

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1218


Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F701

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28 DEC 24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.



(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Ho, LUT KEI LOUISE

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

B25

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1232

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F702

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: CHAN KIT YUEN JESSIE

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1234

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F703

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEONG WING JANN ALVIN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1235

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F704

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: *tpbpd@pland.gov.hk*

Date: *December 28th, 2024.*

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

(Name) Wun Yuet Fung Jeffrey

(circle one) (HKID) / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1236

19/1/22

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F705

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: WUN YUET YEE, HILARY

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/10

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1237

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F706

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: NGAI MAN NA HELENA

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone.: (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1238

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F707

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

20/1/22

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Tutik - Eka - Fivriyanti

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1241

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F708

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

20/16B

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LO WAI

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1259

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F709

Date:

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: TANG CHE CHEUNG

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Submission Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1260
--

Further Representation Number: TPB/R/S/H10/22-F710

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Angel R. Nevada

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1263

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F711

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE KWOK LEUNG

(circle one) HKID / ~~Passport~~: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



170/
120

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1264

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F712

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Inoka Rattapah

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to [15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.](#)



24/8B

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 25/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1267

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F713

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: YUEN KA MAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Handwritten initials/signature

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: *tpbpd@pland.gov.hk*

Date: *25th Dec. 2024*

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1268

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F714

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Lo Hung Shun

(circle one) HKID / ~~Passport~~: 

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/10

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1270

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F715

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: YUEN KA YUK CAROL

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1271

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F716

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 27/12/2024


- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

22/11B

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: WILLIAM ONG

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

RECEIVED
- 2 JAN 2025
Town Planning Board

23/20B

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1272

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F717

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: WONG WAI LEUNG

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1273

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F718

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

23/23A

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Sally Ho

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1274

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F719

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Cherry Kim Gen

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1286

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F720

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Tam Man Teri Victor

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1289

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F721

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LUI Shu Tung, Tony

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1290

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F722

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: YU SUI PING

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



20/16C

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1291

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F723

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LAM YIU PANG

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to [15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.](#)



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1292

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F724

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

20/30

- (7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LIN HO YUKE

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to [15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.](#)



B20/3D

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1293

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F725

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LAI SIU LING

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



20/4B

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1294

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F726

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Matthen Chow Bo-Yu

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



20/4B

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1295

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F727

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: ETIENNE ANDRE NATHANAE L FERRERE

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1296

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F728

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27 DEC 2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: ETAN WING-MAN, MAUREEN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



170/20

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1297


Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F729

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Gerhard Moeller

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



18c/19

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1300

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F730

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024


- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: EDNA BENEDITTO

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1304

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F731

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: TANG KIT YI

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1305**Further Representation Number:**
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F732

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHENG, ELEANOR

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1306**Further Representation Number:**
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F733

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: ASHLEY VICTORIA YUE

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024


Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1307

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F734

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Yue Chung Ning Dennis

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



24/2A

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1308

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F735

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: 

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



24/21C

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1309


Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F736

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHIU KAI SUN

(circle one) HKID / ~~Passport~~: _____



Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1310

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27 DEC 2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F737

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: TONG SOU-TAO

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to [15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.](#)



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1311

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F738

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: WONG KWOK CHEUNG

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1312

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F739

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 25/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LIN SKI LING

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



170/
07

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1313

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F740

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*


(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: _____

Handwritten signature

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to [15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.](#)



112/21

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1314

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024


Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F741

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LAM HOK CHUNG RAINIER

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



11/1/20

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1315

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F742

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 26/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: CHAN POLLYANNA SHUI KIN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1318

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024


Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F743


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

19/1B

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LAW Pui HAN REBECCA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1319

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F744

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

19/1B

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE SEE HUN NELSON

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/12/24

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1320


Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F745

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Jane Si

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

19/2024
Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1321

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F746

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: _____

YIP MAN YIU

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1322

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F747

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

19/8C

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: NIJAYATI

(circle one) HKID / ~~Passport~~: _____
Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/11/24

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1324

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F748


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Katrina Weerakoon

(circle one) HKID / ~~Passport~~:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



A/11B

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1325

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F749

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: PANG, SUK CHING

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1332

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F750

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: TAO KAR WAN VALERIE

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/18c

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1333

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F751

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: TAO CHENG KWAN WOON

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/70

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1334

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F752

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: TSUI KWAI YING

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



19/11B

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1335

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F753

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Sai Yeung COIM, WONG

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

(circle one) Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



9/18A

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1336

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F754

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: _____

Alvin Li

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



19/11B

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1337

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F755


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: WEERAKOON, KAM CHUEN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



19/8C

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 27/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1339

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F756

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: WONG KIM PING REX.

(circle one) HKID / ~~Passport~~:

~~Email / telephone~~: (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1345

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F757


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHAU YUEN LING MONICA

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1350

From:

Sent:

To:

Subject:

Attachment:

2025-01-02 星期四 17:12:08

tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

Oppose - Further Rep. on PFL OZP No. S_H10_22 (le).pdf;

Oppose - Further Rep. on PFL OZP No. S_H10_22 (ha).pdf

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F758

Please find attached two signed self-explanatory OPPOSITION letters.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2nd January, 2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: HUGUET ALEXANDRE

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1351

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-02 星期四 17:12:08
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Subject: Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22
Attachment: Oppose - Further Rep. on PFL OZP No. S_H10_22 (le).pdf;
Oppose - Further Rep. on PFL OZP No. S_H10_22 (ha).pdf

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F759

Please find attached two signed self-explanatory OPPOSITION letters.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22


To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2nd January, 2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LIU EVA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to fbpbd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1355

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-02 星期四 16:08:59
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Subject: 反對

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F760

從我的 iPhone 傳送

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Kwok (K A F A I)

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1357

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-02 星期四 15:21:23
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Subject: Representation on Pokfulam OZP
Attachment: 250102_Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No,S_HI 0_22 To_.pdf

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F761

Dear Sir,

Attached is my submission.

