致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	KAN JUEN		RECEIVED
(選一)香港身分證/	護照:_	_	- 2 JAN 2025 Town Plannin
帝了那件/帝红。(司恩	E)		Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

29/12/2029

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶 (GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	MA	SHI	Long		RECEIVED
(選一)香港乡	分證/護照:			9	- 2 JAN 2025
電子郵件/電話			W	28	Town Planning Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

29/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	JUEN	MAI	CHUN		RECEIVED
(選一)香港身	分證/護照:			.61	- 2 JAN 2025
雷子郵件/雷話:		8			Town Planning Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

29/12/2024.

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並 包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名: _	Chienala	KA	Lok	 RECEIVED
(選一) 看	·			- 2 JAN 2025
電子郵件/	雷話:(可選)			Town Planning Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

28/12/2024.

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	Fu	514	KIN		RECEIVED
(選一)香港身	分證/護照	:			- 2 JAN 2025
電子郵件/電話:	(可選)				Town Planning Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

29/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並 包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	Fu	MAN	CHI	RECEIVED
(選一)	香港身分證/	/護昭:		- 2 JAN 2025
	1 10 21 10 021	-	şi.	 Town Planning Board

電子郵件/電話:(可選)

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

28/12/2024.

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶 (GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	MONG	CHI	MAN	RECEIVED
(選一)	香港身分證/護照	(: _		- 2 JAN 2025
電子郵件/	/電話:(可選)			Town Planning Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

29/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	CHOW	KNOK F	UNG		RECEIVED
(選一)	香港身分證/護照	:		No.	- 2 JAN 2025
	/電話:(可選)	(A)	40		Town Planning Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

28/12/2024.

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並 包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	FIN	HONG		RECEIVED
(選一)香	港身分證/護照:		_	- 2 JAN 2025
電子郵件/電	話:(可選)		8	Town Planning Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

: 29/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並 包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	MONE	WA	HIM	
(選一)	香港身分證/護照:			

電子郵件/電話:(可選)

RECEIVED

- 2 JAN 2025

Town Planning
Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	MAK	Jun G	HIN	 REC
(曜一)	香港身分證/護照:			- 2
(&)	可见为为,超/酸照。	-		 Town

電子郵件/電話:(可選)

請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣 華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

RECEIVED

- 2 JAN 2025

Town Planning
Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

29/12/2024.

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	NAM	Jan	CHEUK	 RECEIVED
(選一)香港	巷身分證/護照:	-		 - 2 JAN 2025 Town Planning
電子郵件/電	話:(可選)			Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名: _	TONG	HO	TANK	 RECEIVED
(選一) 看	香港身分證/護照	: .	,	 - 2 JAN 2025 Town Planning
赤 了 和 从 /	季年 。(可谓)			Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

29/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名: _	TSANG	JUEN	PAN		RECEIVED
(選一) 香	序港身分證/護照	: ,		_	- 2 JAN 2025 Town Planning Board
而了和从/	家女·(可谓)				Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶 (GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並 包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	Ho	CHI	Sum	 RECEIVED
(選一)香	港身分證/護照	1.		- 2 JAN 2025 Town Planning Board
電子郵件/電	電話:(可選)			Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

29/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶 (GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並 包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	MONA	CHUN	Ho	 RECEIVED
(選一)香港身	分證/護照:			- 2 JAN 2025 Town Planning Board
電子郵件/電話:	(可選)			Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

: 27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	LAU	KNOK	HANG	 RECEIVED
(選一)香港	身分證/護照:	-		 - 2 JAN 2025 Town Planning Board
電子郵件/電詞	6:(可選)			Board

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

ed/12 (2029.

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並 包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	LAM	CHI HIN		RECEIVED
(選一)香	港身分證/護	照:_		- 2 JAN 2025
電子郵件/電	話:(可選)		901	Town Planning Board

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29 12 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	Hsu	CHI	KIT	GIN	
(circle one,) HKID/Pa	ssport:			
Email / tele	ephone : (op	tional)	Same and the same	3	

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	MONE	CHUN	KE	<u>V</u>	•3
(circle one)	HKID / Passp	port: _			
Email / tele	phone : (option	nal)	***************************************	1	

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	KNAN	KING	TONG	FELIX	
(circle on	ee) HKID / Pas	esport: _			
Email / te	lephone : (opt	ional)			

