

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：26/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：CHEUNG YAT KWAN

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選) _____



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

黃婉婷

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

黃婉婷

電子郵件/電話：(可選)

RECEIVED

2 JAN 2025

Planning
Board

請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

22/CA
Tracy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S503

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：Cheng Dai Han

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：
電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LENY DEVA CHY

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.




Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.



- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Yip Mo Tong

(circle one) HKID / Passport:




Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024



(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.


(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Fung Kim Man

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: MARY GRACE ALLEN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: JORLAO ARNOLD CARAJAL

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 28.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SUNARSIH

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: Dec 27, 2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

22/16

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LUNG WAN YIN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

22/16A

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: MA ADRIANO WATI

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: DEC 27, 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.

- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.


22/16A

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: MA WAI FU

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

#6/61

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: QUIACHON, MARIA ANNA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

28/12/24

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SEE, GEOK LAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

28/12/24

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHEUNG SHIU KOK, Andy

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.


06/1/24

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE WAI HAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27.12.2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Oktaviana Listianingrum

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Wong Sze Chin Ricky

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.




(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S519

Name: LI TAK CHING HORACE

(circle one) HKID // Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to [15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.](#)



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SILVIA CARLU

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

24/12

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: CELAN HO LAM JACKIE

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024


- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: CHAN Lee Shing William

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024


- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Chan Ho Fung

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Yuen Hop Lan

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Chung Po Yi

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: CHAN HO YAU

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.

- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.

- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.

- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.

- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.


- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: _____

Chu Albert

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Maria Wong

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：Ken Chen

(選一) 香港身分證/護照

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

Samson Tsui

(選一) 香港身分證/護照

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

陳苑婷

(選一) 香港身分證/護照

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Ko Yui Chong

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Wan Fung Yi Stevil

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Karen Cheng

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



22/CA
Tracy

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

Cherry Dai Han

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：



電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

陳炳材

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)

請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

RECEIVED

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

HO KA LEUNG

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致 : tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期 : 27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名 : NG KWAN YEE

(選一) 香港身分證/護照 :

電子郵件/電話 : (可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：26/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

王銘澤

(選一) 香港身分證/護照

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：Wong Cheuk Sin

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

Wong Ping Shing

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：Mak Lai Yee Kitty

(選一) 香港身分證/護照

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：26/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

施嘉珊

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：26/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代​​表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：Lam N YAT

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：COSTALES JONA FE DILAN

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：WONG YIN YI JEUN EMMA

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：25/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：Wang Yin Nok Eloy

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致 : tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期 : 26 Dec. 2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代​​表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名 : CHAN YIN LING ANNA

(選一) 香港身分證/護照 :

電子郵件/電話 : (可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


日期：26 Dec. 2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：CHAN KAI YAM

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：26 Dec. 2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：LEUNG PO WING

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：26 Dec. 2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

LEUNG, RONALD YAT YIN

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

29/24D

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S552

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LEE MING KUAI



(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S553

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: 徐詠曼

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



20/22 C

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S554

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28.12.2024


- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LEUNG KIM NAI IRIS

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to [15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.](#)



20/23 A

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S555

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28 / 12 / 2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*

- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*

- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*

- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*

- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*


- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Li Sheung Wan Sara

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S556


關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致 : tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期 : 28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶 (GB)，直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為 (U) 未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名 : Wong HLY MWG

(選一) 香港身分證/護照 : 

電子郵件/電話 : (可選) _____



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27.12.2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

William Leung

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27.12.2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Vivian Fan

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

Hsu To Hing Dora

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致 : tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期 : 28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

Woo Sai Ko

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

119/14B

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S561

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27.12.2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHEUNG YUI KWAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

~~Email~~ / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.




關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致 : tpbpd@pland.gov.hk
日期 : 28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名 : MA WAI MAN ANITA

(選一) 香港身分證/護照 : 

電子郵件/電話 : (可選) 



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

22/PA

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S563

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28.12.2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：Chen Ka Lon



(選一) 香港身分證/護照:



電子郵件/電話:(可選)

請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: *tpbpd@pland.gov.hk*

Date: *28.12.2024*

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

22/1/PA

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Fan Sak Kam

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

廖文賓

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

MA Yu Fai

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28-12-2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.