SY

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: LAU SAI YUNG

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1358

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-02 星期四 16:42:07
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Subject: New Document(71).pdf
Attachment: New Document(71).pdf

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F762

Dear Sirs

Regarding HKU's proposal to build a Global Innovation Centre in Pokfulam (OZP No. S/H10/22), please see the attached further representation from myself for your further action.

Regards
FONG Shuk Wai, resident in Baguio Villa

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: FONG SHUK WAI

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1360

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F763

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-02 星期四 16:47:37
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Subject: Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22
Attachment: Scanned Document 2-1-2025 at 4.45.34 PM.pdf

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please see attached for my representation re the proposed redevelopment in Pokfulam.

Yours Sincerely,
Jennifer Earnshaw

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 02/01/2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: JENNIFER EARNSHAW

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1361

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F764

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date:

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE SIU LA Ivy

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1363

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F765

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 30.12.24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

22/12

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: XIE PEI-XI ALICE

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1364

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F766

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

21/12

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: *Violet HAU* HAU LEO, VIOLET

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1365

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F767

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30.12.24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

...../2

30/12/24
19/24c

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: FAN KIT TAM Kirby

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1366

24 / 15c

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F768

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk


Date: 30 DEC 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LAM SING HO

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1367

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28 December, 2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F769

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Wong Po Kit

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1368

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: DECEMBER 30, 2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F770


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Jeslyn C. Bangibang

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1369

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 20-12-2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F771

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.


...../2

ae/
gok

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE SHUIT YU

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1370

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30.12.24



Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F772

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

22/70

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: LEE SIN YUK HILDA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 
Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1371

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F773

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: YOUNG SHUN YEE *Yee Shun-hing*

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1372

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30-12-2020

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F774


- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: *Guillo*

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30-12-2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1373

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F775

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: *Selene Ho.*

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [REDACTED]

Email / telephone : (optional) [REDACTED]

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1374

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30-12-2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F776

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Betty Kwan

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1375

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F777

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/24

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: STEPHANIE WAI CHI HUI

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1376

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F778

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/24

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Catherine WING YEE TAM

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30 DEC 2024

24150
Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1377

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F779

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Wong Kar Lei Vita

(circle one) (HKID) / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F780

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30 DEC 2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1378

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Kwok CHUNG WANG JUSTIN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1379

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30 DEC 2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F781

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Kwok Hong Wang Russell

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1380

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F782

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30.12.24

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Yatimah Ng

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



YDB/
/20

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30-12-2024


Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1381


Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F783

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Wu Dor Ling

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) 

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1383

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28/12/2024

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F784

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

B24/24A

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: SO SVET LAI

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1872

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-03 星期五 16:43:24
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Further Representation
Attachment: Further Representation.pdf

To: Town Planning Board

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to submit my further representation regarding the proposed rezoning of the Pok Fu Lam Item A site under Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/H10/22. Please find attached my objection report outlining significant concerns regarding the rezoning from Green Belt (GB) to "Undetermined" (U).

This submission reflects the overwhelming public opposition from residents and stakeholders, as well as detailed analysis highlighting environmental, financial, and policy misalignments. I urge the Town Planning Board to consider these points carefully and to preserve the existing Green Belt designation in alignment with Hong Kong's long-term planning objectives and public interest.

I would be grateful if you could confirm receipt of this further representation and advise on the next steps in the review and consultation process. Should you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Yours faithfully,

So Suet Lai
[REDACTED]

Objection Report

Further Representation on Pok Fu Lam OZP No. S/H10/22

Date: December 28, 2024

To: Town Planning Board (TPB)

Attn: Chairperson and Members

CC: Chief Executive, Hong Kong SAR

Subject: Rezoning of Pok Fu Lam Item A Site to “Undetermined” (U)

Dear Sir/Madam:

I write to express my strong objection to the proposed rezoning of the Pok Fu Lam Item A site from “Green Belt” (GB) to “Undetermined” (U) under the draft Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/H10/22. I urge the Town Planning Board to preserve the existing Green Belt designation and reject HKU’s proposed Global Innovation Centre (GIC) development.

This objection report is submitted on the basis of overwhelming opposition of 3,411 residents and stakeholders who have clearly and consistently voiced their objections. The proposal risks diminishing Hong Kong’s environmental sustainability, public trust, and the integrity of urban planning principles. This report outlines the strongly substantiated grounds for objection, each supported by professional assessments, relevant precedents, and strategic urban planning principles.

I respectfully request the TPB to reject the rezoning and maintain the Green Belt zoning in alignment with Hong Kong’s long-term planning objectives, environmental commitments, and community interests.