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	MAK	WING	KAN	*	_
(circle one	e) HKID / Pa	ussport: _			
Email / tel	ephone : (op	otional)	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2014.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	CHEU	LAI	SHAN	
(circle one	e) HKID / Pas	sport: _	<u> </u>	
Email / tel	lephone : (opt	ional) _	ar-market source	an anna an an an a

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2 (2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	CHIL	2 IN	YAU	
(circle on	e) HKID/Pa	ssport:	-	
Email / te	lephone : (op	tional)	<u> </u>	

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk</u> or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/1/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LAW	Jui	HINE	
(circle on	e) HKID/Pa	assport:	-	
Email / te	lephone : (op	otional)		

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	Ho	Hunt	YIP	
(circle one	e) HKID / P	assport:		
Email / tel	lephone : (o	ptional)		

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28 12 (2014).

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	TONG	LAI	MAN	
(circle or	ne) HKID/Pass	sport: _		
Email / te	elephone : (opti	onal)		-

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	TONK	KAM	FAI	
(circle on	ne) HKID / Pas	sport:		
Email / te	elephone : (opt	ional)	enance de la constante de la c	



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 12 12 (2024).

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	MA	WANG	Jui	
(circle one) H	KID / Pass	port:		
Email / teleph	one : (optic	onal)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	CHAN	KWAI	FONE	MIMI
(circle on	e) HKID / Pa	ssport: _		
Email / te	lephone : (op	tional)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	MAK	Tsul	YAN	-
(circle one	e) HKID / Pas	ssport: _		
Email / tel	ephone : (opt	ional) _	#4 P \$100 H 00 H 10 H 10 H 10 H 10 H 10 H 10	



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2 2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	MAK	1 (1)	JAN	AKINA	
(circle one	e) HKID/Pa	ssport:	17 12		
Email / tei	lephone : (op	tional)			



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

27/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LEUNG	AMANDA	21 ⁻²⁶
(circle one	e) HKID / Passp	ort:	
Email / tel	lephone : (option	nal)	-

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	CHU	pui	YEE	
(circle one)	HKID / Passpo	ort:		
Email / telep	ohone : (option	al) _		

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	YEUNG	52 E	NOK	MARCUS	
(circle or	ne) HKID / Pas.	sport:			
Email / te	elephone : (opti	onal)			



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2014.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	MONE	KA	TEE	de de la company
(circle one)	HKID / Passport	: =		
Email / telep	ohone : (optional,)		z x



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2014.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	PAOTIN	YEUN E	JANIEL	
(circle one)	HKID / Passport:			
Email / telep	hone : (optional)	>		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 18/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: TANG YIU	MING PATRICK
(circle one) HKID / Passport:	
Email / telephone : (optional)	



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	CANJA	JENIE	CABALES	
(circle on	e) HKID / Passpo	ort:		
Email / te	lephone : (option	al)		

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	HO	ON	TAK	ALVIN	-
(circle one,) HKID / Pa	assport:			
Email / tele	ephone : (op	otional)			



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	LAU	NGA	YAN	MOVIE	
(circle on	e) HKID / I	Passport:			
Email / te	lephone : (c	optional)			



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	Ho	SZE	DIK	STITCH	
(circle one) HKID/I	Passport:			
Email / tel	ephone : (c	optional)	***************************************		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31 12 2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	Ho	SZE	KAN	SICAS	S	
(circle o	ne) HKII	/ Passpo	ort:			
Email / 1	telephone	e : (option	al)	Harris and the state of the sta		

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12 (2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: TAM Line	7 FAN
(circle one) HKID / Passpor	t:
Email / telephone : (optional	



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: \(\)

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	MAK	JING	KIT	3
(circle one)	HKID / Pass	port: _		
Email / telep	phone : (opti	onal)		

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	Fun G	Sin	WING	o no supere	
(circle on	e) HKID / Pass	sport: _			
Email / te	lephone : (opti	onal) _			



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LEUN 4	Suk	Fun		
(circle one	e) HKID / Passpo	ort: _			
Email / tel	ephone : (option	nal)	7.600 sees sees seed 6.600 sees sees sees sees sees	50 	ь



