Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S834

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: Jan. 2, 2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F501

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Mirve Donzo

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

19/13D

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S835

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: JAN., 2, 2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F502

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Li Pik Yi

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

19/9C

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S837

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F503

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: JANUAR 2, 2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: ASHLEY H ORPILLA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S838

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F504

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2-1-25

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

22/195

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Ko Ng Man Kin

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S839

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F505

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Tony Robert Njoek Mien

(circle one) HKID / *Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S844

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F506

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk
Date: Jan. 2, 2015

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Angelyn Cheirreguine

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S845

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: Jan. 2, 2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F507

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Venita C. Amelanto

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S846

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: Jan. 2, 2015

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F508

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Judelyn Baltazar Garcia

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S847

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F509

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Chan Yuen

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S848

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F510

(1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*

(2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*

(3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*

(4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*

(5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*

(6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: PALMA, MARLYN ESTEVES

(circle one) HKID */ Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S849

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F511

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Sean Matthew Chung-Hei Ho

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S850

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F512

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30 Dec 2014

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Helen Castillo

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S851

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30 Dec 2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F513

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Derick Yip

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S852

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30 Dec 2014

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F514

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Veronica Tse

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S854

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F515

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Andrew Wan

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S855

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F516

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Maydilyn Leonardo

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@plandd.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S862

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F517

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Charity F. Gatchalian

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S863

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F518

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: 鍾秀穎

(circle one) ~~HKID~~ / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@plandd.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S868

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F519

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Julie Wu

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S869

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F520

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Paul Wu

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S872

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F521

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 01/01/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: NUNAN David Charles

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S873

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F522

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 01/01/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: NUNAN Jennifer Jean

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S874

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F523

Further Representation on Polkfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 01/01/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: NUNAN Catherine Jean.

(circle one) (HKID) / *Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Jaya Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S875

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F524

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 01/01/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: NUNAN Rebecca Louise

(circle one) *HKID* / *Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S876

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F525

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: [redacted] LI WING KIT REBECCA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S877

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F526

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LAWRENCE LAM

(circle one) (*HKID* / *Passport:*) [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S878

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F527

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHAN YUK CHING CHRISTA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S882

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F528

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2015

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: TUNG YAN KEI ELISA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S883

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F529

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2015

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: #STuTIK

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S891

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F530

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: ASHLEY BILLINGTON

(circle one) (HKID) */ Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S892

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F531

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: SARAH KWOK

(circle one) (HKID) */ Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S894

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F532

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Lau Ho Wang Ryan

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S895

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F533

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2015

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Kung ~~Ch~~ Man Piu

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S896

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F534

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Doo Oi Tak.

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S897

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F535

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LI CHING FAN

(circle one) ~~HKID~~ / *Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S899

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F536

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: MA. LIEZL TUMALIN

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S903

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F537

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Styla Marie Ka-Yee Ho

(circle one) HKID / *Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S904

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F538

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Thomas Ho

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S905

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F539

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Judy Yip

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S906

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F540

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 1st Jan 2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Elizabeth Berry

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S907

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F541

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 1st Jan 2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Thomas Berry

(circle one) (HKID) */ Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S908

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F542

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 1st Jan 2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Natalie Berry

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S909

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F543

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Leung Pui Sze

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S911

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F544

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Chan Sum Yuen Bailey

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S912

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F545

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Chan Chi Yuen Brandon

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S918

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F546

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LI CHUN TONG

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S919

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F547

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LI YUEN WING GRACE

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S920

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F548

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LI CHO TING CEDRIC

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S921

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F549

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHAN PUI LAI

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S922

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F550

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: CHING MAGGIE

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S923

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F551

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LAU FGI CHOE

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S924

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F552

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: JANETTE CABERTO, BELARMINO

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S925

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F553

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHO YIN, CHUNG

(circle one) ~~HKID~~ / ~~Passport~~: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S926

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F554

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: ANTOLIN, NECITAS BUNAGAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S927

Further Representation Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F555

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEUNG, ICING YEEN CAITLYN.

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S928

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F556

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEUNG, SAI KWAN CHRIS

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S929

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F557

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: YUNG, HO YING CARMELA.

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S930

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F558

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2015

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Yip Pui Ting

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S931

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F559

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Lau Siu Tsun

(circle one) ~~HKID~~ / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S932

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F560

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: _____

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____ [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S933

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F561

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Lau Tze Pok

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S934

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F562

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: RIANNE LU

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S935

Further Representation Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F563

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: WONG SZE MAN TERESA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S936

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F564

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHA, HOI MAY JACQUELINE

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S937

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F565

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LAM OI KWN VANESSA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S938

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F566

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE ANNETTE AIMEE

(circle one) ~~HKID~~ / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S939

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F567

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27 Dec 2023

(1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*

(2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*

(3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*

(4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*

(5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*

(6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Petra Li

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S940

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F568

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE KWUN WA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S941

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F569

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Hung Kwun Wai

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S942

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F570

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE EVAN SETH

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S943

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F571

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: GALLETO, RONA GAJATON

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S946

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F572

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: MENDOZA MONALIZA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S947

Further Representation Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F573

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: NG TSZ PANG

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S951

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F574

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: ELISHA KEI YAN DHAKAL

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S952

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F575

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LAU LAI NA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@plaud.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S953

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F576

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: VIERNES JOVIELYN PANABANG

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional). _____

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S957

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F577

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2015

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: KWOK JASMINE WING TUNG

(circle one) HKID / *Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S961

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F578

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024.

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Mary Ann Belhera

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S962

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F579

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024.

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Lai Fun

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S963

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F580

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: RITA HUI

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S964

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F581

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LAU CHI WAH KENDREW

(circle one) HKID / ~~Passport~~: [redacted]

~~Email~~ / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S965

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F582

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: So Yam Tat

(circle one) HKID / Passport [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S966

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F583

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: TSAO FAI, FREDDY

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S967

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F584

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31.12.24

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

2 4/2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Lee Aidan Carter

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S968

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F585

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31.12.24

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

24頁

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Lee Wai On Wilfred

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S969

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F586

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31 Dec 2014

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

24頁

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: NG HOI YAN BELINDA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S970

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F587

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: Dec 31, 2024

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

22/19B

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: JONATHAN SEE-HAN LAU

(circle one) (HKID) / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

RECEIVED
- 2 JAN 2025
Town Planning Board

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S971

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F588

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: December 31, 2024

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

22/19B.

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: MAUREEN LAU

(circle one) (HKID) / *Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

20/15B

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S972

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F589

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

(1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*

(2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*

(3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*

(4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*

(5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*

(6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Ananayo, Betty Bucanog

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

B20/8C

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S975

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F590

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27 Dec 2024

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Victoria Tiang

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

130/18c

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S976

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27 Dec 2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F591

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: DAVID TIANG

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

B20/18C

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S977

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F592

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31 Dec 2024

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: GENELYN MONDEJAR

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

B20/18c

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-5978

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F593

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31 Dec 2020

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: William Tiang

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S983

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F594

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31.12.2024

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

AB/61

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Tim Law

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) [redacted]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S986

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F595

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Hui Kei Ting

(circle one) HKID / *Passport:* [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S987

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F596

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31/12/2024

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LUK FONG YUK YEE PATTIE Pattie Luk

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/K14S/25-1001

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/24

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F597

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: BARROTE, Jovelyn Canete

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional) /

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1002

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F598

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/24

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: ROSEMARIE ARCENAL

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1003

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F599

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/24

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Amy Estrada

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1004

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F600

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22
To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk
Date:

(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE JULIANA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [redacted]

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to **tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**