Executive Summary

Based on legal, environmental, financial and policy grounds and reinforced by overwhelming public oppositions, this report provides strong objections to the rezoning of the Pok Fu Lam Item A site to "Undetermined." Key points include:

- **Preservation of Green Belt Land:** Essential for preventing urban sprawl, preserving biodiversity, and maintaining ecological balance.
- **Strategic Misalignment:** The rezoning contradicts the Northern Metropolis Development Strategy by misplacing innovation infrastructure in an area unsuitable for such development. Suitable, policy-aligned alternatives are available especially in the Northern Metropolis, which offers flat, ready-to-develop land for HKU's GIC. Development in Pok Fu Lam is unnecessary, costly and contradicts with HK's I&T growth strategies.
- **Community Consensus - Overwhelming Public Oppositions:** 3,677 representations were submitted during public consultation. Over 3,411 representations oppose the rezoning, reflecting overwhelming public sentiment to preserve the area's green space.
- **Traffic and Infrastructure Overload:** Current infrastructure cannot support large-scale development without exacerbating congestion.
- **Legal Risks and Precedents:** The Fanling Golf Course case underscores the legal vulnerability of rezoning decisions that contradict environmental and planning policies.
- **Climate Commitments:** Development of the GIC undermines Hong Kong's carbon neutrality and climate resilience objectives.
- **Lack of Legal Ownership by HKU:** HKU does not hold legal ownership of the land, which remains Government property. There has been no conveyance by private treaty grant, short-term tenancy, or licence.
- **Excessive Development Costs:** Representation R3320 estimated HK\$863 million for site formation, excluding building costs. HKU did not contest this figure during hearings, raising concerns over the project's financial viability.
- **Contradiction to Government Policy:** The 2023 Policy Address states that Green Belt areas will not be used for large-scale development due to sufficient land supply. The 2024 Policy Address prioritises innovation hubs

in the Northern Metropolis, Lok Ma Chau Loop and San Tin Technopole, aligning with broader I&T development strategies.

This report substantiates these objections with the following analysis, environmental studies, and community perspectives.

1 Introduction

The Pok Fu Lam area represents one of Hong Kong Island's few remaining low-density, green residential zones. For nearly 40 years, this community has been safeguarded under the Green Belt (GB) zoning designation, protecting the area from intrusive development.

The recent proposal to rezone the Item A site to "Undetermined" (U) poses an existential threat to Pok Fu Lam's character, environment, and livability. The introduction of large-scale, high-density development in this ecologically sensitive and infrastructurally constrained area stands in direct opposition to Hong Kong's planning policies and environmental commitments.

This report provides a structured and detailed argument against the proposed rezoning. Through professional analysis, legal precedents, and comprehensive community feedback, it aims to demonstrate why the existing Green Belt zoning should be preserved.

2 Background and Site Analysis

The Item A site was designated as Green Belt (GB) in **1986** under the Pok Fu Lam Outline Zoning Plan (OZP) No. S/H10/1. This designation reflected the site's **steep slopes, ecological value, and environmental sensitivity**.

For nearly four decades, successive versions of the Pok Fu Lam OZP have maintained this designation, reinforcing the presumption against development in the area. The Explanatory Statement (ES) of the OZP cites the site's **topographical and geotechnical constraints** as primary reasons for restricting development.

The steep, vegetated slopes and the **80m elevation difference** between Pok Fu Lam Road and Victoria Road present **significant engineering**

challenges and ecological risks. The site forms part of a contiguous green corridor essential for biodiversity and urban climate resilience.

3 Overview of the Development Proposal

The University of Hong Kong (HKU) has proposed the development of a Global Innovation Centre (GIC) at the Item A site. The development encompasses:

- **Total GFA of 222,720 square meters**, of which more than 60% are related to non-research and/or non-academic purposes including commercial premises and private-rental residential accommodations that are set to compete with the private-sector rental-market residential apartments which are now in excessive supply in the open market.
- **Biosafety Level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory**, raising community concerns over health and safety risks.

The proposal involves the **removal of over 2,250 mature trees**, permanently altering the landscape and ecological fabric of Pok Fu Lam.

HKU's justification cites the need to expand innovation and technology infrastructure. However, the proposal fails to align with Hong Kong's strategic development goals and raises fundamental questions about site suitability and environmental sustainability.

4 Grounds for Objection

This section outlines the key objections to the proposed rezoning. Each ground is supported by evidence, community input, and policy analysis.

4.1 Preservation of Green Belt Land

The preservation of Green Belt (GB) land is a cornerstone of Hong Kong's urban planning and environmental sustainability framework. The Pok Fu Lam Item A site has been zoned as GB since **1986** under OZP No. S/H10/1. This designation reflects the area's ecological sensitivity, steep slopes, and critical role in maintaining Hong Kong Island's green buffer zones.

The Town Planning Board (TPB) Guidelines No. 10 clearly state that there is a **strong presumption against development** within Green Belt zones. The guidelines emphasize that development proposals will only be considered under **exceptional circumstances**, requiring demonstrable public need, environmental mitigation, and the absence of alternative sites.

The rezoning of this site to “Undetermined” (U) undermines the integrity of the GB designation. It opens the door to speculative development that **prioritizes institutional convenience over environmental preservation**. This shift represents a dangerous precedent, weakening the presumption against development and jeopardizing similar Green Belt zones across Hong Kong.

The Item A site’s **steep and vegetated slopes** provide essential ecosystem services, acting as a **natural barrier against urban heat island effects**, promoting biodiversity, and enhancing air quality. The removal of over **2,250 mature trees** to accommodate the Global Innovation Centre (GIC) would result in **irreversible environmental degradation**.

By maintaining the GB zoning, the TPB reinforces Hong Kong’s commitment to **sustainable urban planning, carbon neutrality, and environmental protection**. It upholds the principle that development must align with long-term ecological preservation rather than short-term institutional expansion.

4.2 Misalignment with Strategic Development Goals

The proposed development directly contradicts Hong Kong’s strategic urban planning initiatives, particularly the **Northern Metropolis Development Strategy**. This strategy envisions the Northern Metropolis as the city’s innovation and technology (I&T) hub, designed to facilitate cross-border collaboration and economic integration with Shenzhen and the Greater Bay Area.