20/20A

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Lam Oi Yee Grace

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



20/20A

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S568

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28-12-2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代​​表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：李少芬

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：
電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28.12.2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名： QIAN XIAOJUN

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)

RECEIVED

- 2 JAN 2025

Town Planning
Board

請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28.12.2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

曹朝駿



(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEM A」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：Fung Wing Kam Tony

(選一) 香港身分證/~~護照~~：

電子郵件/~~電話~~：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：29/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

馮馬丹

(選一) 香港身分證/~~護照~~

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：*28 Dec, 2024*

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：*Fanh Ka Chunh*

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28 Dec, 2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Ka Wing Fung

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Deepak Pitu Melwani

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28.12.2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: JIA PRAKASH MELWANI

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SHEYREL BANWETA

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: RITTNER, GUENTHER

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代​​表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

CHU HUI MAY LUN

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

陸乃洪

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

黃業玉

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27.12.2024


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: RASHID MOHAMMED

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22


To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27.12.2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEUNG Yuk Fun

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: JESSELE BUCA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

甄焯欽

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: ~~Paul~~ LOK WAI KIANG PAUL

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LOK ZEE POI POI TERESA

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 26/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LOK YEAN TING KAREN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 27 December 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SYLVIA HOUSEN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致 : tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期 : 27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名 :

WONG CHUI NGOR

(選一) 香港身分證/護照 :

電子郵件/電話 : (可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。


關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28 Dec 2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：LINDA SHUI MAN WONG

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SIM NGO TSZ

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LIU TZE CHUN GORDON

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Williamie Valle

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

林達明

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道333號北角政府合署15樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第6B(8)條，城規會將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目A重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意2,250棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學GIC的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃GB區之前，應先考慮位於GB旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的RC6區域，該RC6區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為2.5公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨1,000億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型GIC開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：

June Man

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：27/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名：K F LAM

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

關於薄扶林 OZP No.S/H10/22 的進一步陳述

致：tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

日期：28/12/2024

- (1) 我反對擬議的「U」分區和最初提議的「OU」分區，更傾向於將「ITEMA」的土地劃為綠化地帶（GB），直至提出修訂建議供考慮。
- (2) 我找不到提出將土地劃為（U）未確定用途的修正案的代表。根據《城市規劃條例》第 6B(8)條，城規會將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域的決定並無法律依據，因為沒有任何代表要求將項目 A 重新劃為(U)未確定用途區域。
- (3) 我不同意 2,250 棵樹只因為是普通樹種就沒有價值。2,250 棵樹無論是什麼物種、有多常見以及是否已註冊，都是有價值的。
- (4) 城規會十一月初舉行的公開聽證會上，有人指出香港大學 GIC 的建議有缺陷，並包括大量不必要的建築，如住宅、餐廳和廣闊的休憩用地。如果排除此等用途，擬議的香港大學創新中心的規模和範圍可會大幅縮減。
- (5) 若規劃署認為薄扶林地區最合適，則在重新規劃 GB 區之前，應先考慮位於 GB 旁邊的一個面積和位置完美的 RC6 區域，該 RC6 區域已劃為「住宅」區，面積為 2.5 公頃。
- (6) 由於香港面臨 1,000 億港元的赤字，港大應尋找其他更合適的地點，以節省可能由公帑資助的建築成本。
- (7) 我非常不同意規劃署因為我們薄扶林有教育機構、醫院和住宅用地，因此認為鄰近的綠化地帶的發展是可以接受的。由於華富邨重建、瑪麗醫院重建和數碼港的發展，薄扶林地區的居民每天都飽受交通嚴重擠塞的困擾。擬議中的薄扶林巨型 GIC 開發計劃，可能會成為壓垮駱駝的最後一根稻草。

姓名： LAM GING LI

(選一) 香港身分證/護照：

電子郵件/電話：(可選)



請透過電子郵件將您的進一步聲明提交至 tpbpd@pland.gov.hk 或郵寄至香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓。

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Shyna Prakash Melwani

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Shawn Prakash Melwani

(circle one) HKID Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

