

19/20A

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S448

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F301

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHUI Fuk YAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/24A

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S449

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F302

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: KWOK MEI PO, MABEL

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/12/24

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S450

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F303

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHUI SIN YU

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S451

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F304

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LINDA - NURYANI

(circle one) HKID // Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S452

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F305

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: FUNG King Yee

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S453

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F306

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: Dec 29, 2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Ng Wai Pik

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

~~Email~~ / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/5A

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S454

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F307

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Burt Stephen Richmond

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S455

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F308

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 31/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: HE SHU HWA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/22A

30.12.2024

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S456

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F309


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: JOSEPHINE BRILLANTES

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/27A

30.12.2024

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S457

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F310


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: WONG JASMINE

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/22A

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F311

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S458

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHRIS WONG

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S459

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F312

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: *tpbpd@pland.gov.hk*

Date: *30/12/2024*


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: SUNSHINE GRACE O. TIANZON



(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S460

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F313

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: *tpbpd@pland.gov.hk*

Date: *30/12/2024*

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

22/24B

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LAM Ju Oi CHU 林竹愛珠

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S461

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F314

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: ~~MA WA MA ANITA~~ *Cathy Tang* 

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S462

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F315

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31 December 2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LAI CHAM KWAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S479

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F316

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/24.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S480

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F317

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

~~A (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.~~

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: WAN CHAO KUAN CHI HELEN MARIA .

(circle one) HKID / ~~Passport~~:



Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to [15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.](#)



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S481

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F318

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation, that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: OPHUIA CHONG

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S482

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F319

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 28/12/24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Priyanka

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5483

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F320

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22


To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/24 .

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: _____



(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S485

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F321

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Soo Ying Pui

(circle one) HKID / Passport: ✓

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5487

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F322

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: *Ricardo Trigu*

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S488

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F323

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Brian Chan

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S489

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F324

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: ANDRENOV DE VERA

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S490

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F325

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Pramu

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S491

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F326

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/24.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: ESLA KNOWLES

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S493

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F327

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30 Dec, 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: NORMAN MELGAR

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/E North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S494

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F328

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: FLORE DE LUNA ADRIATICO

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S495

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F329

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Mavis E. Tieman

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S496

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F330

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Sulasteri

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S497

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F331

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Ms. Citan Fanny LAI PING

(circle one) HKID / Pass~~port~~:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S498

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F332

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Shuvy Encargues

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S499

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F333

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Wilson T. Cadiz

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S500

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F334

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/22

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE SANG KYO

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S504

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F335

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LONG DEU CHU

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S505


Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F336

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.



(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Yip Mo Tong

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.


Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S506

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F337

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024



(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Fung Kim Man

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S507

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F338

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK.\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: MARY GRACE ALLEN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S508

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F339

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: TORLAD ARNOLD CARNAVAL

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S509

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F340

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SUNARSIH

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S510

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F341

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: Dec 27, 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.


22/16

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LUNG WAN YIN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S511

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F342

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 27/12/2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

22/16A

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: MA ADRIAN WAI

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S512

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F343

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: DEC 27, 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

22/16A

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: MA WALFU

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5513

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F344

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

#6/61

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: QUIACHON, MARIA ANNA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S514

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F345

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

28/12/24

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SEE, GEOK LAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S515

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F346


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.


...../2

FL/b

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHEUNG SHUN KROK, ANDY

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S516

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F347

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha; is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2


06/1/21

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE LAI HAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5517

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F348

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27.12.2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: OKtavianá Listianingrum

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5518

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F349


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Wong Sze Chin Ricky

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S521

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F350

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

11

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: CHAN HO LAM JACQUE

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S522

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F351

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: CHAN Lee Shing William

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S523

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F352

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: *tpbpd@pland.gov.hk*

Date: *28/12/2024*

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Chan Ho Fung

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Q Email / telephone : (optional)



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S524

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F353

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Yuen Hop Lan

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S525

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F354

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: *tpbpd@pland.gov.hk*

Date: *28/12/2024*

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Chung Po Yi

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S526

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F355

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: CHAN HO YAU

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S527

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F356

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22


To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: *Chu Albert*

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5528

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F357

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Maria Wong

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S532

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F358

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Ko Yui Chong

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S533

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F359

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Wan Fung Yi Stevil

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S534

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F360

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Karen Cheng

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



28/24D

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S552

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F361

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE MING KWAI



(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



24/10A

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S553

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F362

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: 徐語曼

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



20/22 C

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5554

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F363

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: *tpbpd@pland.gov.hk*

Date: *28.11.2024*

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

- (7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LEUNG KIM WAI IRIS

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



20/23 A

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S555

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F364

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Li Sheung Wan Sara

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5558

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27.12.2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F365

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Vivian Fan

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



119/14B

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27, 12, 2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5561

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F366


- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHEUNG YUI KWAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S564

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F367

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

22/PA

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Fan Suk Kam

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S567

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28-12-2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F368

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

20/20A

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Lam Oi Yee Grace

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S574

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F369

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28 Dec, 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Ka Wing Fung

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S575

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F370

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: *tpbpd@pland.gov.hk*

Date: *28/12/2024*

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Deepak Pitu Melwani

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5576

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F371

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: JIA PRAKASH MELWANI

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S577

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F372

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SHEYREL Banwsta

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5582

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27.12.2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F373

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: RASHID MOHAMMED

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S583

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F374

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22


To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEUNG YUK FUN

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S584

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F375

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: JESSELE BUCA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S586

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F376

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: ~~Paul~~ LOK WAI KIANG PAUL

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S587

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F377


- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LOK ZEE IUI IUI TERESA

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S588

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F378

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LOK YEAN TING KAREN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 1 JAN 2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LOK YEAN TING KAREN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S589

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F379

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27 December 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SYLVIA HOUSEN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S592

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F380

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Siu Ngo Tsz

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S593

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F381


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LIU TZE CHUN GORDON

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S594

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F382

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Wendie Valle

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S599

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F383

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Shyna Prakash Melwani

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S600

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F384

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Shawn Prakash Melwani

(circle one) (HKID) / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S601

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F385


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Prakash Melwani

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



B34/12/D

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S640

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F386

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: EMMA HUNGSTEN-TSEU

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S644

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F387

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Shen Siu Lin, Charles

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S645

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F388

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: FORTUNE JOHN ALFRED

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S646

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F389

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: FORTUNE PETER JONATHAN DANIEL

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S647

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27 Dec 2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F390

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHENG Yu Yuk

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S648

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F391

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Tang Yee Man

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S649

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F392

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: NG AU TIP YEE

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S650

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F393

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Gillian A. Choa

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S651

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F394

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: YOUNG LIU YIM MUI

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S652

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F395

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Jayme, Elizabeth Pamittan

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tobpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S653

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F396

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Liu, Chuan

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S654

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F397

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Bei, Rui

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S655

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F398

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Grace D. Subarito

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Liesel G. Apelo

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S657

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F400

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Irina Lisov LIANG

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