Locating HKU’s GIC in Pok Fu Lam—far from the Northern Metropolis—**fragments Hong Kong’s innovation ecosystem**. The site’s geographic isolation limits the GIC’s ability to foster synergies with other innovation clusters, reducing its potential to drive economic growth.

Hong Kong’s **National 14th Five-Year Plan** highlights the Northern Metropolis as the priority area for technological advancement. Diverting major I&T projects to Pok Fu Lam not only disrupts this strategic vision but

wastes public resources by investing in areas lacking the necessary infrastructure to support large-scale innovation centers.

Rezoning the site to “Undetermined” signals a **misalignment of policy priorities**, undermining the coherence of Hong Kong’s long-term development plans. Preserving the GB zoning aligns with national and regional strategies that emphasize concentrated I&T development in the Northern Metropolis, **maximizing economic returns** while safeguarding ecologically sensitive areas.

4.3 Climate Resilience and Environmental Impact

Hong Kong’s climate strategy emphasizes **carbon neutrality by 2050** and the enhancement of urban greenery as key pillars of resilience against climate change. The development of the GIC on Green Belt land **contradicts these objectives** by promoting deforestation, increasing carbon emissions, and degrading air quality.

The Pok Fu Lam area functions as a **natural carbon sink**, mitigating the urban heat island effect and supporting local biodiversity. The removal of over 2,250 trees and disruption of this ecological corridor will contribute to **higher temperatures, reduced air quality, and increased flood risk**—outcomes directly opposed to Hong Kong’s climate adaptation strategies.

Moreover, the GIC proposal includes a **Biosafety Level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory**, which raises significant public health concerns. High-risk pathogen research in close proximity to residential areas poses unacceptable biohazard risks. Such facilities should be located in **industrial zones** or purpose-built I&T hubs like the Northern Metropolis, **away from dense residential populations**.

Maintaining the GB zoning reinforces Hong Kong’s climate commitments, ensuring that urban expansion does not come at the cost of **long-term environmental sustainability**.

4.4 Traffic Congestion and Infrastructure Constraints

Pok Fu Lam Road is already **severely congested**, operating at near capacity during peak hours. The introduction of large-scale development, including

high-rise residential towers and commercial spaces, will exacerbate this issue, leading to significant disruptions for residents.

The proposed **South Island Line (West)**, intended to alleviate congestion, will not be operational until **at least 2034**. Approving the GIC project well before its operational readiness risks locking the area into years of excessive congestion and strain on existing infrastructure especially as a result of **increased traffic bottlenecks, noise pollution, and deteriorating road safety conditions**.

Rezoning the site to “Undetermined” permits HKU to proceed with planning applications that fail to account for **critical transport infrastructure delays**. Maintaining the Green Belt zoning ensures that **development proceeds only after robust traffic mitigation measures** are implemented.

4.5 Community Consensus and Public Engagement

The public consultation process for OZP No. S/H10/22 generated **3,677 representations**, with **3,411 opposing** the rezoning proposal. This overwhelming majority reflects broad-based community opposition to altering the Green Belt designation.

Despite the public’s clear stance, the decision to rezone the site to “Undetermined” undermines community trust and disregards the principle of **participatory planning**. No representations expressed support for rezoning to “Undetermined.” Proceeding with this plan **erodes public confidence** in the TPB’s responsiveness to stakeholder concerns.

By preserving the GB zoning, the TPB honors its commitment to **transparent, community-driven planning processes**.

4.6 Legal Precedents and Judicial Risks

The High Court’s recent decision to overturn development at the Fanling Golf Course establishes a **critical legal precedent** for safeguarding Green Belt land. The court ruled that rezoning decisions must adhere to stringent environmental assessments and comprehensive public consultation processes.

Rezoning the Item A site to “Undetermined” without addressing environmental risks or community objections **exposes the project to judicial review**. This legal vulnerability could result in costly litigation, further delaying development and **wasting public resources**.

Maintaining the GB zoning shields the TPB from potential legal challenges, reinforcing adherence to **planning guidelines and legal precedents**.

4.7 Protection of Pok Fu Lam’s Unique Character

Pok Fu Lam is one of the few **low-density, green residential areas** on Hong Kong Island. Its character, defined by tranquil surroundings and extensive greenery, represents a **rare and valuable urban landscape**. This setting provides a stark contrast to Hong Kong’s dense, high-rise districts, offering residents a peaceful, community-focused living environment.

Rezoning the Item A site to “Undetermined” jeopardizes this delicate balance, opening the door to **high-density, large-scale developments** that are incompatible with the area’s existing residential character. The introduction of high-rise structures and commercial facilities would erode the **visual harmony and landscape** that residents have cherished for decades.

Moreover, this would accelerate **property devaluation** for existing homeowners by compromising privacy, increasing noise pollution, and diminishing the overall quality of life. Many residents moved to Pok Fu Lam specifically to enjoy the **serene, green surroundings**. Altering this environment would force some long-time residents to relocate, disrupting the community fabric.

Preserving the Green Belt ensures that the unique identity of Pok Fu Lam is protected, preserving **low-density living environments** and safeguarding community values for future generations.

4.8 Economic Inefficiency and Public Resource Waste

Placing the HKU Global Innovation Centre (GIC) in Pok Fu Lam represents a **misallocation of public resources**. The development of innovation and technology (I&T) hubs is explicitly designated for the **Northern Metropolis** in the Government’s long-term development strategy.



By diverting HKU's expansion to Pok Fu Lam, this proposal contradicts the **city's broader economic vision** and fragments I&T development across geographically distant areas. This separation weakens cross-border collaboration with Shenzhen and the Greater Bay Area, **reducing economic synergies**.

