is
document.	caused by the missing of one section of Lung Ma Road in the original
	submitted scheme.
	In the new model, the missing section has been added, and now the
	road segment should align with the traffic forecast link id. L001 and
	L002, L007 and L008 are merged as one section because of their
	same traffic flow. The traffic flow of the merged section will be the
	summation of two sections.
	Segment 7,8, and 15,16 are now drawn as 7m two way road in the
	scheme. The width of 1,2 can be confirmed to be only 3.5 m
	according to the basemap.

Sewerage Impact Assessment Report	Please refer to <b>Enclosure 5</b> for the revised Sewerage Impact
	Assessment.
69. Section 2.1.1	
Please consider to revise as "Nevertheless, it is known that there is	69. Sentence has been revised.
no available capacity in the existing sewerage system"	
70. Section 2.1.2	70. The paragraph mentioned about sewage discharge to existing
With reference to Section 2.1.1, please review the paragraph given	sewerage system has been deleted.
that there is no available capacity in the existing sewerage system to	
cope with the sewage generated from the proposed development.	
71. Table 3-1, Sections 3.2.3 and 3.2.5	
It is suggested to adopt the peaking factor of the proposed STP	71. The peaking factor of STP is now adopted as 4 in reference to
based on GESF. Please update if applicable.	GESF.
72. Table 3-1	
(a) Please advise whether the staff of clubhouse has been included	72. Sewage generated from clubhouse staff has been estimated. The
in the calculation.	proposed clubhouse will not contain restaurant. The sewage from
(b) Please advise whether there are restaurants and shower facilities	shower heads has been calculated and included in the sewage
in the clubhouse. If affirmative, please include in the calculation.	generated from swimming pool. The revised calculation can be found
	at Table 3-1 and Appendix A.
73. Section 3.2.3	
(a) Please advise how the design capacity of the proposed STP of	73. As the peaking factor has been modified from 2 to 4, the design
3,500m³ /day is arrived at. Please explain why a peaking factor of 2	capacity has been doubled from 3500 cubic meter/day to 7000 cubic
	meter/day. All sections mention about STP capacity, 3.2.3, 3.2.5 and

instead of the peaking factors mentioned in Table 3-1 is adopted. Please also review 1st bullet of Sections 3.2.5 and 4.1.2 accordingly.

(b) It was previously advised that on-site tertiary treatment plant is suggested if no public sewerage system will be available. In addition, please include the

treatment technology e.g. membrane bioreactor (MBR) technology with ultra-filtration.

74. Section 3.2.4

Please replace Table 3-2 with the following (with revisions to the footnote as appropriate):

Table 2. WPCO licence standards for private tertiary STW (for discharge into Deep Bay) (6)

Parameter	Unit	Tertiary Effluent Standards (Upper Limit)
BOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/L	10
TSS	mg/L	10
TN	mg/L	20
TP	mg/L	2
Ammonia-N (2)	mg/L	5
E. coli	count/100mL	100 (5)

#### Notes:

- (1) Discharge licence issued under the Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO) (Cap. 358)
- (2) Ammonia-N standard is applicable for discharge to be made into inland waters of Deep Bay.
- (3) For specific case where the STW receives influent stream of high TN load (e.g. pre-treated landfill leachate), TN standard of ≤ 15 mg/L should be adopted.
- (4) Monthly geometric mean.
- (5) E. coli standards are set based on the WPCO TM and receiving water body.
- (6) The effluent standards listed in Table 1 and Table 2 are specifically for reference to setting WPCO Licence conditions for discharges to be made into Group D inland waters and coastal waters (specified in the WPCO TM) of Deep Bay. Depending on the water body receiving the discharge, the more stringent set of the effluent standards (those listed in this annex or the WPCO TM) should be adopted as appropriate.

4.1.2, has been revised accordingly. Tertiary treatment plant adopting MBR and ultrafiltration is proposed in section 3.2.4.

74. The discharge standard in Table 3-2 has been updated.

	75. Section 3.2.5	75. Section 3.2.5 has been revised by adding the suggested
	Please consider to add "Installation of flow measurement and level	provisions as the last bullet point.
	sensors connected with alarm signalizing system to keep monitoring	
	on inflow rate to avoid sewage overflow" as one of the additional	
	provisions for emergency discharge.	
	76. Section 4.1.3	76. Section 4.1.3 has been revised.
	Please consider to revise as " there will be no unacceptable	
	sewerage impact is anticipated".	

Date	Department	Comments	Responses
7.11.2023	Drainage	On Revised Sewerage Impact Assessment Report	
	Services	Please note that we have no further comments on the revised SIA	Noted.
	Department	report.	
	(DSD)	On Revised Project Profile of Drainage (considered as Drainage	Comment from DSD has been addressed in the revised DIA (see
	Contact	Impact Assessment Report)	Enclosure 6). All the updated content has been highlighted in yellow.
	Person: Keith		
	LIU, E/N1 of DSD,	1. Figure 3-1: When reviewing the existing topography, sub-	The runoff from U3 and U4 has taken in account for the hydraulic
	Tel: 2300 1595	catchments U3 and U4 appear to be flowing towards Sha Tau Kok	performance of the existing and proposed surface channel at Sha
		Road - Lung Yuek Tau instead of due south as suggested in the	Tau Kok Road.
		figure. Please substantiate with available survey data or any	
		identified existing drainage available on site. Otherwise, please	
		consider including the runoff of both sub-catchments in the hydraulic	
		performance check as appropriate.	
		2. Para. 4.3.2: Your attention is drawn to Section 6.8 of the	Climate change effect of 16% rainfall increase has been adopted in
		Stormwater Drainage Manual Corrigendum No. 1/2022 which reads,	calculation.
		"In general, drainage provision in new development areas should	
		consider the climate change effects up to end of 21st century plus	
		design allowance". Please consider the respective design scenario	
		pursuant to the relevant standard for your hydraulic check.	