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	FunG	314	MAN	
(circle one) HKID/Pass	port: _		
Email / tel	ephone : (opti	onal) _		re-sourcestant res earch



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	20	MING		SARHER STATE OF THE STATE OF TH	
(circle one	e) HKID / F	Passport:			
Email / tel	ephone : (c	optional)	man make make make make make make make make		×

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 12 1024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	NH	Fung	CHU	
(circle one) H	KID/Pa	assport: _		
Email / teleph	one : (op	otional)		

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LEUN G	WAI	TEUNG	
(circle one)	HKID / Passpor	rt:		
Email / tele	phone : (optiona	ul)		

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	TSE	FEI	CHEUNG	
(circle one	e) HKID /	Passport:		
Email / tel	ephone : ((optional)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2 12 (2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LAM	KNUN	CHUNG	
(circle one,) HKID / Pas	sport:		
Email / tele	ephone : (opt	ional)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29 12 [2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	SHIW	Yuk	MING	DORIS	=
(circle one	e) HKID / Pa	essport:			
Email / te	lephone : (op	tional) _	6-38-08-10-10-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	Fon G	KA	MAI	CLARA	
(circle on	e) HKID / Pa	ssport:	-		
Email / te	lephone : (op	tional)		2	



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	ONG	BEE	LING	
(circle one	e) HKID / Pas	ssport:		
Email / tel	ephone : (opi	tional)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

29/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	Yeun by	pui	Fun	-
(circle on	e) HKID/Pass	port:		
Email / te	elephone : (optic	onal) _	NICHELO E ANGUNENCIO	_



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2 (2 (2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	CHEUK	KAN	TONG	
(circle on	ee) HKID / Pa	ssport:		
Email / te	elephone : (op	tional) _	V	-

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29 / 12024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	CHIU	Yu	SHUN	
(circle on	e) HKID/Pa	ssport:		
Email / te	lephone : (op	tional)	***************************************	



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	CHIM	1 u	YAN	
(circle on	e) HKID / Pas	sport:	-	
Email / te	lephone : (opt	ional)		Ý



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	Hsu	MING	FEN	
(circle on	e) HKID / Pd	assport:		
Email / te	lephone : (oj	otional) _	The Court Court of Co	



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	Mok	MATTHEW	MARTIN	CHI	KIT
(circle o	ne) HKID/.	Passport:			
Email / t	elephone : ((optional)			



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2014.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	Mond	CHING	HOI	
(circle on	ee) HKID / Passp	port:		
Email / te	elephone : (optio	nal)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LEE	SHIN G	LAI	
(circle one,) HKID / Pa	assport:		
Email / tele	ephone : (o _l	otional)		

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致

: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期

28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區,更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土 地劃為綠化地帶(GB),直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為(U)未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條,城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據,因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。 2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、 有多常見以及是否已註冊,都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上,有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷,並包括大量不必要的建築,如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途, 擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適,則在重新規劃 GB 區之前,應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域,該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區,面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字,港大應尋找其他更合適的地點,以節省可能 由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地,因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展,薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC 開發計劃,可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名:	Lui	CHI	CHIU	
(選一)	香港身分證/護	照:		
			5	

電子郵件/電話:(可選)

RECEIVED

- 2 JAN 2025

Town Planning
Board

請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	MONG	TSZ	MAN	
(circle one) H	KID / Passport:	-		
Email / teleph	one : (optional)			



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LEE	MAN.	KIT	
(circle one) HKID / Pa	ssport:		
Email / tele	ephone: (op	tional) _		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29 / 12 (2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LIEN	HUNG	s Para est te-say
(circle one)	HKID / Passport:		
Email / tele	phone : (optional)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	YAN G	Siu	NEI	
(circle one)	HKID / Passpo	ort:		
Email / tele	phone : (option	al)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	Mu	CHING	
(circle one)	HKID / Passpo	ort:	
Email / telep	hone : (option	nal)	



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	LAM	KAM	TAI	DICK	
(circle or	ne) HKID / J	Passport:			
Email / t	elephone : (d	optional)			



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S372

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	VIP.	KAR	Lun	CARLO
(circle one) HKID / Pass	sport:		
Email / tel	ephone : (opti	onal)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	CHAN	WINE	JAN	
(circle on	ne) HKID / Pa	ssport:		
Email / te	elephone : (op	tional)		()