Moreover, the **infrastructure costs** required to adapt Pok Fu Lam for large-scale innovation development are considerable. Transport upgrades, road widening, and new public facilities would demand extensive public investment, placing **unnecessary financial burdens** on taxpayers.

Directing HKU's innovation hub to **existing I&T zones** within the Northern Metropolis leverages already planned infrastructure, **maximizing returns on public investment** and ensuring alignment with national economic strategies.

4.9 Alternative Development Sites

Several **viable alternative sites** exist for HKU's GIC that do not compromise environmentally sensitive areas. The **Residential (Group C) 6 (RC6)** site adjacent to Item A, covering **2.5 hectares**, is already zoned for low-density residential development and represents a suitable expansion location.

Additionally, the **Science and Technology Park (STP)** and the **Hong Kong-Shenzhen Innovation and Technology Park (HSITP)** in the Northern Metropolis provide **ideal locations** that align with Hong Kong's long-term I&T strategy. Both sites are **better equipped** with necessary transport links, infrastructure, and collaboration opportunities.

The presence of **industrial zones** and **purpose-built I&T districts** ensures that development proceeds without encroaching on residential areas or green spaces. Prioritizing these sites reinforces **sustainable development goals** and reduces community disruption.

The Northern Metropolis and Lok Ma Chau Loop have been earmarked for innovation and technology development, with flat, easily developable land and existing infrastructure that reduces development costs and environmental risks. In contrast, the Pok Fu Lam site's deep terrain and ecological sensitivity present significant financial and environmental hurdles.

4.10 Impact on Public Health and Safety

The inclusion of a **Biosafety Level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory** within the proposed GIC poses **serious risks** to public health and safety. BSL-3 laboratories handle **high-risk pathogens** and must adhere to stringent safety measures to prevent accidental leaks or contamination.

Locating such a facility within a **residential area** contradicts international best practices, which recommend positioning high-risk laboratories in **industrial or isolated areas**. In the event of a containment failure, nearby residents would face **severe biohazard risks**, endangering public health.

HKU has not provided **clear mitigation strategies** or community engagement on this issue, further heightening concerns. Retaining the GB zoning removes this risk by preventing the introduction of hazardous research facilities into **residential zones**.

4.11 Public Consultation and Accountability

The decision to rezone the site to “Undetermined” disregards the results of **extensive public consultation**. Of the **3,677 representations** received, **over 90%** opposed rezoning. The public overwhelmingly expressed the desire to maintain the **Green Belt designation**.

Ignoring this consensus undermines public faith in the **integrity of the town planning process**. It signals to residents that **institutional convenience overrides community voices**, eroding accountability. Maintaining the GB zoning reflects the **principles of participatory planning**, ensuring that community input directly influences urban development policies.

4.12 Risk of Precedent – Erosion of Green Belt Protections

Approving the rezoning of the Item A site to “Undetermined” (U) risks setting a dangerous precedent that could weaken protections for Green Belt (GB) land across Hong Kong. For decades, the GB zoning mechanism has served as a critical safeguard against urban sprawl, ensuring that green, ecologically sensitive areas are preserved for environmental, aesthetic, and public health purposes. The Pok Fu Lam OZP No. S/H10/1, first gazetted in February 1986, explicitly designated the Item A site as GB due to its **steep slopes, natural beauty, and unsuitability for development**. Over the past 40 years, this

zoning has remained a cornerstone of Hong Kong's planning ethos, consistently reaffirmed in more than 20 iterations of the OZP.

By rezoning this land to "Undetermined," the TPB risks signaling to developers and institutions that **protected green spaces can be rezoned incrementally**, creating a slippery slope toward piecemeal urban expansion into Hong Kong's few remaining green enclaves. This decision could embolden future applicants to seek similar rezoning, accelerating the erosion of Green Belt protections citywide. Maintaining the current GB status sends a clear message that Hong Kong values sustainable development and environmental stewardship, reinforcing public trust in the integrity of the planning process.

4.13 Steep Topography and Developmental Unsuitability

The Item A site's steep, vegetated terrain presents **significant engineering challenges** that render it inherently unsuitable for large-scale development. With an elevation difference of approximately **80 meters** between Pok Fu Lam Road and Victoria Road, any construction on this site would require **extensive slope stabilization, excavation, and retaining structures**, exponentially increasing development costs and the risk of landslides.

The Explanatory Statement (ES) of the OZP explicitly emphasizes the site's **geotechnical constraints** and states that development within this zone should be avoided. Moreover, any large-scale excavation risks **triggering slope instability, endangering nearby residential areas** and public infrastructure.

In addition to environmental concerns, the financial viability of developing the site is questionable. The high cost of **land preparation, slope reinforcement, and drainage improvements** undermines the economic rationale for pursuing development in this location, making it neither cost-effective nor sustainable.

Alternative sites with flatter terrain, such as those within the Northern Metropolis or the adjacent RC6 zone, offer far more practical and economically sound options for accommodating HKU's expansion needs.

4.14 Traffic Congestion and Infrastructure Overload

Pok Fu Lam Road currently faces severe congestion especially during peak hours, and introducing a large-scale development like the HKU GIC will **further exacerbate traffic bottlenecks**, worsening the daily lives of residents and commuters.

Existing road networks are already operating at or over capacity, and the proposed development is expected to bring **increased heavy vehicle traffic** during both the construction and operational phases. This will risk exceeding strain on public transportation, emergency services, and local infrastructure.

While HKU suggests that the South Island Line (West) (SIL(W)) will alleviate these issues, this transport extension is not expected to be completed until **at least 2034**. In the absence of expanded transport capacity, the GIC will add to congestion, increasing travel times, noise, and air pollution.

Traffic impact assessments conducted by HKU have **underestimated the cumulative burden** of this project, neglecting to account for parallel developments in the Southern District. Retaining the Green Belt designation protects local infrastructure from premature overload, preserving Pok Fu Lam's livability until transport solutions are fully realized.

4.15 Biodiversity and Ecological Integrity

The Item A site is home to over **2,250 mature trees** and serves as a critical ecological corridor supporting local wildlife, including rare and endangered species. This green buffer contributes to Hong Kong's broader biodiversity, acting as a vital link between fragmented forested areas.

Developing this site would lead to the **destruction of significant natural habitats**, triggering a cascade of ecological disruptions. The removal of mature trees accelerates soil erosion, diminishes carbon sequestration capacity, and contributes to the **urban heat island effect**.

While HKU has pledged compensatory planting, replanting efforts rarely replicate the biodiversity value of established forests. Mature trees take **decades to regrow**, and newly planted saplings lack the ecological complexity required to support native fauna.

Protecting Pok Fu Lam's green spaces aligns with Hong Kong's climate resilience commitments and reinforces the city's ambition to achieve **carbon neutrality by 2050**. Rezoning the land as "Undetermined" jeopardizes this vision, undermining broader environmental goals.

4.16 Misalignment with Government Development Strategy

Hong Kong's Northern Metropolis Strategy explicitly identifies the Northern Metropolis as the designated hub for innovation and technology (I&T) growth. This strategic vision aims to consolidate I&T development within areas that offer proximity to Shenzhen and cross-border economic integration.

Placing HKU's GIC in Pok Fu Lam represents a clear **misalignment with this development strategy**, fragmenting Hong Kong's innovation ecosystem and diverting resources away from priority areas.

The Northern Metropolis offers **existing I&T infrastructure**, planned transport links, and available land that aligns with long-term economic objectives. Redirecting HKU's development efforts to this area reinforces regional planning goals and maximizes economic synergies, ensuring that innovation clusters develop cohesively.

Pok Fu Lam's environment is fundamentally incompatible with large-scale high-density innovation infrastructure. For HKU to proceed with development in this location contradicts government planning policy and dilutes the effectiveness of the Northern Metropolis initiative.

4.17 HKU's Lack of Transparent Public Consultation

HKU's public consultation process surrounding the GIC project has been **inadequate, opaque, and dismissive** of community concerns. Residents were not meaningfully engaged in early-stage planning, and the consultation sessions that did occur were limited in scope and accessibility.

Feedback from the community has consistently highlighted the lack of clear project details, risk assessments, and mitigative measures. HKU's failure to incorporate stakeholder feedback reflects a **top-down approach** that contradicts best practices in participatory urban planning.

The Town Planning Board must insist on comprehensive, transparent consultation processes that prioritize local engagement, ensuring that development proposals reflect the interests of affected communities.

4.18 Environmental and Geotechnical Concerns – Landslide and Flooding Risks

The Item A site's steep, vegetated terrain and significant elevation difference pose not only construction challenges but also serious **geotechnical risks**, including landslides and flooding. Development on such precarious slopes can exacerbate instability, endangering nearby residential areas, schools, and public infrastructure.

The area's steep gradient increases the likelihood of **slope failures during periods of heavy rainfall**, a risk that will only intensify as large-scale excavation and construction disturb natural drainage patterns. Hong Kong has witnessed multiple landslides in steep areas over the past decades, resulting in **significant damage to property and loss of life**.

Moreover, the retention of existing vegetation plays a vital role in **preventing soil erosion** and regulating natural water flow. The removal of over 2,250 trees will reduce the site's ability to absorb rainwater, increasing runoff and contributing to potential downstream flooding along Pok Fu Lam Road.

Geotechnical assessments carried out by HKU **underestimate these risks** and fail to provide comprehensive mitigation strategies. The Town Planning Board must acknowledge the substantial dangers of allowing large-scale high-density development in such a hazardous location, reinforcing the rationale for maintaining the current Green Belt designation.

4.19 Misleading Economic and Social Benefits – Overstated Gains

HKU has framed the Global Innovation Centre (GIC) as a project that will bring significant economic and social benefits to the local community and Hong Kong at large. However, these **claims are overstated and lack verifiable substantiation**.

While the GIC may contribute to academic research and innovation, the tangible benefits to the Pok Fu Lam community remain **unclear and unquantified**. The project primarily serves HKU's institutional interests and convenience rather than addressing pressing community needs.

Crucially, **most of the job creation and economic benefits** associated with the GIC are likely to be concentrated in professional and research sectors, limiting employment opportunities for local residents. Additionally, the influx of non-local workers and students will place further pressure on local infrastructure without proportionate benefits to the community.

By contrast, the potential **negative impacts—traffic congestion, loss of green space, increased living costs, and infrastructure strain—are immediate and concrete**. The Town Planning Board must critically assess the balance of projected benefits against the real, long-term costs imposed on the local community.

4.20 Violation of Established Planning Principles - The Role of Green Belts in Urban Resilience

Since its inception, Hong Kong's Green Belt zoning has served as a critical **tool for urban resilience**, preventing unchecked development, reducing the risk of environmental degradation, and preserving the city's natural heritage. The Pok Fu Lam OZP No. S/H10/1, gazetted in 1986, designated the Item A site as Green Belt **specifically to conserve its ecological and scenic value**.

The longstanding presumption against development in Green Belt areas—reinforced through the Town Planning Board Guidelines (TPB PG-No.10)—is designed to ensure that urban expansion is **strategically managed and environmentally responsible**.