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	MAK	LAI	MEI		-0
(circle one	e) HKID/ Pas	sport:			
Email / tel	lephone : (opt	ional)		o mpa sa	ž



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29 12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	CHOW	LUEN	MINNIE	<i>I</i> 1
(circle on	e) HKID / Pas	ssport:		
Email / te	elephone : (opt	ional)		10



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S376

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	KMOK	pui	MAN	
(circle on	e) HKID / Passp	oort:		
Email / te	lephone : (optio	nal)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	TSE	WAI	SHUEN	HAYLEY	
(circle one) HKID / Passport:			_		
Email / to	elephone : (optional)	4		

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S378

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	TSE	Yui	CHUN	DAVE	
(circle on	e) HKID / Pa	assport:			
Email / te	lephone : (o _l	otional) _			



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	LAU	401	YAN	
(circle one	e) HKID / Pa	ssport:		
Email / te	lephone : (op	tional) _		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	TSE	HEUNG)u	West State of the	
(circle one) HKID / Pass	sport:			
Email / tele	ephone : (opti	onal)			



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2029

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LAU	KA	Lun	
(circle one)	HKID / Pass	port:		
Email / telep	phone : (option	onal) _		



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S382

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

28/12/2024.

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LAU	Hiu	LAM	
(circle one)	HKID / Pass	port:		
Email / tele	phone : (option	onal)		42.00



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2029.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	LAU	HIM	CHING	
(circle on	e) HKID / Pas	sport:		
Email / te	elephone : (opt	ional)		



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S384

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/17/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	Lit	Siu	YING	KELVINE	
(circle one	e) HKID / I	Passport:	at or		
Email / tel	lephone : (optional)	P		

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LEUNE	HING	YIU	5LANK1	
(circle one) HKID / Pass	sport:			
Email / tel	ephone : (opti	onal)			



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S386

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	YEUNG	Sui	FUN	
(circle one	e) HKID/ Passpor	t: .		
Email / tel	lephone : (optiona	.1)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LA!	Pui	CHIET	
(circle one	HKID / Pass	sport:		
Email / tel	ephone : (opti	onal) _		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

29/12/2029.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	1	Juk	JIN.	
(circle one	e) HKID /	Passport:		
Email / tel	ephone : ((optional)		



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S389

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LIE	Ju.	CHEN	
(circle one	e) HKID / Pa	ssport:		
Email / tel	ephone : (op	otional)		_



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S390

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LIE	KAM	LUNG	
(circle one	e) HKID/ Pas	sport:		
Email / tel	ephone : (opt	ional)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: SAYABOC	KRISTEL SILARIO
(circle one) HKID/ Passport:	
Email / telephone : (optional)	



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S392

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LIE	NATHI	ANIEL	
(circle one)) HKID/Pas	sport:		
Email / tele	ephone : (opti	ional)		

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/142029

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LIE	THEOD	OPE	_
(circle one	e) HKID / Pas	ssport:		
Email / tel	ephone : (opt	tional)		



Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S394

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species.
 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	IUNG
(circle one) HKID/ Passpo	ort:
Email / telephone : (option	al)

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/142024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LAI	Pui	SHAN	SANDY	
(circle (one) HKID	/ Passpo	rt:		
Email /	' telephone	: (option	al)		

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> <u>15/F North Point Government Offices</u>, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 29/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	KAM	CHI	SHAN	
(circle on	e) HKID / Po	assport:		
Email / te	lephone : (o _l	otional)		



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk Date: ソルレ(いは.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	JEUN G	YINH	LAU	Outral and the state of the sta
(circle on	e) HKID/Pass	port:		
Email / te	elephone : (opti	onal)		and the same of th

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	LUNG	Hiu	HONG
(circle c	one) HKID / F	Passport:	
Email /	telephone : (d	ptional)	

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name: _	boon	MAN	AN	
(circle on	e) HKID / Pa	assport:		
Email / te	lephone : (op	otional)		

Submit your further representation by email to <u>tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to</u> 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28 12 (2024.

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Name:	JUEN	CHI	Pun	man the second
(circle one	e) HKID / Pass	port:		
Email / tel	ephone : (optic	onal)	na magazina	