Rezoning the Item A site to "Undetermined" undermines these principles, weakening the institutional framework that underpins Hong Kong's commitment to **sustainable urban development**. Allowing this deviation risks compromising the integrity of town planning regulations, opening the door to future applications that erode Green Belt protections elsewhere.

Maintaining the current GB zoning reflects **sound urban planning** that balances growth with conservation, reinforcing Hong Kong's reputation as a city that values both economic progress and environmental preservation.

5 Recommendations & Conclusion

Recommendations to the Town Planning Board:

1. **Retain the Existing Green Belt Designation** – Reaffirm the long-standing presumption against development in the Item A site to preserve Pok Fu Lam's natural landscape, biodiversity, and ecological resilience.
2. **Reject the Rezoning to "Undetermined" (U)** – Recognize that shifting to "Undetermined" introduces ambiguity, reduces public oversight, and lowers the bar for development approvals.
3. **Strengthen Community Engagement** – Require HKU to conduct **genuine, transparent public consultations** that prioritize local concerns and foster inclusive dialogue.
4. **Advocate for Alternative Sites** – Encourage HKU to pursue development within the Northern Metropolis or other suitable zones, aligning with Hong Kong's strategic objectives for innovation and technology.
5. **Mandate Comprehensive Environmental and Traffic Impact Assessments** – Insist on **rigorous environmental, geotechnical, and traffic assessments** that accurately reflect the full scope of potential disruptions to the community.

Conclusion:

The Town Planning Board faces a critical decision that extends beyond the immediate rezoning of the Item A site. This decision will shape the future of urban development, environmental sustainability, and public trust in urban governance in Hong Kong.

The proposed rezoning of the Pok Fu Lam Item A site to "Undetermined" (U) perpetuates the development ambitions of the University of Hong Kong

(HKU) despite significant legal, financial, and environmental concerns. During the hearings on November 1st, 4th, and 5th, 2024, it was made clear that **HKU does not hold legal ownership of the site**. There has been no transfer of the land through private treaty grant, short-term tenancy, or licence, reinforcing the fact that this land remains **Government property under the TPB's full control**.

The tone of the TPB's press release dated November 29th, 2024, implies an unwarranted accommodation of HKU's plans, despite the overwhelming public opposition. Of the **3,677 representations submitted**, over **90% rejected the rezoning**. This widespread public sentiment reflects a legitimate expectation that the **Green Belt (GB) zoning**, which has been in place since **1986**, will be preserved.

Further reinforcing this expectation is Representation **R3320**, presented on November 5th, 2024, which estimated the **site formation cost at HK\$863 million**—a figure prepared by a professional geotechnical engineer with over 25 years of experience. HKU did not contest this estimate, indicating a lack of preparedness regarding critical financial considerations. In the private sector, such costs would render development on this site impractical, especially given the current economic climate.

Before proceeding with any rezoning, the TPB must seek clarity on **who will bear this significant financial burden**. The likelihood of the Government funding this project is slim, and private donors may question whether their contributions are being allocated responsibly.

The proposed rezoning also **contradicts the Chief Executive's 2023 Policy Address**, which clearly stated:

“As we have already identified enough land for housing, industry and other developments for the coming 30 years, the Government has no plans for the time being to further use ‘Green Belt’ areas for large-scale development.”

Given that **suitable, flat, and readily developable land exists in the Northern Metropolis, Lok Ma Chau Loop and the San Tin Technopole**—locations specifically identified in the **2024 Policy Address** as focal points for Hong Kong's innovation and technology (I&T) development—there is no justifiable reason to pursue development on a steep, ecologically sensitive site. Allocating land to HKU within these designated innovation hubs would not only align with the Government's long-term strategy but would also

avoid the costly and environmentally damaging development required at Pok Fu Lam.

In light of these factors, I **strongly urge the Town Planning Board to reject the proposed rezoning** and to reinstate the original **Green Belt (GB) designation**. This course of action will:

- Uphold the **public interest** by respecting the overwhelming consensus of the 3,411 representations opposing the rezoning;
- Safeguard **Pok Fu Lam's ecological integrity** by preventing unnecessary deforestation, slope stabilization, and biodiversity loss;
- **Avoid unnecessary public expenditure** on site formation that could reach HK\$863 million or more;
- Reinforce Hong Kong's commitment to **strategic, policy-aligned development** by directing HKU to pursue expansion within **Northern Metropolis, Lok Ma Chau Loop or San Tin Technopole**;
- Preserve public trust in the integrity of the **Town Planning Board's governance and transparency**.

The Town Planning Board has the opportunity to ensure that Hong Kong's urban growth aligns with sustainability, public interest, and sound fiscal responsibility. By rejecting the proposed rezoning, the Board will send a clear message that **Green Belt protections remain integral to Hong Kong's urban planning framework**, and that development must respect community consensus, legal ownership, and established policy directions.

Follow-Up Request

In view of the significant concerns outlined in this report, I respectfully request the following:

1. Formal Acknowledgment:

That the Secretariat of the Town Planning Board (TPB) acknowledges receipt of this representation and confirms that it will be reviewed as part of the ongoing deliberations regarding the Pok Fu Lam Item A site under OZP No. S/H10/22.

2. Clarification of Next Steps:

That the TPB provides clarity on the timeline for any further consultations, hearings, or deliberations on this matter, and ensures that stakeholders,

including residents and community representatives, are informed and involved in future discussions.

3. Request for Engagement:

I respectfully request an opportunity for further engagement with the TPB to elaborate on the points raised in this representation, should additional information or clarification be required.

4. Transparency in Decision-Making:

That the TPB commits to ensuring transparency and public accountability in its decision-making process, including publishing detailed justifications for any rezoning decision and clearly addressing public concerns regarding environmental, financial, and policy misalignment.

Closing Statement:

I trust that the Town Planning Board will give due consideration to the collective voices of the Pok Fu Lam community and the public interest in preserving Hong Kong's few remaining Green Belt land. I look forward to receiving acknowledgment of this further representation and engaging further in the planning process to ensure sustainable, policy-aligned, and responsible development that benefits both current and future generations.

Should you require any additional information or documentation to support this submission, please do not hesitate to email me.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for considering the concerns and perspectives you of Pok Fu Lam community and the broader public interest.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1384

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F785

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 28 December 2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Au Yung Mei Wah, Josephine

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**



124/130

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1385


Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F786

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: TSING OLIVER TSENG

(circle one) (HKID) / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional)

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



B2 4/13 D

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 30/12/2024

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1386

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F787

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Jing Toby Tseng

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1390

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-03 星期五 12:12:42
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Cc: [REDACTED]

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F788

Subject: FW: Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No. S/H10/22
Attachment: SKM_C550i25010312020.pdf

Dear Sirs,

Please find my signed form.

Thanks N Regards

Harash Channa

[REDACTED]

This communication is being sent by Caravel Shipping Limited for and on behalf of Caravel Shipping Inc.

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tbbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 4th Jan, 2025

- ✓(1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- ✓(2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- ✓(3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- ✓(4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- ✓(5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- ✓(6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: HARASH ZHANNA

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to **15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.**

Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1395

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-03 星期五 10:51:23
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Subject: Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22
Attachment: Scan Jan 3, 2025.pdf; Scan Jan 3, 2025 (2).pdf

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F789

Dear Sir/Madam

Please see enclosed.

Regards

Sent from my iPhone

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 3/11/2024

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: Mr Kwok Kwok RAYMOND

(circle one) HKID/ Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1396

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-03 星期五 10:57:22
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Subject: Re: Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22
Attachment: Scan Jan 3, 2025 (1).pdf; Scan Jan 3, 2025.pdf

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F790

Dear Sir/Madam

Please see enclosed my opinion.

Regards

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22


To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 3/17/25/24

- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) *I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.*

Name: YAN SAU FONG WINNIE

(circle one) KID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Urgent Return receipt Expand Group Restricted Prevent Copy

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1398

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 2025-01-03 星期五 09:04:31
To: tpbpd/PLAND <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Subject: Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22
Attachment: (31.12.2024) Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.SH1022.pdf

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F791

Dear Sir

I refer to the captioned matter, and attach herewith my further representation.

Thank you.

Regards,
King Ho Tan (陳卿河)

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 31 DECEMBER, 2024

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: TAN KING HO

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1401

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F792

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025


- (1) *I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.*
- (2) *I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.*
- (3) *I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.*
- (4) *During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.*
- (5) *If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.*
- (6) *As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.*

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: Wong Chak Wai

(circle one) HKID / Passport:

Email / telephone : (optional)



Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1403

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F793

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CARA MAE CHAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport:



Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1404

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F794

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22


To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHAN KIN LUNG

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1405

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F795

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: OLIVE PALANGYO BAGUISTA

(circle one) HKID / Passport: _____

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1406

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F796

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1407

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F797

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

(7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHENG SZE FUNG

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [REDACTED]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S140R

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F798

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: YU SHUN YAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport: [REDACTED]

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1409

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F799

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22


To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHENG KIT MAN

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.



Submission Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F-S1410

Further Representation Number:
TPB/R/S/H10/22-F800

Further Representation on Pokfulam OZP No.S/H10/22

To: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

Date: 2/1/2025

- (1) I oppose the proposed 'U' zoning and the originally proposed zoning of 'OU', preferring that the land of 'ITEM A' be zoned Green Belt (GB) until a revised proposal is put forth for consideration.
- (2) I can't find a representation that proposed an amendment to zone the land to (U) Undetermined. The TPB's decision to rezone Item A to (U) Undetermined has no legal basis under Section 6B(8) of the Town Planning Ordinance because no representor has asked for the rezoning of Item A to (U) Undetermined.
- (3) I disagree that the 2,250 trees have no value just because they are common species. 2,250 trees are valuable regardless of how common the species are and whether or not they are registered.
- (4) During the TPB public hearings held in early November, it was made clear that the HKU GIC proposal was flawed and included numerous unnecessary structures such as residential, restaurant and vast open spaces. If excluded, the size and scope of the proposed HKU GIC can be substantially reduced.
- (5) If the Pok Fu Lam area is deemed most suitable by the Planning Department, a perfectly sized and located RC6 area, already zoned "Residential" comprising 2.5ha, is located alongside the GB and should be considered first before any rezoning of GB takes place.
- (6) As Hong Kong faces a HK\$100 billion deficit, HKU should look for alternative more appropriate sites which can save the construction costs which are likely to be funded by public money.

- (7) I strongly disagree with the Planning Department assertion that because we have educational, institutional, hospital and residential land users in Pokfulam, that this makes development of our adjacent green belt acceptable. Residents in Pokfulam area are already facing daily congested traffic condition because of the developments in Wah Fu, Queen Mary Hospital and the Cyberport. The proposed gigantic GIC development in Pokfulam will likely be the last straw that breaks the camel's back.

Name: CHENG CHUN FAI

(circle one) HKID / Passport: 

Email / telephone : (optional) _____

Submit your further representation by email to tpbpd@pland.gov.hk or by post to 15/F North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong.

